**Optimizing Oxygen Vacancy Concentration and Electronic Transport Processes in the MnxCo/CeO<sup>2</sup> Nanoreactor: Regulation Mechanism of Radical to Nonradical Pathway**

Hailan Qin<sup>a</sup>, Jiahao Wang<sup>a</sup>, Siyuan Di<sup>a</sup>, Yunkang Liu<sup>a</sup>, Pin Chen<sup>a</sup>, Min Liu<sup>a</sup>, **Qiuyue Zhang<sup>a</sup> , and Shukui Zhu\*a**

**<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan 430074, China.**

**\* Corresponding author. E-mail: [shukuizhu@126.com;](mailto:shukuizhu@126.com) phone: +86-27-67883452 ORCID:Shukui Zhu: 0000-0002-4563-6681**

# **Synthesis of CeO<sup>2</sup>**

**CeO<sup>2</sup> was prepared using the facile hydrothermal calcination method. Initially, 6 mmol of Ce(NO3)3·6H2O was dissolved in 100 mL of deionized water by ultrasound at room temperature. The solution was then transferred to a thermostat water bath. Next, 4 mL of NH3·H2O was added to the aforementioned solution. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at 40 °C and aged for 12 h. The resulting precipitate was washed several times with ultrapure water and ethanol absolute, and then dried in a vacuum freeze drier. Finally, the treated powder products were heated at 300 °C for 2 h at a heating rate of 2 ℃/min in a tubular furnace.**

## **Synthesis of Co/CeO<sup>2</sup> or Mn/CeO<sup>2</sup>**

**1 Co/CeO<sup>2</sup> or Mn/CeO<sup>2</sup> catalyst was synthesized by hydrothermal calcination method. Firstly, 6 mmol of Ce(NO3)3·6H2O and 2 mmol of CoCl2·6H2O or MnCl2·4H2O were comprehensively dissolved in 100 mL of deionized water. Secondly, 4 mL of NH3·H2O was rapidly added to the above solution. Thirdly, the aforementioned suspensions were transferred to a water bath (40 °C) and stirred for 2 h, followed by aging for 12 h. Subsequently, the resulting product was washed with ultrapure water and ethanol absolute, before being dried using a vacuum freeze dryer. Finally, the prepared products were heated in a tube** **furnace (300 °C, 2 °C/min, 2 h).**

## **Catalyst Characterization**

**The XRD patterns of the synthesized samples were analyzed using a Bruker Xray Diffractometer (Germany). The specific surface area and pore size were obtained by BET on a Micromeritics ASAP 2460 (USA) instrument. The morphology and microstructure of the samples were examined using a Hitachi S4800 FEG SEM and Tecnai G2 20 TEM. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific ESCALAB 250XI spectrometer to characterize the chemical composition and chemical environment of as-samples. Elemental analysis and metal leaching were conducted using an Agilent 730 inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer (ICP-OES), with the sample being dissolved in a strong acid solution prior to testing. The contact angle meter was obtained by an Angle Contact Metering System (XG-CAM). Electron spin resonance spectroscopy (ESR) was conducted using a Bruker EMXPLUS spectrometer. The AutoChem II 2920 apparatus was used to perform oxygen temperature-programmed desorption (O2-TPD) and hydrogen temperature-programmed reduction (H2- TPR).**

#### **Catalytic Activity Evaluation**

CIP was selected to evaluate the catalytic performance of  $Mn<sub>x</sub>Co/CeO<sub>2</sub>$ . **Specifically, a mixture containing 300 mg/L of catalytic sample, 100 mg/L of PMS, and 50 mL of CIP (10 mg/L) aqueous solution was stirred uniformly for 50 min at room temperature in a quartz tube. Subsequently, the mixed solution was taken at regular intervals and passed through a 0.22 μm pinhole filtration membrane for filtration. The concentration of CIP was monitored using a METASH UV-5100B spectrophotometer at 276 nm. To calculate the actual degradation efficiency, formula S1 was employed after converting the**

**absorbance and concentration values. Furthermore, all degradation experiments were conducted using ultrapure water as the solvent.**

**Calculation method of CIP removal rate: the corresponding removal rate of CIP was calculated by the following formula S1.**

**η (%) = (C<sup>t</sup> /C0) × 100**

Where  $C_0$  is the initial concentration of CIP in solution,  $C_t$  is the CIP **concentration under different reaction time, η is the pollutant removal rate.**

# **Texts**

#### **Text S1. Materials**

**All reagents were analytical grade and without further purification. Cerium nitrate hexahydrate (Ce(NO3)3·6H2O) furfuryl alcohol (FFA), and ciprofloxacin (CIP) were furnished by Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Cobaltous chloride (CoCl2·6H2O), tert-butanol (TBA), and tetracycline(TC) were obtained from Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. Manganese chloride tetrahydrate (MnCl2·4H2O), ammonium hydroxide (NH4OH), ethanol, ethylenediamine tetra acetic acid disodium salt (EDTA-2Na), p-benzoquinone (PBQ), methanol, and rhodamine B (RhB) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Chlortetracycline (CTC) and oxytetracycline (OTC) were provided by Meryer (Shanghai) Chemical Technology Co., Ltd.**

## **Text S2. DFT calculations**

**3 First-principles calculations in the framework DFT are performed by using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP) with the projector-augmented wave method (PAW). <sup>1</sup> The exchange-correlation energy was calculated by using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE). <sup>2</sup> To correct the van der Waals interactions, the DFT-D3 method proposed by Grimme** *et al***. was adopted. <sup>3</sup> The energy cutoff is set to 400 eV. Spin polarization effects are considered in this study. We performed structural optimization until the residual forces on each ion converged to less than 0.01 eV**

**Å−1 . A 3 × 3 surface unit cell was used for the CeO<sup>2</sup> (111) surface. The MnxCo/CeO<sup>2</sup> was constructed by replacing one of the surface Ce atoms with a Co or/and Mn atom. Oxygen vacancy was modeled by removing one oxygen atom from a unit cell. The adsorption energy for surface PMS adsorbates is** defined as follows:  $\Delta E_{\rm PMS} = E_{\rm adsorption} - E_{\rm surface} - 0.5 \times E_{\rm PMS}(g)$ , where  $E_{\rm adsorption}$ **represents the energy of the surface with the adsorbed PMS,** *E***surface represents the energy of the pure surface, and** *E***PMS(g) represents the energy of the PMS species in the gas phase. The calculation also includes entropy (S) and zero-point energy**  $(E_{ZPE})$  **to obtain the Gibbs** free **adsorption energy** of PMS:  $\Delta G_{PMS}$  =  $\Delta E_{PMS} + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S$ .

#### **Text S3. Toxicity calculation**

**The toxicity of CIP and its oxidation process products were evaluated by the ecological structure-activity relationship (ECOSAR) predictive model. The median lethal concentration (LC50) of fish exposed to CIP for 96 h, daphnid exposed for 48 h, and median effect concentration (EC50) of green algae exposed for 96 h were calculated by this software. In the meantime, the geometric mean of the unobserved effect concentration and the lowest observed effect concentration (ChV) for fish, daphnid, and green algae were assessed. Acute toxicity was evaluated as LC50 or EC50, and chronic toxicity as ChV.**

**Text S4. Partial reaction**

$$
\cdot SO_4^- + H_2O \rightarrow \cdot OH + SO_4^{2-} + H^+ \tag{S1}
$$

$$
\cdot \text{SO}_4^- + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \cdot \text{OH} + \text{SO}_4{}^{2-} \tag{S2}
$$

$$
\text{HSO}_5^- \to \text{SO}_5^{2-} + \text{H}^+ \tag{S3}
$$

$$
SO52- + H2O \rightarrow O2- + SO42- + H+
$$
 (S4)

$$
\cdot \text{SO}_4^- + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \cdot \text{OH} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} \tag{S5}
$$

**∙OH + OH<sup>−</sup> ∙O<sup>2</sup> <sup>−</sup> + H2O (S6)**

 $2 \cdot O_2^-$  +  $H_2O \to 2^1O_2$  +  $H_2O_2$  +  $2OH^-$ **(S7)**

$$
\cdot SO_5^- + \cdot SO_5^- \rightarrow 2SO_4^- + {^1O_2} \tag{S8}
$$

$$
HSO_5^{-} + SO_5^{2-} \to HSO_4^{-} + SO_4^{2-} + {}^{1}O_2
$$
 (S9)

# **Figures**



**Fig. S1 XRD patterns of the synthesized catalysts.**

**The peaks of 2θ = 28.55°, 33.08°, 47.48°, 56.34°, 59.09°, 69.42°, 76.70°, and 79.08° were attributed to (111), (200), (220), (311), (222), (400), (331) and (420) crystal plane of CeO2, respectively.**



**Fig. S2 SEM images of the completed samples: (a) CeO2, (b) Co/CeO2, (c)**

**Mn/CeO2, (d) Mn0.25Co/CeO2, (e) MnCo/CeO2, (f) Mn3Co/CeO2.**



**Fig. S3 (a) HAADF-STEM image and EDX mapping results of the as-prepared MnCo/CeO<sup>2</sup> sample, (b) all elements, (c) Co, (d) Ce, (e) Mn, (f) O.**



**Fig. S4 Isothermal adsorption-desorption curves and the corresponding pore-size distributions (inset) of MnCo/CeO2.**



**Fig. S5 Surface water contact angle measurements of as-prepared samples.**



**Fig. S6 XPS spectra of as-prepared samples: (a) survey, (b) Ce 3d, (c) Co 2p, (d) Mn 2p.**



**Fig. S7 The content proportion of Ce3+ in the synthesized sample.**



**Fig. S8 Changes in TOVs concentration of the synthesized sample.**





**Fig. S9 Effects of different factors on CIP degradation: (a) CIP concentration, (b) HA, (c) temperature, (d) natural substrates, (e) different pollutants.**



**Fig. S10 Proposed degradation pathway of CIP. The O, C, F, H, and N atoms are shown in red, gray, yellow, white, and blue, respectively.**







**Fig. S11 Possible degradation paths of CIP oxidized by MnCo/CeO2.**





**Fig. S12 Optimized configurations of PMS adsorbed on (a) traditional CeO<sup>2</sup> (111) substrate, (b) CeO<sup>2</sup> (111) substrate with OVs, (c) Co/CeO<sup>2</sup> (111) substratewith OVs, (d) Mn/CeO<sup>2</sup> (111) substrate with OVs. The O, Ce, S, H, Co, and Mn atoms are shown in red, green, yellow, pink, blue, and purple, respectively.**

**Tables**

**Table S1. Metal doping content and leaching of MnCo/CeO2.**

	Co	Mn
<b>Metal</b> doping content $6.21\%$		$7.51\%$
(%)		
Metal leaching (mg/L)	0.55	0.26

Catalyst	<b>CIP</b> concentrati on	Catalyst s dosage	<b>PMS</b> concentratio $\mathbf n$	Time	Removal efficiency	Ref.
$Co0.6/N@ZS-SA$	$20 \text{ mg/L}$	6 g/L	$0.5$ g/L	<b>60 min</b>	82.5%	4
$CuS/Fe2O3/Mn2O3$	$10 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.2$ g/L	$0.6$ g/L	$120$ min	88.0%	5
$CoO-N/BC$	$10 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.1$ g/L	$1 \text{ mM}$	<b>60 min</b>	84.3%	6
BiVO <sub>4</sub> /visible light	$10 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.32$ g/L	$0.96$ g/L	$120$ min	92.3%	$\overline{7}$
<b>Magnetized</b> nitrogen-doped biochar	$10 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.8$ g/L	$0.2$ g/L	<b>80 min</b>	92.6%	8
$Co3O4/CeO2/visible light$	$5 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.5$ g/L	$0.1$ g/L	<b>50 min</b>	87.8%	9
CuCo/C	$10 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.25$ g/L	$0.25$ g/L	<b>30 min</b>	90.0%	10
CuFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /CuO	$5 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.5$ g/L	$0.3$ g/L	$120$ min	86.67%	11
CoFe <sub>2</sub> O	$20 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.3$ g/L	$2 \text{ mM}$	<b>90 min</b>	90.0%	12
MnCo/CeO <sub>2</sub>	$10 \text{ mg/L}$	$0.3$ g/L	$0.1$ g/L	<b>50 min</b>	93.71%	<b>This</b> work

**Table S2. Comparison of MnCo/CeO<sup>2</sup> and reported catalysts in the catalytic performances for CIP degradation.**

**Table S3. Toxicity evaluation of the intermediates.**







**Notes: Green: Not harmful; Yellow: Harmful; Orange: Toxic; Red: Very toxic According to toxicity assessment levels of the Globally Harmonized System of**

**Classification and Labeling of Chemicals, the four levels include:**

Not **harmful**  $(LC_{50}/EC_{50}/ChV > 100$  **mg/L)**,

**Harmful** (10 **mg**/L  $\lt$  **LC**<sub>50</sub>/**EC**<sub>50</sub>/Ch**V**  $\leq$  100 **mg**/L),

 $T$ **oxic** (1 **mg**/ $L$  <  $LC_{50}/EC_{50}/ChV \le 10$  **mg**/ $L$ ),

**Very toxic**  $(LC_{50}/EC_{50}/ChV \leq 1$  **mg/L).** 

**The lowest acute toxicity values between and with different species are used to define the appropriate hazard category of the compounds.**

**\* = asterisk designates: Chemical may not be soluble enough to measure this predicted effect. If the effect level exceeds the water solubility by 10X, typically no effects at saturation (NES) are reported.**

**! = exclamation designates: The toxicity value was estimated through application of acute-to-chronic ratios per methods outlined in the ECOSAR Methodology Document provided in the ECOSAR Help Menu.**

## **References**

- **1 G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for Ab Initio totalenergy calculations using a plane-wave basis set,** *Phys. Rev. B***, 1996, 54, 11169–11186.**
- **2 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient**

**approximation made simple,** *Phys. Rev. Lett.***, 1996, 77, 3865–3868.**

- **3 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, A consistent and accurate Ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu,** *J. Chem. Phys.***, 2010, 132, 154104.**
- **4 Y. Qin, J. Luo, Q. An, Z. Xiao, J. Hao, Y. Tong and S. Zhai, Threedimensional Co–N/SBA-15/alginate hydrogels with excellent recovery and recyclability for activating peroxymonosulfate to degrade ciprofloxacin,** *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.***, 2021, 323, 111259.**
- **5 Y. Huang, L. Nengzi, X. Zhang, J. Gou, Y. Gao, G. Zhu, Q. Cheng and X. Cheng, Catalytic degradation of ciprofloxacin by magnetic CuS/Fe2O3/Mn2O<sup>3</sup> nanocomposite activated peroxymonosulfate: influence factors, degradation pathways and reaction mechanism,** *Chem. Eng. J.***, 2020, 388, 124274.**
- **6 H. Luo, C. Ni, C. Zhang, W. Wang, Y. Yang, W. Xiong, M. Cheng, C. Zhou, Y. Zhou, S. Tian, Q. Lin, G. Fang, Z. Zeng and G. Zeng, Lignocellulosic biomass derived N-doped and CoO-loaded carbocatalyst used as highly efficient peroxymonosulfate activator for ciprofloxacin degradation,** *J. Colloid Interface Sci.***, 2022, 610, 221–233.**
- **7 F. Chen, G.-X. Huang, F.-B. Yao, Q. Yang, Y.-M. Zheng, Q.-B. Zhao and H.-Q. Yu, Catalytic degradation of ciprofloxacin by a visible-light-assisted peroxymonosulfate activation system: performance and mechanism,** *Water Res.***, 2020, 173, 115559.**
- **8 Y. You, Z. Zhao, Y. Song, J. Li, J. Li and X. Cheng, Synthesis of magnetized nitrogen-doped biochar and its high efficiency for elimination of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride by activation of peroxymonosulfate,** *Sep. Purif. Technol.***, 2021, 258, 117977.**
- **9 C.-H. Shen, X.-J. Wen, Z.-H. Fei, Z.-T. Liu and Q.-M. Mu, Visible-lightdriven activation of peroxymonosulfate for accelerating ciprofloxacin degradation using CeO2/Co3O<sup>4</sup> p-n heterojunction photocatalysts,** *Chem. Eng. J.***, 2020, 391, 123612.**

**<sup>18</sup> 10M.-M. Chen, H.-Y. Niu, C.-G. Niu, H. Guo, S. Liang and Y.-Y. Yang, Metal-**

**organic framework-derived CuCo/carbon as an efficient magnetic heterogeneous catalyst for persulfate activation and ciprofloxacin degradation,** *J. Hazard. Mater.***, 2022, 424, 127196.**

- **11B. He, L. Song, Z. Zhao, W. Liu, Y. Zhou, J. Shang and X. Cheng, CuFe2O4/CuO magnetic nano-composite activates pms to remove ciprofloxacin: ecotoxicity and DFT calculation,** *Chem. Eng. J.***, 2022, 446, 137183.**
- 12H. D. Chen, J. K. Xu, J. Q. Wei, P. F. Wang, Y. B. Han, J. C. Xu, B. Hong, H. **X. Jin, D. F. Jin, X. L. Peng, J. Li, Y. T. Yang, H. L. Ge and X. Q. Wang, Mesoporous CoFe2O<sup>4</sup> nanowires: nanocasting synthesis, magnetic separation and enhanced catalytic degradation for ciprofloxacin,** *J. Phys. Chem. Solids***, 2019, 132, 138–144.**