F-SiO₂ Eables PLA-based Superhydrophobic Nanofiber Membrane with Highly Efficient Membrane Distillation

Yuqian He,^a Yanyan Ye,^a Mi Zhou,^a Linlin Yan,^a Kai Wang,^{a,b} Yingjie Zhang,^{a,b} Enrico Drioli,c Jun Ma,^{a,d} Yonggang Li^{*}e,Xi Quan Cheng^{*a,b}

a.State Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, School of Marine
Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, Weihai 264209, P.R. China.
b.Shandong Sino-European Membrane Technology Research Institute Co., Ltd.,
Weihai Key Laboratory of Water Treatment and Membrane Technology, Weihai
264209, P.R. China.

c.Institute on Membrane Technology (ITM-CNR), Via P. Bucci 17c, 87036 Rende, CS, Italy.

d.School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, P.R. China.

e.Guangxi Key Laboratory of Urban Water Environment, Baise University, Baise 533000, China

*Corresponding author:

Email address: <u>chengxiquan@hit.edu.cn</u>

Information S1. Materials

Dioxane (DX) was offered by Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co Ltd (Shanghai, China). Polylactic acid (PLA) (4032D) was offered by NatureWorks (US). N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP) was purchased from Tianjin Beichen Fangzheng Chemical Reagent Factory (Tianjin, China). Poly-dimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and its curing agent were supplied by Dow Corning Co., Ltd (US). Tetrahydrofuran (THF), silane (FTOS), silicon dioxide (25 mm), n-octane, tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), trichloro (1H,1H,2H,2H-tridecafluoro-n-octyl) and trimethoxy (1H, 1H, 2H, 2H-heptadecafluorodecyl) silane were all obtained from Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China).

Information S2. Calculation of membrane distillation flux performance.

$$L = \Delta V / (A \times \Delta t)$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (1.1)

where *L* represents the flux $(L \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1})$ and ΔV , *A* and Δt represent the distillate volume variation over a predetermined time, the effective area of the composite membrane (m²) and filtration time (h), respectively. The *A* is 11.63 cm². The crossflow velocity on the membrane surface was 10 mL/min, and the downstream freshwater temperature was controlled at $10\pm 2^{\circ}$ C by the low-temperature thermostat.

Information S3. Calculation of the salt rejection of the membrane.

$$R = (1 - \frac{C_{P}}{C_{f}}) \times 100\%$$
 * MERGEFORMAT (1.2)

where C_f (g L⁻¹) and C_p (g L⁻¹) represent the conductivity of the feed solution and permeate water, respectively.



Fig. S1. Membrane surface morphology of desalination membranes with different concentrations of PLA: (a) 10%; (b) 12%; (c) 15%; (d) 17%.



Fig. S2. Nanofibre diameters of desalination membranes with different concentrations of PLA: (a) 10%; (b) 12%; (c) 15%; (d) 17%.



Fig. S3. Membrane surface morphology of desalination membranes with different concentrations

of PDMS: (a) 8%; (b) 10%; (c) 12%.





Fig. S4. TEM images of (a) SiO_2 , (2)F-SiO₂.



Fig. S5. Membrane surface morphology of desalination membranes with different concentrations

of F-SiO₂ nanoparticles: (a) 0.05%; (b) 0.1%; (c) 0.2%; (d) 0.5%.



Fig. S6. Pore size distributions of PLA, PLA-P, PP-AS and PPF-AS membranes.



Fig. S7. EDS images of the PPF-AS membrane: (a) Range of distribution, (b) C element, (c) O element, (d) Cl element.