## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Comparative modeling of microwave and ultrasound assisted extraction

of phenolics and Berberine from Coptis teeta Wall rhizome

Lopamudra Sarma<sup>a</sup>, Falguni Patra<sup>b</sup>, Pallab Kumar Borah<sup>c</sup>, Sunil Meena<sup>d</sup>, Raj Kumar Duary<sup>a,d\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Food Engineering and Technology, School of Engineering, Tezpur University, Napaam, 784028, India

<sup>b</sup>Mansinhbhai Institute of Dairy and Food Technology, Dudsagar Dairy, Mehsana, 384002, India

<sup>e</sup>Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum, Technical University of Munich, Lichtenbergstraße 1, 85748, Germany

<sup>d</sup>Department of Dairy Science and Food Technology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, 221005, India

## **Corresponding author**

\*Prof. Raj Kumar Duary, E-mail address: rkduary@bhu.ac.in

**Table S1**: The coded values and corresponding actual values of the optimization parameters used

 for microwave-assisted extraction

Independent variable	Coded	Uncoded	Level		
			-1	0	1
Solvent concentration (%)	<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	X1	50	60	70
Time (min)	<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	$X_2$	1	15	30
Microwave Power (W)	<b>X</b> <sub>3</sub>	$X_3$	180	540	900
Solid-liquid ratio (g/mL)	$\mathbf{x}_4$	$X_4$	1:10	1:25	1:40

**Table S2**: The coded values and corresponding actual values of the optimization parameters used for ultrasound-assisted extraction

Independent variable	Coded	Uncoded	Level		
			-1	0	1
Solvent concentration (%)	$\mathbf{x}_1$	$X_1$	0	50	100
Time (min)	x <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	10	45	80
Ultrasound Power (W)	X3	$X_3$	40	120	200
Solid-liquid ratio (g/mL)	X4	$X_4$	1:10	1:45	1:80