

Table S3. Evaluation of risk of bias in studies with animal models according to the SYRCLE tool.

Signaling questions	Studies							
	ALMASRI <i>et al. 2022</i>	BREDE <i>et al. 2022</i>	CHEN <i>et al. 2022</i>	DEL CASTILO <i>et al. 2022</i>	LIU <i>et al. 2022</i>	MOTTA <i>et al. 2022</i>	TLAIS <i>et al. 2022</i>	BILLENKAMP <i>et al. 2021</i>
1 Was the allocation sequence adequately generated and applied?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
2 Were the groups similar at baseline?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
3 Was the allocation to the different groups adequately concealed during?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
4 Were the animals randomly housed during the experiment?	Yes	No	Yes	?	Yes	?	No	Yes
5 Were the caregivers and/or investigators blinded from knowledge which intervention each animal received during the experiment?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
6 Were animals selected at random for outcome assessment?	Yes	No	Yes	?	Yes	?	?	Yes
7 Was the outcome assessor blinded?	Yes	?	?	Yes	?	?	?	?
8 Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes
9 Are reports of the study free of selective outcome reporting?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10 Was the study apparently free of other problems that could result in high risk of bias?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?

Yes: low risk of bias; No: high risk of bias; ?: unclear risk of bias. It is not recommend calculating a summary score for each individual study when using this toll.

Table S3. Evaluation of risk of bias in studies with animal models according to the SYRCLE tool.

Signaling questions	Studies								
	CASTELLI <i>et al. 2021</i>	DING <i>et al. 2021</i>	HU <i>et al. 2021</i>	MESNAGE <i>et al. 2021</i>	OWAGBORUIAYE, <i>et al. 2021</i>	GÓMEZ- GALLEGO <i>et al. 2020</i>	IORI <i>et al. 2020</i>	KRAUSE <i>et al. 2020</i>	
1 Was the allocation sequence adequately generated and applied?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	
2 Were the groups similar at baseline?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	?	No	Yes	
3 Was the allocation to the different groups adequately concealed during?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4 Were the animals randomly housed during the experiment?	Yes	?	Yes	Yes	No	?	Yes	No	
5 Were the caregivers and/or investigators blinded from knowledge which intervention each animal received during the experiment?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
6 Were animals selected at random for outcome assessment?	Yes	?	Yes	?	?	?	Yes	?	
7 Was the outcome assessor blinded?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	
8 Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	
9 Are reports of the study free of selective outcome reporting?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
10 Was the study apparently free of other problems that could result in high risk of bias?	Yes	Yes	?	?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Yes: low risk of bias; No: high risk of bias; ?: unclear risk of bias. It is not recommend calculating a summary score for each individual study when using this toll.

Table S3. Evaluation of risk of bias in studies with animal models according to the SYRCLE tool.

Signaling questions	Studies							
	MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2020	MOTTA AND MORAN, 2020	RUUSKANEN <i>et al.</i> 2020	SONG <i>et al.</i> 2020	SUPPA <i>et al.</i> 2020	TANG <i>et al.</i> 2020	BILAN <i>et al.</i> 2019	BLOT <i>et al.</i> 2019
1 Was the allocation sequence adequately generated and applied?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
2 Were the groups similar at baseline?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
3 Was the allocation to the different groups adequately concealed during?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?	No	?
4 Were the animals randomly housed during the experiment?	?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
5 Were the caregivers and/or investigators blinded from knowledge which intervention each animal received during the experiment?	No	?	Yes	Yes	?	?	No	No
6 Were animals selected at random for outcome assessment?	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	?
7 Was the outcome assessor blinded?	?	?	Yes	?	?	Yes	No	No
8 Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?	No	No	No	Yes	?	Yes	Yes	Yes
9 Are reports of the study free of selective outcome reporting?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10 Was the study apparently free of other problems that could result in high risk of bias?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?	Yes	?	Yes

Yes: low risk of bias; No: high risk of bias; ?: unclear risk of bias. It is not recommend calculating a summary score for each individual study when using this toll.

Table S3. Evaluation of risk of bias in studies with animal models according to the SYRCLE tool.

Signaling questions	Studies							
	BOTE <i>et al.</i> 2019	DECHARTRES <i>et al.</i> 2019	MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2019	PÖPPE <i>et al.</i> 2019	YANG <i>et al.</i> 2019	AITBALI <i>et al.</i> 2018	DAI <i>et al.</i> 2018	KITTLE <i>et al.</i> 2018
1 Was the allocation sequence adequately generated and applied?	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
2 Were the groups similar at baseline?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
3 Was the allocation to the different groups adequately concealed during?	?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 Were the animals randomly housed during the experiment?	?	Yes	Yes	?	?	?	Yes	?
5 Were the caregivers and/or investigators blinded from knowledge which intervention each animal received during the experiment?	?	Yes	Yes	?	?	?	?	No
6 Were animals selected at random for outcome assessment?	?	Yes	Yes	No	?	?	Yes	No
7 Was the outcome assessor blinded?	?	Yes	Yes	?	?	?	?	No
8 Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
9 Are reports of the study free of selective outcome reporting?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10 Was the study apparently free of other problems that could result in high risk of bias?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	?	Yes	Yes	?

Yes: low risk of bias; No: high risk of bias; ?: unclear risk of bias. It is not recommend calculating a summary score for each individual study when using this toll.

Table S3. Evaluation of risk of bias in studies with animal models according to the SYRCLE tool.

Signaling questions	Studies							
	LOZANO <i>et al. 2018</i>	MADISON <i>et al. 2018</i>	MAO <i>et al. 2018</i>	MOTTA <i>et al. 2018</i>	NIELSEN <i>et al. 2018</i>	ACKERMANN <i>et al. 2014</i>	KRÜGER <i>et al. 2013</i>	SHEHATA <i>et al. 2013</i>
1 Was the allocation sequence adequately generated and applied?	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
2 Were the groups similar at baseline?	?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
3 Was the allocation to the different groups adequately concealed during?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 Were the animals randomly housed during the experiment?	?	Yes	Yes	?	Yes	No	?	?
5 Were the caregivers and/or investigators blinded from knowledge which intervention each animal received during the experiment?	Yes	?	?	?	?	No	?	?
6 Were animals selected at random for outcome assessment?	No	?	?	?	Yes	No	?	No
7 Was the outcome assessor blinded?	?	?	?	?	?	No	?	No
8 Were incomplete outcome data adequately addressed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	?
9 Are reports of the study free of selective outcome reporting?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
10 Was the study apparently free of other problems that could result in high risk of bias?	Yes	?	Yes	?	Yes	?	?	?

Yes: low risk of bias; No: high risk of bias; ?: unclear risk of bias. It is not recommend calculating a summary score for each individual study when using this toll.

Study	Selection bias 1	Selection bias 2	Selection bias 3	Performance bias 1	Performance bias 2	Detection bias 1	Detection bias 2	Attrition bias	Reporting bias	Other potential bias
ALMASRI <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
BREDE <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
CHEN <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
DEL CASTILO <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	✓	X	✓	✓
LIU <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	X	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
TLAIS <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	X	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
BILLENKAMP <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	?
CASTELLI <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
DING <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
HU <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
OWAGBORUIAYE,	X	X	✓	?	X	?	?	?	✓	✓

et al. 2021

GÓMEZ- GALLEGO <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
IORI <i>et al.</i> 2020	✓	?	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
KRAUSE <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	?	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA AND MORAN, 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
RUUSKANEN <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
SONG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
SUPPA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	?	✓	?
TANG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	?	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
BILAN <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
BLOT <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	?	?	X	?	X	✓	✓	✓

BOTE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	?	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DECHARTRES <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PÖPPE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	X	✓	?	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
YANG <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
AITBALI <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DAI <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
KITTLE <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	?	✓	?	X	X	X	X	✓	?
LOZANO <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	?	✓	?	✓	X	?	✓	✓	✓
MADISON <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
MAO <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
NIELSEN <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓

ACKERMANN <i>et al.</i> 2014	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
KRÜGER <i>et al.</i> 2013	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
SHEHATA <i>et al.</i> 2013	X	X	✓	?	X	X	X	?	✓	?

Table S3 (continuation) Assessment of risk of bias in preclinical animal studies according to the SYRCLE tool.

1 ✓ = Adequate randomization; ? = randomized but no details; x = no evidence of randomization. 2 ✓ = Baseline characteristics given; ? = insufficient baseline characteristics; x = baseline characteristics not given. 3 ✓ = Evidence of adequate concealment of groups; ? = unknown of adequate concealment of groups; x = no evidence of adequate concealment of groups. 4 ✓ = Evidence of random housing of animals; ? = unknown housing arrangement. 5 ✓ = Evidence of caregivers blinded to intervention; x = no evidence of caregivers blinded to intervention. 6 ✓ = Evidence of random selection for assessment; ? = insuive of random selection for assessment; x = no evidence of random selection for assessment. 7 ✓ = Evidence of assessor blinded; ? = unknown of assessor blinded x = no evidence of assessor blinded. 8 ✓ = Explanation of missing animal data; ? = unknown of missing animal data; x = no explanation of missing animal data. 9 ✓ = Free of selective reporting based on methods/results; ? = insuive reporting; x = selective reporting. 10 ✓ = Free of other high bias risk; ? = insufficient data to determine risk of other bias.

Study	Selection bias 1	Selection bias 2	Selection bias 3	Performance bias 1	Performance bias 2	Detection bias 1	Detection bias 2	Attrition bias	Reporting bias	Other potential bias
ALMASRI <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
BREDE <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
CHEN <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
DEL CASTILO <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	✓	X	✓	✓
LIU <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	X	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
TLAIS <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	X	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
BILLENKAMP <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	?
CASTELLI <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
DING <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓

HU <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
OWAGBORUIAYE, <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	X	✓	?	X	?	?	?	✓	✓
GÓMEZ-GALLEGO <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
IORI <i>et al.</i> 2020	✓	?	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
KRAUSE <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	?	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA AND MORAN, 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
RUUSKANEN <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
SONG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
SUPPA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	?	✓	?
TANG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	?	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?

BILAN <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
BLOT <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	?	?	X	?	X	✓	✓	✓
BOTE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	?	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DECHARTRES <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PÖPPE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	X	✓	?	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
YANG <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
AITBALI <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DAI <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
KITTLE <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	?	✓	?	X	X	X	X	✓	?
LOZANO <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	?	✓	?	✓	X	?	✓	✓	✓
MADISON <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
MAO <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓

MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
NIELSEN <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
ACKERMANN <i>et al.</i> 2014	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
KRÜGER <i>et al.</i> 2013	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
SHEHATA <i>et al.</i> 2013	X	X	✓	?	X	X	X	?	✓	?

Study	Selection bias 1	Selection bias 2	Selection bias 3	Performance bias 1	Performance bias 2	Detection bias 1	Detection bias 2	Attrition bias	Reporting bias	Other potential bias
ALMASRI <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
BREDE <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
CHEN <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
DEL CASTILO <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	✓	X	✓	✓
LIU <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	X	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
TLAIS <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	X	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
BILLENKAMP <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	?
CASTELLI <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
DING <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓

HU <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
OWAGBORUIAYE, <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	X	✓	?	X	?	?	?	✓	✓
GÓMEZ-GALLEGO <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
IORI <i>et al.</i> 2020	✓	?	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
KRAUSE <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	?	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA AND MORAN, 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
RUUSKANEN <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
SONG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
SUPPA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	?	✓	?
TANG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	?	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?

BILAN <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
BLOT <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	?	?	X	?	X	✓	✓	✓
BOTE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	?	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DECHARTRES <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PÖPPE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	X	✓	?	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
YANG <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
AITBALI <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DAI <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
KITTLE <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	?	✓	?	X	X	X	X	✓	?
LOZANO <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	?	✓	?	✓	X	?	✓	✓	✓
MADISON <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
MAO <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓

MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
NIELSEN <i>et al.</i> 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
ACKERMANN <i>et al.</i> 2014	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
KRÜGER <i>et al.</i> 2013	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
SHEHATA <i>et al.</i> 2013	X	X	✓	?	X	X	X	?	✓	?

Study	Selection bias 1	Selection bias 2	Selection bias 3	Performance bias 1	Performance bias 2	Detection bias 1	Detection bias 2	Attrition bias	Reporting bias	Other potential bias
ALMASRI <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
BREDE <i>et al.</i> 2022	✓	✓	✓	X	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
CHEN <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
DEL CASTILO <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	✓	X	✓	✓
LIU <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓

MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	✓	X	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
TLAIS <i>et al.</i> 2022	X	X	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
BILLENKAMP <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	?
CASTELLI <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
DING <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
HU <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2021	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	X	✓	?
OWAGBORUIAYE, <i>et al.</i> 2021	X	X	✓	?	X	?	?	?	✓	✓
GÓMEZ-GALLEGO <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	X	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
IORI <i>et al.</i> 2020	✓	?	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	✓
KRAUSE <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	X	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓

MOTTA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	?	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
MOTTA AND MORAN, 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	X	?	X	✓	✓
RUUSKANEN <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	✓
SONG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
SUPPA <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	?	✓	?
TANG <i>et al.</i> 2020	X	✓	?	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
BILAN <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	X	✓	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
BLOT <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	?	?	X	?	X	✓	✓	✓
BOTE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	?	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DECHARTRES <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
MESNAGE <i>et al.</i> 2019	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
PÖPPE <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	X	✓	?	X	X	?	✓	✓	✓
YANG <i>et al.</i> 2019	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?

AITBALI et al. 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
DAI et al. 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	X	✓	✓
KITTLE et al. 2018	X	?	✓	?	X	X	X	X	✓	?
LOZANO et al. 2018	X	?	✓	?	✓	X	?	✓	✓	✓
MADISON et al. 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
MAO et al. 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	?	?	✓	✓	✓
MOTTA et al. 2018	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	X	✓	?
NIELSEN et al. 2018	X	✓	✓	✓	X	✓	?	✓	✓	✓
ACKERMANN et al. 2014	X	✓	✓	X	X	X	X	✓	✓	?
KRÜGER et al. 2013	X	✓	✓	?	X	?	?	✓	✓	?
SHEHATA et al. 2013	X	X	✓	?	X	X	X	?	✓	?
