Electronic Supplementary Information

One-pot synthesis of conjugated vinylene-extended viologen ionic radical polyacetylenes for visible-light-promoted photocatalytic CO₂ cycloaddition

Yanan Chang,[#] Shuo Wang,[#] Juan Chen, Zixuan Xu, Qing Shi, Yunjie Mao, Yanli Gai, Zhouyang Long and Guojian Chen*

School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Green Synthetic Chemistry for Functional Materials, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, 221116, China.

*Corresponding author, E-mail: gjchen@jsnu.edu.cn

[#]These authors contributed equally to this work.

Experimental

Materials

1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (98%, Bide), 4,4'-bipyridine (98%, Adamas), propargyl bromide (80 wt% in xylene, Aladdin), K₂CO₃, epoxides, common solvents and reagents were commercially available and used without further purification.

Methods

Solid-state ¹³C cross-polarization/magic angle rotation (CP/MAS) NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AVANCE III 600 spectrometer. The CHN elemental analysis was conducted on an elemental analyzer Vario EL cube. The chemical compositions and states of polymers were confirmed by the X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, thermal scale table 250Xi). Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were recorded on an EMX-10/12 spectrometer on a Bruker at room temperature. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was recorded on a Bruker Vertex 80V FTIR instrument (KBr discs) in the region 4000-400 cm⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was carried out with a TA Q50 instrument in a nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ and samples were dried in vacuum at 100 °C for 12 h before measurement. The solid ultraviolet-visible-near-infrared absorption diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-vis-NIR) was measured with a SHIMADZU UV-2600 spectrophotometer. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on the Bruker D8 Advance powder diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation source at 40 kV and 20 mA, from 5 to 80° with a scan rate of 0.2° s⁻¹. The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Hitachi SU8010) was used to observe the morphology. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using a Quantachrome autosorb iQ2 analyzer, and the surface areas of samples were calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method and the pore size distributions were determined by the nonlocalized density functional theory (NLDFT) model, while the samples were degassed at 150 °C for 12 h in a high vacuum before analysis.

Photoelectrochemical measurements

The photoelectrochemical measurement was performed by the CHI 660E electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Apparatus Corporation, China) in a standard three-electrode system with the Ag/AgCl reference electrode, Pt wire counter electrode and 1×1 cm² modified indium tin oxide (ITO) as a working electrode. The slurry was prepared by ultrasonically dispersing 5 mg of catalyst into 0.5 mL ethanol, it was drip-coated on the ITO photoelectrode with a fixed area of 1.0 cm² and dried under room temperature to get catalyst-modified ITO

photoelectrode. The photoelectrochemical experiment was carried out in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4) containing ascorbic acid (AA, 0.1 mM) as the electron donor in the investigation. The xenon lamp produced the white light as the excitation source, with a spectral range of 200-2500 nm wavelength, and the light source was switched on and off after every 10 s.

Synthesis of conjugated vinylene-extended viologen ionic radical polyacetylenes

The vinylene-extended viologen ionic radical polyacetylene VIRP-1 was prepared by the quaternization-induced spontaneous polymerization (QISP) reaction between 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (BPE) and propargyl bromide (PGB), as shown in the Scheme 1. In detail, BPE (1 mmol, 0.1822 g) and PGB (2.5 mmol, 0.2974 g) were dissolved in the solvent of CH₃CN (10 mL). Then, the mixture was transferred into a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave. Next, the Teflon-lined autoclave was statically placed in a constant temperature oven at 100 °C for 24 h. After reaction, the solid product was recovered by filtration and thoroughly washed with deionized water (H₂O), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and ethanol. The black powder VIRP-1 with a high yield of ~100% was obtained by drying in vacuum at 80 °C for 12 h. Elemental analysis found for VIRP-1: C, 43.25; H, 4.43; N, 5.38 wt%. Theoretical calcd. value for VIRP-1 based on the stoichiometric structure (n(BPE):n(PGB)=1:2) with some absorbed H₂O molecules (C₁₈H₁₆N₂Br₂•4H₂O)_n: C, 43.92; H, 4.91; N, 5.69 wt%.

Further, the viologen ionic radical polyacetylene VIRP-2 was prepared by the K₂CO₃-involved QISP reaction. The used of the reducing agent inorganic base K₂CO₃ can promote the formation of more viologen cationic radicals by one-electron reduction, and affords enriched CO₃²⁻ anions within VIRP-2 by the anion exchange with Br⁻ anions. In detail, the raw materials BPE (1 mmol, 0.1822 g) and PGB (2.5 mmol, 0.2974 g) were dissolved in the solvent of CH₃CN (10 mL), and then K₂CO₃ (4 mmol, 0.5528 g) was added into the mixture solution and stirred thoroughly on a magnetic stirrer for 30 min. Subsequently, the above mixture solution was moved into a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave. The autoclave was taken placed in a constant temperature oven at 100 °C for 24 h. After reaction, the solid products were recovered by filtration and thoroughly washed with H₂O, THF and ethanol. Finally, the black solid VIRP-2 with a yield of 65% was obtained by drying in vacuum at 80 °C for 12 h. Elemental analysis found for VIRP-2: C, 63.73; H, 5.39; N, 8.67 wt%. Theoretical calcd. value for VIRP-2 based on the stoichiometric structure (*n*(BPE):*n*(PGB)=1:2) with CO₃²⁻ anions and some absorbed H₂O molecules (C₁₈H₁₆N₂•0.5CO₃²⁻•3H₂O)_n: C, 64.52; H, 6.43; N, 8.13 wt%. Besides, the control conjugated viologen ionic radical polyacetylene VIRP-3 without vinylene linkers was also prepared using a similar reaction process by replacing BPE with 4,4'-bipyridine in term of a black solid with yield of 46%, as shown in Scheme S1.

Synthesis of the control BPE-based ionic liquid monomer (BPE-IL)

The control ethyl-tethered 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene (BPE)-based ionic liquid monomer (named as BPE-IL) was prepared by the quaternization reaction of BPE and bromoethane as shown in Scheme S2. First, the raw materials BPE (0.5 mmol, 0.0911 g) and bromoethane (1.5 mmol, 0.2818 g) were dissolved in the solvent of CH₃CN (10 mL), and then the mixture was added into a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave with stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. Subsequently, the reaction was taken place at 100 °C in a constant temperature oven for 48 h. After reaction, the obtained brown solid was dispersed into ethyl acetate (20 mL) with stirring for 2 h. At last, the above suspension was filtrated, washed with ethyl acetate for several times, and dried at 80 °C for 12 h in vacuum to give a brown powder solid (BPE-IL) with a yield of 75%. BPE-IL: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) (Fig. S1): δ =9.20~9.18 (CH, 4H), 8.42~8.40 (CH, 4H), 8.25 (CH, 2H), 4.66~4.61 (CH₂, 4H) and 1.58~1.54 ppm (CH₃, 6H).

Photocatalytic CO₂ cycloaddition reaction

The photocatalytic CO₂ cycloaddition reactions with epoxides into cyclic carbonates were carried out in a 25 mL Schlenk tube with a magnetic stirrer. In a typical reaction, the epoxide (1 mmol) and VIRP-2 (30 mg) were placed in a 25 mL Schlenk tube connected with a CO₂ balloon (0.1 MPa). Meanwhile, the mixture was irradiated by blue LEDs lamp (8 W) for a desired time. After reaction, 3 mL of ethyl acetate was added into the reaction system and stirred for 30 min. The solid catalyst was separated by centrifugation and the remaining solution was obtained by concentration under a low temperature reduced pressure. Finally, the crude products were directly analyzed by the ¹H NMR spectroscopy to determine the yields of cyclic carbonates by comparison of the integrals of characteristic protons in the substrate and product. For the catalyst recycling experiments, the solid catalyst VIRP-2 was collected by centrifugation, washed with ethanol several time and dried in vacuum and then used for the next run. The reusability of the catalyst VIRP-2 was tested in five-run cycling experiments.

Samples	Polymer yield (%)	H ₂ O (RT)	H ₂ O (80 °C)	DMSO (RT)	DMSO (80 °C)
VIRP-1	100	×	×	×	×
VIRP-2	65	×	×	×	Slightly soluble
VIRP-3	46	×	×	×	Slightly soluble

Table S1 The solubility of a series of VIRPs solid materials.*

* The solubility tests were carried out by placing 10 mg the back polymer in 5 mL water or DMSO at room temperature (RT) or 80 °C with stirred for 30 min. The results for the solubility of VIRPs were together determined by the color of solutions and the mass of the remaining solid polymers after centrifugation. "×" represents insoluble.

Table S2 The elemental com	positions of VIRP-1 a	and VIRP-2 detected	from the XPS spectra. ^a
----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	------------------------------------

Samples	C (at%)	N (at%)	O (at%)	Br (at%)
VIRP-1	79.01	7.58	6.28	7.14
VIRP-2	79.50	7.92	11.53	1.05

^[a] All the elemental compositions were presented by the atomic concentration (at%) from the XPS survey spectra.



Scheme S1 *In situ* synthesis of the conjugated viologen ionic radical polyacetylene (VIRP-3) by K₂CO₃-invovled quaternization-induced spontaneous polymerization (QISP) reaction between 4,4'-bipyridine (Bpy) and propargyl bromide (PGB) in CH₃CN at 100 °C for 24 h.



Scheme S2 Synthesis of the ethyl-tethered 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethylene-based ionic liquid monomer (BPE-IL) by the quaternization reaction in CH₃CN at 100 °C for 48 h.



Scheme S3 A proposed polymerization mechanism for the synthesis of VIRP-1 and VIRP-2.



Fig. S1 ¹H NMR of BPE-IL in the solvent of DMSO-d₆.



Fig. S2 FTIR spectra of BPE, VIRP-1 and VIRP-2.



Fig. S3 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves of VIRP-1 and VIRP-2.



Fig. S4 The solid-state ¹³C CP/MAS NMR of VIRP-2.



Fig. S5 (A) N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms and (B) NLDFT pore size distributions of VIRP-1 and VIRP-2.



Fig. S6 XRD patterns of VIRP-1, VIRP-2 and BPE.



Fig. S7 (A, B) SEM images of the polymer VIRP-2.

	VVV ЕН	Ο + CO ₂ VIRPs Light, RT, 2	4 h	ç Ç
Entry	Catalysts	Light sources	Yield (%) ^c	Selectivity (%) ^c
1	VIRP-1	Blue LEDs	17	99
2	VIRP-2	Blue LEDs	69	99
3	VIRP-3	Blue LEDs	51	99
4	VIRP-1	Room natural light	6	99
5	VIRP-2	Room natural light	35	99
6	VIRP-3	Room natural light	34	99
7	VIRP-2	In dark	12	99
8	BPE-IL ^b	Blue LEDs	trace	-
9	BPE ^b	Blue LEDs	trace	-
10	K ₂ CO ₃ ^b	Blue LEDs	trace	-

Table S3 Photocatalytic CO₂ cycloaddition with 1,2-epoxyhexane over different catalysts.^a

^[a] Reaction conditions: 1,2-epoxyhexane (1 mmol), CO₂ balloon (0.1 MPa), the catalyst (30 mg, 5 mol% based on the BPE content), blue LEDs (8 W), at room temperature (RT) for 24 h. ^[b] The dosages of BPE-IL, BPE and K₂CO₃ were 14, 17 and 6 mg based on the BPE content (3.10 mmol g⁻¹) in VIRP-2. ^[c] Yield and selectivity of the product were determined from ¹H NMR spectra.



Fig. S8 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =4.89~4.81 (1H, CH), 4.57~4.53 (1H, CH₂), 4.04~4.00 (1H, CH₂) and 1.31~1.22 ppm (3H, CH₃). * represents the residual solvent THF from the catalyst. Reaction conditions: blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 24 h, yield of 97%.



Fig. S9 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-ethyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (400 MHz, CDCl₃): *δ*=4.70~4.63 (1H, CH₂), 4.54~4.50 (1H, CH₂), 4.10~4.07 (1H, CH₂), 1.85~1.73 (2H, CH₂) and 1.05~1.021 ppm (3H, CH₃). Reaction conditions: blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 24 h, yield of 96%.



Fig. S10 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ =5.28~5.25 (1H, OH), 4.81~4.79 (1H, OCH), 4.51~4.47 (1H, CH₂O), 4.30~4.26 (1H, CH₂O), 3.68~3.64 (1H, CH₂OH) and 3.53~3.48 ppm (1H, CH₂OH). * represents the residual solvent ethyl acetate and water. Reaction conditions: blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 24 h, yield of 97%.



Fig. S11 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-(chloromethyl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =5.00~4.94 (1H, CH), 4.59~4.56 (1H, CH₂), 4.42~4.41 (1H, CH₂) and 3.78~3.68 ppm (2H, CH₂). * represents the residual solvent ethyl acetate. Reaction conditions: blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 24 h, yield of 98%.



Fig. S12 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-(bromomethyl)-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =4.98~4.92 (1H, CH), 4.59~4.57 (1H, CH₂), 4.35~4.33 (1H, CH₂) and 3.58~3.57 ppm (2H, CH₂). * represents the residual solvent ethyl acetate. Reaction conditions: blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 24 h, yield of 93%.



Fig. S13 ¹H NMR spectrum of 4-butyl-1,3-dioxolan-2-one (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ =4.73~4.66 (1H, CH₂), 4.54~4.49 (1H, CH₂), 4.11~4.04 (1H, CH₂), 1.69~1.67 (2H, CH₂), 1.43~1.35 (4H, CH₂) and 0.93~0.90 ppm (3H, CH₃). * represents the residual solvent ethyl acetate. Reaction conditions: blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 48 h, yield of 90%.

Entry	Catalysts	Reaction conditions	Yield (%)	Ref.
		Epichlorohydrin (10 mmol), catalyst (cat. 0.5 mol%),		
1	MOF1	TBAB (2.5 mol%), CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), room temperature (RT),	100	S1
		LED light (18 \times 3 W), 24 h		
2	PCN-224(Mg)	Epichlorohydrin (20 mmol), cat. (0.025 mol%), TBAB (1	99	S2
2		mol%), CO ₂ (1 atm), RT, LED light (3×30 W), 6 h		
2		Epichlorohydrin (20 mmol), cat. (0.12 mol%), TBAB (3	04.0	S3
3	SNNU-97-InV	mol%), CO ₂ (0.8 MPa), RT, 300W Xe lamp, 24 h	94.9	
		Epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (0.5 mol%), TBAB (5		
4	IHEP-9	mol%), CO2 (0.1 MPa), RT, 6500 K compact fluorescent	99	S4
		lamp (45 W), RT, 12 h		
-	Bi-PCN-224	1,2-Epoxypropane (4.5 mmol), cat. (30 mg), TBAB (0.5	99	S5
5		mmol), CO ₂ (1 bar), RT, 300 W xenon lamp, 6 h		
		Epibromohydrin (0.58 mmol), cat. (10 mg), TBAB (0.06		
6	Mg-MOF-74	mmol), DMF (2 mL), CO ₂ (1 bar), 25 °C, 400 W xenon	97	S6
		lamp, 12 h		
7	Zn@MA-POP	Epichlorohydrin (0.5 mL), cat. (30 mg), TBAB (0.160 g),	74	S7
/		CO ₂ (1 bar), 40 °C, 250 W xenon lamp, 8 h	/4	
		Epoxides (4 mmol), cat. (10 mg), TBAB (0.5 mmol),		
8	Py-POP	anhydrous ZnCl ₂ (10 wt %), 250 W Xe lamp, room	94	S8
		temperature, 10 h		
0		Epichlorohydrin (0.5 mL), cat. (30 mg), TBAB (160 mg),	96	S9
9	Co@BiPy-POP	CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), RT, blue LED lamp, 10 h		
	Cs@Ti7Cr14	1,2-Epoxyhexane (3 mmol), cat. (16.7 µmol), TBAB (0.5	99	S10
10		mmol), CO ₂ (1 bar), 50 °C, simulated sunlight, 8 h		
11		1,2-Epoxyhexane (3 mmol), cat. (20 µmol), TBAB (0.5	00	011
11	Ti ₁₈ Bi ₄ catalyst	mmol), CO2 (1 atm), 293 K, 300 W xenon lamp, 14 h	99	S11

Table S4 Comparisons of catalytic performances in the photocatalytic CO2 cycloaddition with epoxides over different metal/metal-free heterogeneous catalysts combined with the cocatalyst TBAB.

12 Al-N-C		Epibromohydrin (0.67 mmol), cat. (20 mg), TBAB (21 mg),	05	\$12
	AI-N-C	DMF (2 mL), CO ₂ (1 bar), 62 °C, 400 W Xe lamp, 9 h	95	512
		Epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (100 mg), TBAB (0.1		
13	CPT-2	mmol), CH ₃ CN + MeOH (15 mL), CO ₂ (1 atm), 25 °C,	94	S13
		white LED (20 W), 24 h		
14	SiWCo@GO DEL	Epichlorohydrin (2 mL), cat. (10 mg), TBAB (322 mg),	08.0	S1/
14	51 W COWOO-1 EI	CO ₂ (1 bar), 75 °C, 808 nm laser, 4 h	90.9	514
		1,2-Epoxypropane (20 mmol), cat. (20 mg), TBAB (0.1		
15	ZNC-800	mmol), CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), RT, 1000 mW cm ⁻² full-spectrum	98.2	S15
		irradiation, 20 h		
		Epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (50 mg), TBAB (0.2 mmol),		
16	ZnO/NCO-L	CH ₃ CN (2 mL), CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), 70 °C, 300 W Xe lamp,	94	S16
		24 h		
		Epichlorohydrin (0.15 mmol), cat. (20 mg), TBAB (0.1		
17	Zn SA-NC	mmol), DMF (1 mL), CO ₂ (1 bar), 65 °C, 300 W Xe lamp,	99	S17
		16 h		
		1,2-Epoxypropane (0.2 mmol), cat. (5 mg), TBAB (5		
18	TpPa-1	mol%), ACN (5 mL), CO ₂ (1 atm), 25 °C, blue LED	86	S18
		(20 W), 8 h		
10	OH_P[5]_on_COF	Epichlorohydrin (0.25 mmol), cat. (1.5 mg), TBAB (0.0125	00	\$10
19	011-1 [5]-011-COF	mmol), CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), 20 °C, full spectrum LED lamp, 3 h	<i></i>	517
20	VIDD 2	1,2-Epoxypropane (1 mmol), cat. (30 mg), CO ₂ balloon	07	This
20	V IKT -2	(0.1 MPa), RT, blue LEDs (8 W), 24 h	21	work
21	Epichlor VIRP-2	Epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (50 mg), CO ₂ balloon	08	This
21		V INT -2	(0.1 MPa), RT, blue LEDs (8 W), 24 h	98
 22	VIDD 2	1,2-Epoxyhexane (1 mmol), cat. (60 mg), CO ₂ balloon	00	This
22	V1KP-2	(0.1 MPa), RT, blue LEDs (8 W), 48 h	20	work

Entry	Catalysts	Reaction conditions	Yield (%)	Ref.
		Epibromohydrin (11.6 mmol), cat. (1 mol%), CO ₂ (1		
1	COF-PI-2	atm), the initial temperature is 25 °C, 500 W xenon	99	S20
		lamp, 24 h		
2	Ni-BNCNTs@HMPs-NH2	Epibromohydrin (10 mmol), cat. (20 mg), CO ₂ (1 atm),	00	S21
2		74.2 °C, 300 W Xe lamp, 12 h	99	821
2		Glycidyl phenyl ether, cat., CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), 79 °C, 300	8 2 5	622
3	12-PIL	W xenon lamp, 12 h	83.5	522
	Br-CN-1-550	Epichlorohydrin (0.15 mmol), cat. (30 mg), DMF (1.5		
4		mL), CO ₂ balloon (0.1 MPa), DMF (1.5 mL), 300	90	S23
		mW·cm ⁻² full-spectrum irradiation, 10 h		
	PV2W10@EB-TFP	Epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (20 mg), DMF (2 mL),		
5		CO ₂ (0.1 MPa), DMF (1.5 mL), 300W Xe lamp,	97.6	S24
		74.2 °C, 4 h		
6	VIRP-2	Epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (50 mg), CO ₂ balloon	08	This
6		(0.1 MPa), RT, blue LEDs (8 W), 24 h	20	work
7	VIRP-2	Epibromohydrin (1 mmol), cat. (50 mg), CO ₂ balloon	02	This
/		(0.1 MPa), RT, blue LEDs (8W), 24 h	73	work

Table S5 Comparisons of catalytic performances in the photocatalytic CO2 cycloaddition with epoxides over different heterogeneous catalysts without using the cocatalyst TBAB.

-



Fig. S15 A five-cycle assessment in the catalytic reusability of VIRP-2 for the photocatalytic CO₂ conversion with epichlorohydrin. Reaction conditions: epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), CO₂ balloon (0.1 MPa), the catalyst VIRP-2 (50 mg), blue LEDs (8 W), room temperature, 24 h.



Fig. S16 FTIR of the fresh catalyst VIRP-2 and the recovered catalyst VIRP-2R.

Entry	Quenchers	Role	Yield (%) ^b	Selectivity (%) ^b
1	-	Standard conditions	98	99
2	<i>p</i> -BQ ^{<i>c</i>}	Electron scavenger	2	99
3	AgNO ₃ ^d	Electron scavenger	24	99
4	Methanol ^e	Hole scavenger	63	99
5	Isopropanol f	Hole scavenger	46	99

Table S6 Quenching experiments to assess the role of photogenerated e⁻ and h⁺ over the photocatalyst VIRP-2.

^[a] Reaction conditions: epichlorohydrin (1 mmol), CO₂ balloon (0.1 MPa), the catalyst VIRP-2 (50 mg), blue LEDs (8W), room temperature, 24 h. ^{*b*} Yield and selectivity of the cyclic carbonate determined by ¹H NMR analyses. ^{*c*} *p*-BQ, *p*-benzoquinone, 0.5 mmol. ^{*d*} AgNO₃, 0.5 mmol. ^{*e*} Methanol, 0.5 mmol. ^{*f*} Isopropanol (IPA), 0.5 mmol.

References

- (S1) N. Sharma, S. S. Dhankhar and C. M. Nagaraja, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2019, 280, 372–378.
- (S2) R. Das, S. S. Manna, B. Pathak and C. M. Nagaraja, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 33285-33296.
- (S3) S.-C. Fan, S.-Q. Chen, J.-W. Wang, Y.-P. Li, P. Zhang, Y. Wang, W. Yuan, and Q.-G. Zhai, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, 61, 14131–14139.
- (S4) Z.-W. Huang, K.-Q. Hu, L. Mei, C.-Z. Wang, Y.-M. Chen, W.-S. Wu, Z.-F. Chai and W.-Q. Shi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, 60, 651–659.
- (S5) G. Zhai, Y. Liu, L. Lei, J. Wang, Z. Wang, Z. Zheng, P. Wang, H. Cheng, Y. Dai and B. Huang, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 1988–1994.
- (S6) L. Li, W. Liu, T. Shi, S. Shang, X. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Tian, L. Chen and Y. Xie, ACS Materials Lett., 2023, 5, 1219–1226.
- (S7) C. Sarkar, R. Paul, D. Q. Dao, S. Xu, R. Chatterjee, S. C. Shit, A. Bhaumik and J. Mondal, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 37620–37636.
- (S8) N. Das, R. Paul, S. Biswas, R. Das, R. Chatterjee, A. Bhaumik, S. C. Peter, B. M. Wong and J. Mondal, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2023, 11, 2066–2078.
- (S9) R. Paul, A. Boruah, R. Das, S. Chakraborty, K. Chahal, D. J. Deka, S. C. Peter, B. K. Mai and J. Mondal, *Small*, 2024, 20, 2305307.
- (S10) D. Wang, A. Said, Y. Liu, H. Niu, C. Liu, G. Wang, Z. Li, C.-H. Tung and Y. Wang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, 61, 14887–14898.
- (S11) C. Liu, H. Niu, D. Wang, C. Gao, A. Said, Y. Liu, G. Wang, C.-H. Tung and Y. Wang, ACS Catal., 2022, 12, 8202–8213.
- (S12) Q. Yang, H. Peng, Q. Zhang, X. Qian, X. Chen, X. Tang, S. Dai, J. Zhao, K. Jiang, Q. Yang, J. Sun, L. Zhang, N. Zhang, H. Gao, Z. Lu and L. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2103186.
- (S13) P. K. Prajapati, A. Kumar and S. L. Jain, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2018, 6, 7799–7809.
- (S14) X. Chen, M. Wei, A. Yang, F. Jiang, B. Li, O. A. Kholdeeva and L. Wu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2022, 14, 5194–5202.
- (S15) Y. Liu, Y. Chen, Y. Liu, Z. Chen, H. Yang, Z. Yue, Q. Fang, Y. Zhi and S. Shan, J. Catal., 2022, 47, 65-76.
- (S16) C. Duan, M. Ding, Y. Feng, M. Cao, J. Yao, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2022, 285, 120359.
- (S17) L. Gong, J. Sun, Y. Liu and G. Yang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 21689-21694.

- (S18) A. Das, R. Mondal, P. Chakrabortty, Sk. Riyajuddin, A. H.Chowdhury, S. Ghosh, A. Khan, K. Ghosh and Sk. M. Islam, *Mol. Catal.*, 2021, 499, 111253.
- (S19) X. Li, X. Niu, P. Fu, Y. Song, E. Zhang, Y. Dang, J. Yan, G. Feng, S. Lei and W. Hu, Appl. Catal. B, 2024, 350, 123943.
- (S20) L. Ding, B. Yao, W. Wu, Z. Yu, X. Wang, J. Kan and Y. Dong, Inorg. Chem., 2021, 60, 12591–12601.
- (S21) Y. Guo, W. Chen, L. Feng, Y. Fan, J. Liang, X. Wang and X. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 12418–12428.
- (S22) X. Fang, L. Yang, Z. Dai, D. Cong, D. Zheng, T. Yu, R. Tu, S. Zhai, J. Yang, F. Song, H. Wu, W. Deng and C. Liu, Adv. Sci., 2023, 10, 2206687.
- (S23) T. Wang, F. Chen, L. Jiang, J. Li, K. Chen and J. Gao, Inorg. Chem., 2024, 63, 4224–4232.
- (S24) T. Wang, Y. Zhu, W. Wang, J. Niu, Z. Lu and P. He, Nano Res., 2024, 17, 5975–5984.