

Unlocking the potential of chemical-assisted water electrolysis for green hydrogen production

Jiwoo Lee,^a Sol A Lee,^{a,b} Tae Hyung Lee,^c and Ho Won Jang^{*a,d}

^aDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Research Institute of Advanced Materials, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

^bDepartment of Applied Physics and Materials Science, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena 91126, United States

^cSchool of Chemical and Biological Engineering, and Institute of Chemical Process, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

^dAdvanced Institute of Convergence Technology, Seoul National University, Suwon 16229, Republic of Korea

E-mail: hwjang@snu.ac.kr

*Corresponding author: hwjang@snu.ac.kr (H.W. Jang)

Table 1. Comparison of the stability of MOR electrocatalysts in various operating potentials.

Catalysts	Applied potential	Stability (s)	Electrolyte	Ref.
Pt–Ni/CNSs (2 : 1)	0.31 V vs Ag/AgCl	3,600	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 1 M CH ₃ OH	1
PtAg/graphene	0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl	2,000	0.1 M HClO ₄ + 1.0 M CH ₃ OH	2
Pt/Nb-Mo ₂ C-rGOh	0.29 V vs Ag/AgCl	3,600	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 1.0 M CH ₃ OH	3
Pt/Mo ₂ C-rGO	0.34 V vs Ag/AgCl	3,600	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 1.0 M CH ₃ OH	3
PtBi	0.35 V vs Ag/AgCl	1,200	1.0 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 1.0 M CH ₃ OH	4
PtNi/PANI	-0.53 V vs Ag/AgCl	3,600	0.5 M KOH + 1.0 M CH ₃ OH	5
nano-NiPh/Pt	-0.32 V vs Ag/AgCl	1,500	0.25 M KOH + 0.25 M CH ₃ OH	6
PtNi/SiO ₂ /PANI/CPE	-0.54 V vs Ag/AgCl	2,000	0.5 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	7
p-Pt/NiCu/p-MDAB/MWCNT	0.40 V vs. RHE	4,000	0.1 M NaOH + 0.5 M CH ₃ OH	8
RGO/PANI/Pt/Cu	-0.54 V vs Ag/AgCl	400	0.5 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	7
PtCo(1:9)/rGO	0.378 V vs Ag/AgCl	1,000	1 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 2.0 M CH ₃ OH	9
Pd/CeO ₂	-0.192 V vs Ag/AgCl	10,800	1 M KOH + 0.5 M CH ₃ OH	10
Pd–Mn ₃ O ₄ /C	-0.58 V vs Hg/HgO	28,800	1 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	11
Pt/karst-Ni	-0.49 V vs. SCE	3,600	1 M KOH+1 M CH ₃ OH	12
Pt/CoSe-0.2/NiSe-nrsa/NF	-0.449 V vs. SCE	3,600	1 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	13
PtCu ₂ /rGO	0.27 V vs. SCE	7,200	0.5 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 1 M CH ₃ OH	14
Mo@Pd/MWCNT	-0.55 V vs. SCE	1,000	1 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	15
Ni _{0.6} Zn _{0.4} O/GCE	0.445 V vs Ag/AgCl	36,000	1 M KOH + 0.1 M CH ₃ OH	16
Ni@3DHPG	0.32 V vs Ag/AgCl	3,600	1 M KOH + 0.75 M CH ₃ OH	17
NiO_AC@PPy/GCE	0.5 V vs Ag/AgCl	10,000	1 M KOH + 0.5 M CH ₃ OH	18
Ni ₉₇ Bi ₃	0.31 V vs Ag/AgCl	43,200	1 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	19
Fe-NF-500	1.328 V vs. RHE	144,000	1 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	20
Ni-Cu/TiN	0.4 V vs Ag/AgCl	3,600	1 M KOH + 1 M CH ₃ OH	21
NiCo ₂ O ₄ /S-rGO	0.12 V vs. Hg/HgO	36,000	1 M KOH + 0.5 M CH ₃ OH	22

Table 2. Comparison of the N₂ Faradaic efficiency of AOR electrocatalysts

Catalysts	N ₂ Faradaic Efficiency	Applied potential	Electrolyte	Ref.
CuSn(OH) ₆ nanorods	84.5	1.0 V vs. Hg/HgO	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ + 10 mM NH ₃	23
Ni(OH) ₂ /NiOOH	76.6	1.0 V vs. Hg/HgO		24
Ni _{0.8} Cu _{0.2} oxyhydroxide	2	1.53 V vs. RHE	0.1 M KOH + 0.5 mM (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	25
tst-Ni(OH) ₂	66	0.6 V vs. Hg/HgO	1.5 M NaOH + 0.5 M NH ₃	26
ust-Ni(OH) ₂	30.5	0.6 V vs. Hg/HgO	1.5 M NaOH + 0.5 M NH ₃	26
NiCu/MnO ₂	97.4	0.6 V vs/ Hg/HgO	0.5 M NaOH + 55 mM NH ₄ Cl	27
NiCu	53.8	0.6 V vs/ Hg/HgO	0.5 M NaOH + 55 mM NH ₄ Cl	27
NiCu ₃ -N-C DAC catalyst	97.8	1.5 V vs. RHE		28
500 CV-Pt	90	-0.25 V vs. Ag/AgCl	5 M KOH + 1 M NH ₃	29
electrodeposited Pt	80	0.5 V vs. RHE	0.5 M KOH + 0.1 M NH ₃	30
Pt-Ir-Rh	91.8	Max. 1 V	0.2 M KOH + 21.5mM NH ₄ OH	31

Table 3. Comparison of the applied voltages of UOR electrocatalysts in various operating current density.

Anode Catalysts	Current density (mA cm ⁻²)				Electrolytes (1 M KOH + xM Urea)	Ref.
	10	20	50	100	Urea (M)	
Ni-WO ₃				1.4	0.33	32
NiFe oxalate (O-NFF)				1.409	0.33	33
Ni-S-Se/NF	1.47			1.6	0.5	34
Rh _{5A} -S-Co ₃ O ₄	1.33				0.5	35
CoS ₂ /MoS ₂	1.29				0.5	36
Fe-Co _{0.85} Se/FeCo LDH	1.32			1.52	0.5	37
Co(OH)F/NF		1.42			0.7	38
Ni(OH) ₂ /CuO NWs/CF		1.38			0.5	39
Fe-NiCo-BDC				1.47	0.5	40
NCVS-3	1.305				0.33	41
Rh-Co ₃ S ₄ /CoO _x NTs	1.35		1.48		0.5	42
Ni SAs-NC	1.39				0.33	43
O-NiMoP/NF	1.36		1.55		0.5	44
Ni ₂ Fe(CN) ₆				1.35	0.33	45
CoP@PNC/PCWF			1.5		0.5	46

Table 4. Comparison of the applied voltages of HzOR electrocatalysts in various operating current density.

Catalysts	Current density (mA cm ⁻²)							Electrolytes (1 M KOH + xM N ₂ H ₄)	Ref.
	10	50	100	200	300	400	500	N ₂ H ₄ (M)	
Ni ₃ N-Co ₃ N PNAs/NF	0.071					0.76		0.1	47
Fe-CoS ₂							0.95	0.1	48
Ni-Co-P/NF				0.88				0.1	49
CoSe ₂	0.164							0.5	50
Ni-C HNSA		0.14		0.4				0.1	51
HEANC/C	0.025		0.181					0.1	52
CoPt ₃ /CoPtC P _L NC	0.13	0.27	0.49					0.5	53
PW-Co ₃ N NWA/NF	0.028			0.277				0.1	54
Mo- Ni ₃ N/Ni/NF	0.055	0.187	0.265		0.423			0.1	55
D-MoP/rGO			0.74					0.5	56
Cu ₁ Co ₂ - Ni ₂ P/NF	0.16		0.39					0.1	57
CC@WO ₃ /Ru SAs	0.025							0.5	58
CC@WS ₂ /Ru SAs	0.0154							0.5	59
RP-CPM	0.023							0.3	60
Ru/PNC			0.19					0.5	61

References

1. S. Liu, F. Dong, Z. Tang and Q. Wang, The formation of wrapping type Pt–Ni alloy on three-dimensional carbon nanosheet for electrocatalytic oxidation of methanol, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2021, **46**, 15431–15441.
2. R. Zhang, W. Xia, W. Kang, R. Li, K. Qu, Y. Zhang, B. Chen, H. Wang, Y. Sun and H. Li, Methanol Oxidation Reaction Performance on Graphene-Supported PtAg Alloy Nanocatalyst: Contrastive Study of Electronic and Geometric Effects Induced from Ag Doping, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**, 3615–3620.
3. M. K. Sahoo and G. R. Rao, Enhanced Methanol Electro-Oxidation Activity of Pt/rGO Electrocatalyst Promoted by NbC/Mo₂C Phases, *ChemistrySelect*, 2020, **5**, 3805–3814.
4. M. Yang, Catalytic activities of PtBi nanoparticles toward methanol electrooxidation in acid and alkaline media, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2013, **229**, 42–47.
5. Ultrathin-Polyaniline-Coated Pt–Ni Alloy Nanooctahedra for the Electrochemical Methanol Oxidation Reaction - Kim - 2019 - Chemistry – A European Journal - Wiley Online Library, <https://chemistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/chem.201900238>, (accessed 28 November 2024).
6. Ahmed. H. Touny and Mahmoud. M. Saleh, Enhanced Methanol Oxidation on Nanoporous Nickel Phosphate Modified Platinum Electrode in Alkaline Solution, *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*, 2018, **13**, 1042–1050.
7. M. S. Lashkenari, M. Ghorbani, N. Silakhori and H. Karimi-Maleh, Enhanced electrochemical performance and stability of Pt/Ni electrocatalyst supported on SiO₂-PANI nanocomposite: A combined experimental and theoretical study, *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 2021, **262**, 124290.
8. M. A. Kamyabi, S. Jadali, L. S. Khangheshlaghi and M. K. H. Heris, A high-performance Pt-based catalyst for the methanol oxidation reaction: effect of electrodeposition mode and cocatalyst on electrocatalytic activity, *New J. Chem.*, 2023, **47**, 1209–1215.
9. R. Baronia, J. Goel, S. Tiwari, P. Singh, D. Singh, S. P. Singh and S. K. Singhal, Efficient electro-oxidation of methanol using PtCo nanocatalysts supported reduced graphene oxide matrix as anode for DMFC, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2017, **42**, 10238–10247.
10. L. Tan, J. Yu, C. Wang, H. Wang, X. Liu, H. Gao, L. Xin, D. Liu, W. Hou and T. Zhan, Partial Sulfidation Strategy to NiFe-LDH@FeNi₂S₄ Heterostructure Enable High-Performance Water/Seawater Oxidation, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2022, **32**, 2200951.
11. K.-H. Ye, S.-A. Zhou, X.-C. Zhu, C.-W. Xu and P. K. Shen, Stability analysis of oxide (CeO₂, NiO, Co₃O₄ and Mn₃O₄) effect on Pd/C for methanol oxidation in alkaline medium, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2013, **90**, 108–111.
12. C.-S. Chen, F.-M. Pan and H.-J. Yu, Electrocatalytic activity of Pt nanoparticles on a karst-like Ni thin film toward methanol oxidation in alkaline solutions, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2011, **104**, 382–389.
13. J. Du, S. You, X. Li, B. Tang, B. Jiang, Y. Yu, Z. Cai, N. Ren and J. Zou, In Situ Crystallization of Active NiOOH/CoOOH Heterostructures with Hydroxide Ion Adsorption Sites on Velutipes-like CoSe/NiSe Nanorods as Catalysts for Oxygen Evolution and Cocatalysts for Methanol Oxidation, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 686–697.

14. X. Peng, Y. Zhao, D. Chen, Y. Fan, X. Wang, W. Wang and J. Tian, One-pot synthesis of reduced graphene oxide supported PtCu catalysts with enhanced electro-catalytic activity for the methanol oxidation reaction, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2014, **136**, 292–300.
15. N. Kakati, J. Maiti, S. H. Lee and Y. S. Yoon, Core shell like behavior of PdMo nanoparticles on multiwall carbon nanotubes and their methanol oxidation activity in alkaline medium, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2012, **37**, 19055–19064.
16. S. Wei, L. Qian, D. Jia and Y. Miao, Synthesis of 3D Flower-Like Ni_{0.6}Zn_{0.4}O Microspheres for Electrocatalytic Oxidation of Methanol, *Electrocatalysis*, 2019, **10**, 540–548.
17. N. Ullah, M. Xie, C. J. Oluigbo, Y. Xu, J. Xie, H. U. Rasheed and M. Zhang, Nickel and cobalt in situ grown in 3-dimensional hierarchical porous graphene for effective methanol electro-oxidation reaction, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 2019, **838**, 7–15.
18. L. Durai, S. S. Gunasekaran and S. Badhulika, A non-noble, low cost, multicomponent electrocatalyst based on nickel oxide decorated AC nanosheets and PPy nanowires for the direct methanol oxidation reaction, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2022, **47**, 3099–3107.
19. A. A. Dubale, Y. Zheng, H. Wang, R. Hübner, Y. Li, J. Yang, J. Zhang, N. K. Sethi, L. He, Z. Zheng and W. Liu, High-Performance Bismuth-Doped Nickel Aerogel Electrocatalyst for the Methanol Oxidation Reaction, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2020, **59**, 13891–13899.
20. Y. Hao, D. Yu, S. Zhu, C.-H. Kuo, Y.-M. Chang, L. Wang, H.-Y. Chen, M. Shao and S. Peng, Methanol upgrading coupled with hydrogen product at large current density promoted by strong interfacial interactions, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2023, **16**, 1100–1110.
21. Y.-H. Mao, C.-Y. Chen, J.-X. Fu, T.-Y. Lai, F.-H. Lu and Y.-C. Tsai, Electrodeposition of nickel-copper on titanium nitride for methanol electrooxidation, *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 2018, **350**, 949–953.
22. Rebekah, C. Viswanathan and N. Ponpandian, NiCo₂O₄ nanoparticles inlaid on sulphur and nitrogen doped and co-doped rGO sheets as efficient electrocatalysts for the oxygen evolution and methanol oxidation reactions, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2021, **3**, 3216–3231.
23. J. Hou, Y. Cheng, H. Pan and P. Kang, CuSn Double-Metal Hydroxides for Direct Electrochemical Ammonia Oxidation to Dinitrogen, *ChemElectroChem*, 2022, **9**, e202101301.
24. Y.-J. Shih, Y.-H. Huang and C. P. Huang, *In-situ* electrochemical formation of nickel oxyhydroxide (NiOOH) on metallic nickel foam electrode for the direct oxidation of ammonia in aqueous solution, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2018, **281**, 410–419.
25. X. Jiang, D. Ying, X. Liu, M. Liu, S. Zhou, C. Guo, G. Zhao, Y. Wang and J. Jia, Identification of the role of Cu site in Ni-Cu hydroxide for robust and high selective electrochemical ammonia oxidation to nitrite, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2020, **345**, 136157.
26. Y. Jin, Y. Liu, R. Wu and J. Wang, Local tensile strain boosts the electrocatalytic ammonia oxidation reaction, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **60**, 1104–1107.
27. K. Nagita, Y. Yuhara, K. Fujii, Y. Katayama and M. Nakayama, Ni- and Cu-co-Intercalated Layered Manganese Oxide for Highly Efficient Electro-Oxidation of Ammonia Selective to Nitrogen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 28098–28107.
28. H. Zhang, H. Wang, L. Zhou, Q. Li, X. Yang, Y. Wang, M. Zhang and Z. Wu, Efficient and highly selective direct electrochemical oxidation of ammonia to dinitrogen facilitated by NiCu diatomic site catalysts,

- Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2023, **328**, 122544.
29. Y. Yang, J. Kim, H. Jo, A. Seong, M. Lee, H.-K. Min, M. Seo, Y. Choi and G. Kim, A rigorous electrochemical ammonia electrolysis protocol with in operando quantitative analysis, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 11571–11579.
 30. J. Gwak, M. Choun and J. Lee, Alkaline Ammonia Electrolysis on Electrodeposited Platinum for Controllable Hydrogen Production, *ChemSusChem*, 2016, **9**, 403–408.
 31. E. P. Bonnin, E. J. Biddinger and G. G. Botte, Effect of catalyst on electrolysis of ammonia effluents, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2008, **182**, 284–290.
 32. L. Wang, Y. Zhu, Y. Wen, S. Li, C. Cui, F. Ni, Y. Liu, H. Lin, Y. Li, H. Peng and B. Zhang, Regulating the Local Charge Distribution of Ni Active Sites for the Urea Oxidation Reaction, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2021, **60**, 10577–10582.
 33. J. Kim, M. Kim, S. S. Han and K. Cho, Accessible Ni-Fe-Oxalate Framework for Electrochemical Urea Oxidation with Radically Enhanced Kinetics, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2024, **34**, 2315625.
 34. N. Chen, Y.-X. Du, G. Zhang, W.-T. Lu and F.-F. Cao, Amorphous nickel sulfoselenide for efficient electrochemical urea-assisted hydrogen production in alkaline media, *Nano Energy*, 2021, **81**, 105605.
 35. A. Kumar, X. Liu, J. Lee, B. Debnath, A. R. Jadhav, X. Shao, V. Q. Bui, Y. Hwang, Y. Liu, M. G. Kim and H. Lee, Discovering ultrahigh loading of single-metal-atoms *via* surface tensile-strain for unprecedented urea electrolysis, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2021, **14**, 6494–6505.
 36. C. Li, Y. Liu, Z. Zhuo, H. Ju, D. Li, Y. Guo, X. Wu, H. Li and T. Zhai, Local Charge Distribution Engineered by Schottky Heterojunctions toward Urea Electrolysis, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2018, **8**, 1801775.
 37. H. Yu, S. Zhu, Y. Hao, Y. Chang, L. Li, J. Ma, H. Chen, M. Shao and S. Peng, Modulating Local Interfacial Bonding Environment of Heterostructures for Energy-Saving Hydrogen Production at High Current Densities, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2023, **33**, 2212811.
 38. M. Song, Z. Zhang, Q. Li, W. Jin, Z. Wu, G. Fu and X. Liu, Ni-foam supported Co(OH)F and Co-P nanoarrays for energy-efficient hydrogen production *via* urea electrolysis, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 3697–3703.
 39. H. Sun, J. Liu, G. Chen, H. Kim, S. Kim, Z. Hu, J. Chen, S. Haw, F. Ciucci and W. Jung, Hierarchical Structure of CuO Nanowires Decorated with Ni(OH)₂ Supported on Cu Foam for Hydrogen Production via Urea Electrocatalysis, *Small Methods*, 2022, **6**, 2101017.
 40. M. Li, H. Sun, J. Yang, M. Humayun, L. Li, X. Xu, X. Xue, A. Habibi-Yangjeh, K. Temst and C. Wang, Mono-coordinated metallocene ligands endow metal-organic frameworks with highly efficient oxygen evolution and urea electrolysis, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2022, **430**, 132733.
 41. Z. Ji, Y. Song, S. Zhao, Y. Li, J. Liu and W. Hu, Pathway Manipulation via Ni, Co, and V Ternary Synergism to Realize High Efficiency for Urea Electrocatalytic Oxidation, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 569–579.
 42. D. C. Nguyen, T. L. L. Doan, S. Prabhakaran, D. H. Kim, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Rh single atoms/clusters confined in metal sulfide/oxide nanotubes as advanced multifunctional catalysts for green and energy-saving hydrogen productions, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2022, **313**, 121430.
 43. H. Jiang, J. Xia, L. Jiao, X. Meng, P. Wang, C.-S. Lee and W. Zhang, Ni single atoms anchored on N-doped carbon nanosheets as bifunctional electrocatalysts for Urea-assisted rechargeable Zn-air batteries, *Applied*

- Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2022, **310**, 121352.
44. H. Jiang, M. Sun, S. Wu, B. Huang, C. Lee and W. Zhang, Oxygen-Incorporated NiMoP Nanotube Arrays as Efficient Bifunctional Electrocatalysts For Urea-Assisted Energy-Saving Hydrogen Production in Alkaline Electrolyte, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2021, **31**, 2104951.
 45. S.-K. Geng, Y. Zheng, S.-Q. Li, H. Su, X. Zhao, J. Hu, H.-B. Shu, M. Jaroniec, P. Chen, Q.-H. Liu and S.-Z. Qiao, Nickel ferrocyanide as a high-performance urea oxidation electrocatalyst, *Nat Energy*, 2021, **6**, 904–912.
 46. J. Kang, F. Yang, C. Sheng, H. Xu, J. Wang, Y. Qing, Y. Wu and X. Lu, CoP Nanoparticle Confined in P, N Co-Doped Porous Carbon Anchored on P-Doped Carbonized Wood Fibers with Tailored Electronic Structure for Efficient Urea Electro-Oxidation, *Small*, 2022, **18**, 2200950.
 47. Q. Qian, J. Zhang, J. Li, Y. Li, X. Jin, Y. Zhu, Y. Liu, Z. Li, A. El-Harairy, C. Xiao, G. Zhang and Y. Xie, Artificial Heterointerfaces Achieve Delicate Reaction Kinetics towards Hydrogen Evolution and Hydrazine Oxidation Catalysis, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2021, **60**, 5984–5993.
 48. X. Liu, J. He, S. Zhao, Y. Liu, Z. Zhao, J. Luo, G. Hu, X. Sun and Y. Ding, Self-powered H₂ production with bifunctional hydrazine as sole consumable, *Nat Commun*, 2018, **9**, 4365.
 49. L. Zhu, J. Huang, G. Meng, T. Wu, C. Chen, H. Tian, Y. Chen, F. Kong, Z. Chang, X. Cui and J. Shi, Active site recovery and N-N bond breakage during hydrazine oxidation boosting the electrochemical hydrogen production, *Nat Commun*, 2023, **14**, 1997.
 50. J. Zhang, H. Wang, Y. Tian, Y. Yan, Q. Xue, T. He, H. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Chen and B. Y. Xia, Anodic Hydrazine Oxidation Assists Energy-Efficient Hydrogen Evolution over a Bifunctional Cobalt Perselenide Nanosheet Electrode, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2018, **57**, 7649–7653.
 51. Y. Zhu, J. Zhang, Q. Qian, Y. Li, Z. Li, Y. Liu, C. Xiao, G. Zhang and Y. Xie, Dual Nanoislands on Ni/C Hybrid Nanosheet Activate Superior Hydrazine Oxidation-Assisted High-Efficiency H₂ Production, *Angew Chem Int Ed*, 2022, **61**, e202113082.
 52. G. Feng, Y. Pan, D. Su and D. Xia, Constructing Fully-Active and Ultra-Active Sites in High-Entropy Alloy Nanoclusters for Hydrazine Oxidation-Assisted Electrolytic Hydrogen Production, *Advanced Materials*, 2024, **36**, 2309715.
 53. Z. Li, W. Wang, Q. Qian, Y. Zhu, Y. Feng, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, M. Cheng and G. Zhang, Magic hybrid structure as multifunctional electrocatalyst surpassing benchmark Pt/C enables practical hydrazine fuel cell integrated with energy-saving H₂ production, *eScience*, 2022, **2**, 416–427.
 54. Y. Liu, J. Zhang, Y. Li, Q. Qian, Z. Li, Y. Zhu and G. Zhang, Manipulating dehydrogenation kinetics through dual-doping Co₃N electrode enables highly efficient hydrazine oxidation assisting self-powered H₂ production, *Nat Commun*, 2020, **11**, 1853.
 55. Y. Liu, J. Zhang, Y. Li, Q. Qian, Z. Li and G. Zhang, Realizing the Synergy of Interface Engineering and Chemical Substitution for Ni₃N Enables its Bifunctionality Toward Hydrazine Oxidation Assisted Energy-Saving Hydrogen Production, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2021, **31**, 2103673.
 56. Y. Gao, Q. Wang, T. He, J.-Y. Zhang, H. Sun, B. Zhao, B. Y. Xia, Y. Yan and Y. Chen, Defective crystalline molybdenum phosphides as bifunctional catalysts for hydrogen evolution and hydrazine oxidation reactions during water splitting, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 2686–2695.
 57. C. Feng, M. Lv, J. Shao, H. Wu, W. Zhou, S. Qi, C. Deng, X. Chai, H. Yang, Q. Hu and C. He, Lattice

- Strain Engineering of Ni₂P Enables Efficient Catalytic Hydrazine Oxidation-Assisted Hydrogen Production, *Advanced Materials*, 2023, **35**, 2305598.
58. J. Li, C. Zhang, C. Zhang, H. Ma, Y. Yang, Z. Guo, Y. Wang and H. Ma, Electronic configuration of single ruthenium atom immobilized in urchin-like tungsten trioxide towards hydrazine oxidation-assisted hydrogen evolution under wide pH media, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2022, **430**, 132953.
59. J. Li, Y. Li, J. Wang, C. Zhang, H. Ma, C. Zhu, D. Fan, Z. Guo, M. Xu, Y. Wang and H. Ma, Elucidating the Critical Role of Ruthenium Single Atom Sites in Water Dissociation and Dehydrogenation Behaviors for Robust Hydrazine Oxidation-Boosted Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution, *Adv Funct Materials*, 2022, **32**, 2109439.
60. Y. Li, J. Zhang, Y. Liu, Q. Qian, Z. Li, Y. Zhu and G. Zhang, Partially exposed RuP₂ surface in hybrid structure endows its bifunctionality for hydrazine oxidation and hydrogen evolution catalysis, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, eabb4197.
61. X. Guan, Q. Wu, H. Li, S. Zeng, Q. Yao, R. Li, H. Chen, Y. Zheng and K. Qu, Identifying the roles of Ru single atoms and nanoclusters for energy-efficient hydrogen production assisted by electrocatalytic hydrazine oxidation, *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 2023, **323**, 122145.