Supporting Information

Real-Time Elemental Analysis of Liquids for Process Monitoring Using Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy with a Liquid Wheel Sampling Approach

H. B. Andrews,^{1,*} Z. B. Kitzhaber,¹ B. T. Manard,² M.Z. Martin,³ L. R. Sadergaski¹

¹Radioisotope Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

²Chemical Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA ³Biosciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA *Corresponding author: andrewshb@ornl.gov (H.B.A.)

Figures: 4

Tables: 3



Fig. S1. (Top) SBR and (bottom) optimization score of analyte signals versus wheel rotation speed.



Fig. S2. (Top) SBR and (bottom) optimization score of analyte signals versus sheath gas flow rate.



Fig. S3. (Top) SBR and (bottom) optimization score of analyte signals versus delay time.



Fig. S4. (Top) SBR and (bottom) optimization score of analyte signals versus integration time.

	Concentration (µg mL ⁻¹)		
Analyte	ICP-OES	Standard	
	mean	deviation	
Na	219.8	6.375	
Al	428.6	33.86	
Κ	317.0	26.31	
Ca	347.6	12.86	
Ti	858.2	40.34	
Sr	363.9	31.29	
Mo	906.7	23.57	
Yb	799.1	32.76	

Table S1. ICP-OES calibration sample analysis.

Table S2. PLSR mo	odel information.
-------------------	-------------------

Analyte	Wavelengths (nm)	Number of latent variables
Na	300-700	2
Al	350-400	6
Κ	300-800	3
Ca	300-400	2
Ti	300-350	7
Sr	300-500	4
Mo	525-575	8
Yb	300-400	6

Table S3. Real-time PLSR model information.

Analyte	Wavelengths (nm)	Number of latent variables
Na	300-700	4
Κ	300-800	4
Ca	300-400	2
Sr	300-500	4

Note: spectra were normalized to total light.