Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Lab on a Chip. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

# **1** Electronic Supplementary Information

- The supplementary information includes details on primer sequences, lateral flow detection, 2
- 3 multiplex reaction optimization, and images of lateral flow strips from clinical sample testing.
- 4



5

Figure S1. Lateral Flow Strip Schematic. Lateral flow strips were adapted from [25] to include 6 capture antibodies

7

8

Primer Name	Primer Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$
fP-S	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTGC+A
fP-S-1	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTGCA
fP-S-2	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCT+GC+A
fP-S-3	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCC+A
fP-S-4	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTC+CA
fP-S-5	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTC+C+AC
fP-S-6	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCT+C+C+A

9 Table S1.  $\beta^{s}$  allele-specific forward primer candidates. All primer candidates used during the  $\beta^{s}$ 

10 primer screen in Fig. 1, where "+" precedes LNA nucleotides. 5BiosG: 5' biotin.

Primer Name	Primer Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$
fP-A	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCC+TG
fP-A-v1	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCC+TC
fP-A-v2	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCAT+C
fP-A-v3	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCA+T+C
fP-A-v4	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCAC+C
fP-A-v5	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCC+CC
fP-A-v6	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCA+TC
fP-A-v7	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCC+T+C
fP-A-v8	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCA+CC

11 Table S2.  $\beta^{A}$  allele-specific forward primer candidates All primer candidates used during the  $\beta^{A}$ 12 primer screen in Fig. 2, where "+" precedes LNA nucleotides. 5BiosG: 5' biotin.

Primer Name	Primer Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$		
fP-C	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCAT+T		
fP-C-v1	/5BiosG/AGGGCAGTAACGGCAGACTTCTCC+TT		

- 13 Table S3.  $\beta^{C}$  allele-specific forward primer candidates. All primer candidates used during the  $\beta^{C}$
- 14 primer screen in Fig. S3.

			Mul	tiplex As:	say Resi	ult	
		Invalid	AA	AS	SS	s	С
est line ositivity	А	X	>	$\checkmark$	X	$\checkmark$	X
	S	X	×	~	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~
μ	С	×	×	X	X	~	$\checkmark$

15

16 Figure S2. Multiplexed Assay Genotype Prediction Algorithm. The combination of test lines that

17 cross the corresponding positivity threshold can be used to predict a sample's genotype using the 18 table above.



Figure S3.  $\beta^{C}$  allele-specific primer screen. (A) Allele-specific forward primers for the  $\beta^{C}$  allele were designed and screened using 10,000 input copies of AA, SS, or CC target. Signal-tobackground ratios of the resultant lateral flow assays are graphed. Individual replicates are represented by a circle, and horizontal lines represent the mean (n=2). \*\*p<0.01; \*\*\*p<0.001; \*\*\*\*p<0.0001; significance determined for each primer pair by a one-way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey's test. (B) Schematic of specificity enhancing modifications incorporated into fP-C that achieve allele-specific amplification of DNA encoding for the  $\beta^{C}$  globin allele.

### 29 Optimization of multiplexed allele-specific RPA

#### 30 Methods

31 5 M betaine (ThermoFisher J77507), Tte UvrD helicase (20 µg/mL, New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA), and T4 gene 32 single-stranded binding protein (10 mg/mL, New England 32 BioLabs) were explored as specificity enhancing additives. For multiplex optimization 33 experiments, each lyophilized enzyme pellet was rehydrated with 37.5  $\mu$ L master mix containing 34 29.5 µL of rehydration buffer, 0.35 µL-1.13 µL of each allele-specific primer, 1.05 µL unmodified 35 reverse primer, 0.5 µL biotinylated probe, specificity enhancing additives when noted, and 36 supplemented with nuclease-free water to reach a final volume of 37.5 µL. 10 µL multiplex RPA 37 reactions contained 7.5 µL of the rehydrated enzyme pellet mixture, 2 µL of target at a 38 concentration of 5000 copies per µL, and 0.5 µL of 280 mM magnesium acetate. For the 10 mM 39 40 Mg condition, 0.5 µL of magnesium acetate at 200 mM was used; for the 17.5 mM Mg condition, reactions contained 7.375 µL of rehydration mixture, and an increased volume of 0.625 µL of 280 41 42 mM magnesium – the additional volume of magnesium required was subtracted from the water volume. For multiplex optimization reactions, the singleplex positivity thresholds were averaged 43 44 to form a composite positivity threshold to inform optimization of the multiplexed reaction - the multiplexed assay formulations that achieved sensitive amplification of all three alleles and 45 46 resulted in clear differentiation of genotypes of interest were considered optimal.

#### 47 Forward primer optimization in multiplex reaction

48 Using DNA containing only one allele of interest (AA, SS or CC), we first optimized the 49 total forward primer concentration (Fig. S4). We found that decreasing the total forward primer concentration while maintaining a 1:1:1 ratio of fP-C, fP-S and fP-A resulted in stronger signal 50 formation at the S test line and more consistent signal formation at the A test line. This perhaps 51 indicates that the forward primers are inhibiting each other and preventing efficient amplification 52 of DNA containing the allele of interest. Given the strong S line signal formation and the more 53 consistent A line signal formation, 700 nM total forward primer was chosen for additional 54 optimization. 55



57 Figure S4. Optimization of forward primer concentrations and ratios in multiplexed reaction with homozygous target DNA. (A) Purchased DNA of genotypes AA, SS, and CC (n=2) were 58 59 amplified in a multiplexed reaction containing 700, 1000, or 1300 nM total of forward primer, divided equally between fP-S, fP-A, and fP-C. Signal-to-background ratio (SBR) at the test line of 60 interest for each genotype is plotted against increasing total forward primer concentration. As the 61 62 total amount of forward primer increases, the corresponding test line signals decrease. A composite 63 positivity threshold, calculated by averaging the three test line positivity thresholds from Fig. 3, is indicated by the dashed line. (B) SBR for AA, SS, and CC target (n=2) at the test line of interest 64 is plotted against decreasing percentages of S primer while holding the total forward primer 65 concentration at 700 nM based on results from (A). The ratio between fP-A and fP-C ratios was 66 maintained at 1:1. A composite positivity threshold is indicated by the dashed line. One AA 67 68 replicate was excluded from the 30% fP-S condition due to pipetting errors. 69

Next, we sought to optimize the relative forward primer ratios to avoid primer inhibition and achieve sensitive detection at all three test lines. Since fP-S resulted in the strongest amplification and signal generation when all primers had equal concentrations, we hypothesized that it was the most efficient forward primer and that lowering its percentage while maintaining fP-C and fP-A at a 1:1 ratio would allow strong signal formation at all three test lines. Therefore, we tested decreasing the percentage of fP-S from 33% down to 30%, 25% and 20% while holding the total forward primer concentration constant at 700 nM using purchased DNA with genotypes AA, SS, and CC (Fig. S4B). We found that as the percentage of fP-S decreased, the S test line signal also decreased. However, the signals at the A and C test lines did not have a corresponding increase as their concentrations increased, indicating that perhaps fP-A and fP-C are mutually inhibitory. The best performing primer ratio combination, 25% fP-S, 37.5% fP-A, and 37.5% fP-C, resulted in signal generation above the positivity thresholds for all the homozygous targets tested.

83 We then wanted to validate that the optimal primer ratios for homozygous targets would also allow sensitive detection and differentiation of heterozygous targets of interest, AS and SC. 84 We used the previously best performing ratio of 25% fP-S, 37.5% fP-A and 37.5% fP-C to amplify 85 purchased DNA with genotypes AA, AS, SS and SC (Fig. S5A). Homozygous targets AA and SS 86 87 had signal above the positivity threshold. However, AS target only had one replicate generate a 88 signal above the positivity threshold at the A line, and SC target had no signal above the positivity 89 threshold at the test lines of interest. Given our hypothesis that fP-A and fP-C are mutually inhibitory, we decided to lower the concentration of fP-A to 35% and slightly increase the 90 91 concentration of fP-S to 27.5% to increase its amplification efficiency with heterozygous targets -92 this altered forward primer ratio was able to restore positive signal formation for heterozygous 93 targets AS and SC (Fig. S5B).



95 Figure S5. **Primer ratio validations with heterozygous targets.** (A) The best performing primer 96 ratios from Fig. S4 was evaluated with purchased DNA with both homozygous genotypes (AA, 97 SS) and heterozygous genotypes (AS, SC) of interest. The resultant lateral flow strips are shown 98 in the middle, with analyzed signal-to-background ratio (SBR) below. (B) Slight changes to the 99 primer ratios (highlighted in blue) result in stronger signal formation at the test lines of interest for 100 heterozygous targets AS and SC.

101

102 Of note, faint non-specific signal formation is observed at the A test line even when the  $\beta^{A}$ 103 allele is not present, likely due to concentration dependent specificity (i.e. fP-A is unable to 104 maintain allele specificity as millions of copies of off-target amplicons are generated). These 105 results indicated that the reaction needed further optimization to better differentiate between 106 genotypes AS, which does not require treatment, and SS, which does require treatment, either by 107 completely suppressing non-specific signal formation at the A line from targets containing off-108 target alleles or improving the amplification efficiency of fP-A.

#### 109 Magnesium, additives, and temperature optimization

To further improve the performance of the multiplexed reaction, we explored three different strategies: 1) magnesium catalyst concentration optimization; 2) specificity enhancing additives; and 3) reaction temperature optimization. Previous publications offer conflicting reports of whether decreased [18]or increased [19] magnesium concentrations results in greater RPA specificity for differentiating point mutations. Therefore, we evaluated both lowering the magnesium concentration to 10 mM and increasing the magnesium concentration to 17.5 mM compared to the kit's recommended concentration of 14 mM (Fig. S6).



117

Figure S6. Magnesium concentration effects on multiplex reaction. Magnesium acetate catalyst 118 119 was included in the multiplexed reaction at (A) 14 mM, the manufacturer's recommended concentration, (B) 10 mM, and (C) 17.5 mM. The reaction was evaluated with purchased DNA 120 121 with genotypes AA, AS, SS, and SC. The lateral flow strips are shown in the center row and the analyzed signal-to-background ratio (SBR) is in the bottom row. Magnesium was found to inhibit 122 amplification efficiency at 10 mM, and increase amplification efficiency of fP-S and fP-C at 17.5 123 124 mM, but no impacts on allele specificity for fP-A were observed. Data in panel (A) was reproduced from Fig. S5 to better visualize effects of altered magnesium concentrations. 125 126

127 Results indicated that amplification efficiency was greatly reduced at lower magnesium 128 concentrations, as only reactions containing DNA with genotype AA produced valid lateral flow 129 strips (Fig. S6A). On the other hand, increasing the magnesium concentration seemed to greatly 130 improve the amplification efficiency of fP-S and fP-C, but faint non-specific signal at the A test line could be still seen for off-target genotypes SS and SC (Fig. S6C), thus making it difficult to 131 132 distinguish target DNA with genotype AS from target DNA with genotype SS. Interestingly, the efficiency of fP-A seemed to be inhibited at this higher concentration of magnesium, which is most 133 134 clearly seen in the faint test lines in target DNA with genotype AA.

135 We next evaluated whether the inclusion of RPA additives could improve the sensitivity and specificity of fP-A in the multiplexed reaction. We first evaluated the effects of incorporating 136 Tte UvrD helicase at 0.4 ng and 0.6 ng per reaction, as Tte UvrD helicase has previously been 137 138 shown to reduce non-specific amplification in isothermal amplification [55]. Including 0.4 ng of Tte UvrD helicase in the multiplexed reaction resulted in good genotype differentiation between 139 140 purchased DNA of genotypes AA, AS, SS, and SC using the composite positivity threshold (Fig. S7A), but increasing the amount of helicase to 0.6 ng per reaction did not provide additional 141 142 specificity in comparison to 0.4 ng per reaction, and resulted in reduced amplification efficiency of fP-A (Fig. S7B). 143



Figure S7. Effects of specificity enhancing additives on multiplex reaction. Multiplexed reactions containing (A) 0.4 M betaine, (B) 0.4 ng of Tte UvrD helicase per reaction, (C) 0.6 ng Tte UvrD helicase per reaction, or (D) T4 G32 single-stranded binding protein were evaluated with DNA of genotypes AA, AS, SS, and SC. Lateral flow strips are shown on the middle row, and the analyzed signal-to-background ratio of those strips is plotted on the boom. Condition (A) was chosen as the best performing multiplex assay formulation.

151

152 We also tested if adding 0.4 M betaine (Fig. S7C) could improve the multiplexed reaction performance, as previous reports have indicated betaine helps reduce non-specific product 153 154 formation in RPA [56] and improves recombinase-based amplification [57]. However, at a concentration of 0.4 M in the multiplexed reaction, betaine completely inhibited amplification. T4 155 156 gene 32 single stranded binding protein (Fig. S7D) was also evaluated as an additive- we hypothesized that additional single stranded binding protein could stabilize primers and reduce 157 off-target interactions. The addition of single-stranded binding protein was also promising at 158 reducing non-specific A test line formation for samples containing genotypes SS and SC. 159 However, fP-A amplification was again negatively impacted, especially for target DNA with 160

161 genotype AS. Of the strategies tested, 0.4 ng of Tte UvrD helicase was chosen as the most162 promising condition and used for additional optimization.

163 Next we hypothesized that increasing the reaction incubation temperature could improve 164 reaction performance. We evaluated the multiplexed reaction incubated at 42 °C and 45 °C (Fig. 165 S8) and found that increased temperatures resulted in decreased amplification, likely due to 166 polymerase and enzyme deactivation at these higher temperatures.

167 Of all the multiplexed assay formulations tested in these optimization experiments, the 168 multiplexed reaction with a standard concentration of 14 mM magnesium, the addition of 0.4 ng 169 per reaction of Tte UvrD helicase, and an incubation temperature of 39 °C (Fig. S7A) was chosen 170 as the optimal reaction conditions.



171

172 Figure S8. Multiplex reaction temperature optimization. The multiplexed RPA reaction was

173 incubated at an increased temperature of (A) 42 °C or (B) 45 °C. The resultant lateral flow strips 174 with target DNA of genotypes AA, AS, SS and SC are shown in the middle, and the analyzed

174 with target DIVA of genotypes AA, AS, SS and SC are shown in the initiate, and the analyzed 175 signal-to-background ratio at the A, S, and C test lines are plotted below them for each reaction

176 temperature tested. Increased reaction temperature negatively affected the performance of the

177 multiplexed reaction.



180

Figure S9. Analyzed lateral flow strips from clinical sample testing. Scanned lateral flow strips 181 182 (top) resulting from testing of extracted genomic DNA from clinical samples of genotypes AA, SS, or SC. Lateral flow strips for which the multiplexed assay assigned an incorrect genotype are 183 outlined in red, and lateral flow strips for which the multiplexed assay classified as invalid are 184 185 highlighted orange. Sample DNA concentrations, as measured by NanoDrop, are listed below each corresponding lateral flow strip. The analyzed signal-to-background at the A, S, and C test lines 186 are plotted below the lateral flow strips, with dashed lines indicating test line-specific positivity 187 188 thresholds. Asterisks indicate SBRs less than the y-axis lower bound.

Test Component	Material	Cost per Test (USD)	
Amulification	RPA nfo reagents	0.85	
Ampinication	Primers and Probe	0.01	
	Capture Antibodies	0.91	
Lateral Flow Detection	Paper/Plastic Components	0.08	
Lateral Flow Detection	Elution Buffer	0.01	
	Gold Nanoshells	5.17	
	Total	7.03	

 190 Table S4. Estimated reagent and materials costs for the multiplexed 10 μL sickle cell disease

191 detection assay with small batch purchasing. Pre-conjugated streptavidin coated gold nanoshells

192 are the most expensive reagent; however, that cost is expected to significantly decrease with

193 manufacturing at-scale.

194