Supporting Information

Iodonium Functionalized Polystyrene as Non-Chemically Amplified

Resists for Electron Beam and Extreme Ultraviolet Lithography

Xindi Yao,[†] Peng Lian,[†] Jinping Chen,^{*,†} Yi Zeng,[†] Tianjun Yu,[†] Shuangqing Wang,[‡] Xudong Guo,[‡] Rui Hu,[‡] Peng Tian,[#] Michaela Vockenhuber,[#] Dimitrios Kazazis,[#] Yasin Ekinci, ^{*,#} Guoqiang Yang^{*,‡} and Yi Li^{*,†}

Table of Contents

- 1. Characterization of PSNA_{0.4} and the films
- 2. Solubility characterization and lithographic performances of the resist in different organic developers
- 3. Normalized remaining thickness (NRT) analysis
- 4. LER measurement of high-resolution SEM images
- 5. Mechanistic analysis of PSNA_{0.4} resist pattern generations
- 6. Etch resistance and pattern transfer capability of the PSNA_{0.4} resist

1. Characterization of $PSNA_{0.4}$ and the films



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of PS (CDCl₃, 600 MHz)



Figure S2. GPC spectrum of PS (Mw=4700, PDI=1.08)



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of N-(p-iodophenyl)-1,8-naphthalimide (CDCl₃, 600

MHz)



Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectrum PSNA_{0.4} (CD₃CN, 600 MHz)



Figure S5. FTIR spectrum of PS and PSNA_{0.4}



Figure S6. (a) TGA plot of the $PSNA_{0.4}$ at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under an N2 atmosphere. (b) DSC curve of the $PSNA_{0.4}$ at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ under an N2 atmosphere.

💫 🕘 🗩 🖉 👘		B	Results	
	1.1 nm		 Image Raw Mean Image Mean Image Standard Deviation 	-0.000000 nm -0.000000 nm 0.304 nm
			Image Z Range Image Z Range Image Surface Area Image Projected Surface Area Image Surface Area Difference Image Re	7.59 nm 100 祄^2 100 祄^2 0.00619 %
	-1.1 nm		- Image Ra - Image Ra - Raw Mean - Mean	0.240 nm 7.59 nm 0.00 nm 0.00 nm
0.0 1: Height Sensor	10.0 um		 Standard Deviation Z Range 	0.00 nm 0.00 nm
S Parameters Inputs Calculate S Parameters Stop Band Inputs Use Threshold	No		 Surface Area Projected Surface Area 	0.00 祄^2 0.00 祄^2
 Threshold Height Feature Direction Number Histogram Bins 	0.00000 nm Above 512		 Rq Pa 	0.00 %

Figure S7. Roughness measurement of PSNA_{0.4} using AFM

2. Solubility characterization and lithographic performances of the resist in different organic developers:

To get an optimal developer, the solubility characteristic of PSNA_{0.4} film in exposed and unexposed regions was examined. The resist films were exposed to a mercury lamp ($\lambda = 254$ nm) for 180 s without masks, and then developed for 60 s with different developer solutions. The results are summarized in Table S1.

Table S1. Solubility of $PSNA_{0.4}$ films before and after 254 nm exposure performed at room temperature and Hansen parameters of related solvents

Solvent	Before	After	Hansen solubility parameter (HSP)			
	exposure $(\%)^{a}$	exposure (%) ^a	Dispersion (δ	Polarity (δ	Hydrogen	
			D)	P)	bonding (δP)	
Cyclohexyl chloride	- (97)	- (75)	17.3	5.5	2.0	
Toluene	- (98)	- (70)	18.0	1.4	2.0	
n-Butyl acetate	- (95)	+- (54)	15.8	3.7	6.3	
MIBK	- (84)	+- (40)	15.3	6.1	4.1	
Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)	+(0)	+ (9)	18.4	16.4	10.2	
1,4-Dioxane	+ (98)	+- (44)	19.0	1.8	7.4	
Isopropyl alcohol (IPA)	- (96)	- (81)	15.8	6.1	16.4	
Acetonitrile	+(0)	- (75)	15.3	18.0	6.1	
Butyl lactate	+(0)	- (61)	15.8	6.5	10.2	

+: Soluble at room temperature; + -: partly soluble; and -: insoluble.

^a The remaining thickness before / after exposure and developed in different solvents for 60s.



Figure S8. SEM images of 1 um line-space patterns formed by PSNA_{0.4} developed

by (a) Acetonitrile (b) n-Butyl lactate



Figure S9. SEM images of negative patterns at HP 25/30/40 nm of PSNA_{0.4} resist developed in n-BL/Acetonitrile developers.

3. Normalized remaining thickness (NRT) analysis

The normalized remaining thickness (NRT) scatter plots with different development conditions were given as shown in Figure S11. After nonlinear fitting the NRT scatter plot by logistic function (a common S-shaped curve),¹ tangent was produced at 0.5 (NRT = 0.5) of the fitted S-shaped curve, as shown in Figure S11 (corresponding to the red line). It is considered that the NRT of photoresist increased linearly on this tangent until it increases to 1, and then stay constant. The sensitivity is defined as the lower limit of dose required to totally retain the resist (D₁₀₀). The dose of the point with NRT = 0 on the tangent is considered to be the upper limit to keep the thickness of resist films unchanged (D₀). The contrast value (γ) is derived from the following formula;

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\left\{ log\left(\frac{D_{100}}{D_0}\right) \right\}}$$



Figure S10. The NRT curves of PSNA_{0.4} resist developed in acetonitrile by EUV irradiation.

4. LER measurement of high-resolution SEM images

The LER parameters for all the patterns were analyzed by the software ProSEM. All the lines in the image were selected for analysis, and the LER on the left and the right were obtained respectively. The average value of them was taken as the LER value of the image.



Figure S11. LER measurement of 20 nm L/S pattern of PSNA_{0.4} resist in EBL.



Figure S12. LER measurement of 18 nm L/S pattern of PSNA_{0.4} resist in EBL.



Figure S13. LER measurement of 25 nm L/S pattern of PSNA_{0.4} resist in EUVL



Figure S14. LER measurement of 22 nm L/S pattern of PSNA_{0.4} resist in EUVL.



5. Mechanistic analysis of PSNA_{0.4} resist pattern generations

Figure S15. The XPS survey spectrums and high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s, S 2p, F 1s, N1s, I 3d and O 1s for the pristine film of PSNA0.4 resist.



Figure S16. The XPS survey spectrums and high-resolution XPS spectra of C 1s, S 2p, F 1s, N1s, I 3d and O 1s for the PSNA0.4 resist films after e-beam exposure.



Figure S17. The XPS survey spectrums and high-resolution XPS spectra of of C 1s, S 2p, F 1s, N1s, I 3d and O 1s for the PSNA0.4 resist films after development.





Figure S18. The 3D AFM topography images of the exposed PSNA_{0.4} resist after (a) development, (b) etching and (c) resist-strip, together with height values.



Figure S19. The SEM pictures of (a) 40 nm L/S (b) 20nm L/3S patterns of $PSNA_{0.4}$ resist upon electron beam exposure. (The samples were selected for further etching process, presented in Figure 7).

1. X.-Y. Lu, H. Luo, K. Wang, Y.-Y. Zhang, X.-F. Zhu, D. Li, B. Ma, S. Xiong, P. F. Nealey, Q. Li and G.-P. Wu, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2021, **31**,2007417.