Supplementary material

Electronic properties modulation for two-dimensional materials of boron phosphorus monolayer and derived single atom catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction

Yuhua Wei⁴ Feng Gao^{1,2,3}[*](#page-0-0) Hong Liu^{1,2,3} Wei Qi^{2,3} Sichao Du^{2,3} Hao Xie^{1,2,3} Duo Xiao 1,2,3

¹School of Information and Electrical Engineering, Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang

310015, China

²Academy of Edge Intelligence Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310015, China

³Zhejiang Engineering Research Center for Edge Intelligence Technology and Equipment, Hangzhou City University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310015, China

⁴*Department of Physics, International Centre of Quantumand Molecular Structures, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China*

Computational details about HER.

To evaluate the stability of the supported single atom catalysts (SACs), the binding energy (E_b) can be calculated by the following equation:

$$
E_b(TM) = E_{TM\text{ (gsub)}} - E_{sub} - E_{TM}
$$
\n
$$
(S1)
$$

where $E_{TM@sub}$, E_{sub} and E_{TM} represent the total energies of TM $@2D$ B_XN materials, $2D B_XN$ materials substrate and TM single atom, respectively. Generally, a negative

^{*}Corresponding author.

E-mail address: gaofengphys@163.com (Feng Gao).

 E_b means stable binding of TM atom on B_xN materials surface, and a more negative value indicates a stronger bonding strength.

To evaluate the HER activity of SACs, the Gibbs free energy change (ΔG_{H^*}) of H adsorption is obtained using the method of Nørskov [1], where the hydrogenation process could be expressed as equation (S2):

$$
H^+(aq) + e^- \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} H_2(g) \quad \Delta G = 0 eV
$$
 (S2)

Therefore, according to the Sabatier principle, the ΔG_{H^*} was a reliable descriptor to evaluate HER activity of catalysts $[2]$. It is well established that the ideal value for HER was $\Delta G_{H^*}=0$, that is, the absolute value of ΔG_{H^*} closes to zero, meaning the SACs own excellent catalytic activity and it was given in equation (S3):

$$
\Delta G_{H^*} = \Delta E_H + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S_H + \Delta G(pH)
$$
 (S3)

 ΔE_H is the differential hydrogen adsorption energy, which is obtained by:

$$
\Delta E_{H} = E_{nH^{*}} - E_{(n-1)H^{*}} - \frac{1}{2} E_{H_{2}}
$$
\n(S4)

 E_{nH} ^{*} and $E_{(n-1)H}$ ^{*} describe the total energies of the 2D B_XN materials substrate with n and (n-1) adsorbed hydrogen atom, respectively, while E_{H_2} is the total energy of a H_2 molecule in gas. ΔE_{ZPE} and ΔS_H are the correction of in zero-point energy and entropy change between the adsorbed H atom and $1/2$ H₂ in gas phase, respectively. Therefore, ΔEZPE is defined as:

$$
\Delta E_{ZPE} = E_{ZPE}^{nH^*} - E_{ZPE}^{(n-1)H^*} - \frac{1}{2} E_{ZPE}^{H_2}
$$
 (S5)

where $E_{ZPE}^{nH^*}$ and $E_{ZPE}^{(n-1)H^*}$ are the zero-point energy of (n+1) and n adsorbed H atoms on the TM@2D BxN materials, respectively. The $E_{ZPE}^{H_2}$ is the zero-point energy of H₂ molecule in the gas phase. ΔS_H is defined as [3,4]:

$$
\Delta S_{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{3N} [-Rln(1 - e^{\frac{-hv_{i}}{Tk_{B}}}) + \frac{N_{A}hv_{i}}{T} \frac{e^{\frac{-hv_{i}}{Tk_{B}}}}{1 - e^{\frac{-hv_{i}}{Tk_{B}}}}] - \frac{1}{2}S_{H_{2}}
$$
(S6)

In general, ΔS_H is calculated as $\Delta S_H \approx -1/2 S_H$, which is approximately 0.20 eV when the value of pH is zero under standard conditions $[5]$. In this study, all calculations consider the HER occurs in all pH value.

At present, there has been controversy on the pH dependence of the catalytic activity [6-8]. Nevertheless, a thermochemical approach established by Nørskov et al. have predicted results in conformity to the experimental trends [2]. Therefore, this theoretical method is widely used to assess the pH dependent free energy barrier for H-adsorption on catalytic surfaces. On the basis of this theory, the entropy of hydrogen ion in an electrochemical process mainly depends on the pH. The effect of pH to ΔG_{H^*} is regarded as an additional entropic potential, then through the correction of entropy changes and vibration at 298.15 K, we can obtain the corrected Gibbs free energy change $(\Delta G(pH))$ [9], using equation (S7):

$$
\Delta G(\text{pH}) = \kappa_{\text{B}} \text{TIn}(10) \times \text{pH}
$$
 (S7)

where $\Delta G(pH)$ is closely in connection with the pH of the electrolyte and is an additional barrier for the H-adsorption. This simple expression as a function of pH values could be adopted to evaluate the catalytic performance of HER. Its essence is a thermodynamic estimate due to the change of the free energy of hydrogen ions in the electrolyte at different pH values. In equation (7), the value of $k_B T ln(10)$ approximately equals to 5.92×10^{-2} eV at T = 298.15 K. At pH=14, ΔG (pH) reaches a maximum value of 0.83 eV, which is in agreement with previous study (0.78 eV) [10].

Hence, the catalytic activity of SACs surface can be effectively improved by adjusting the pH of the electrolyte.

Fig. S1. Phonon band structure of the 2D (a) $B_2N(b) B_3N$ and (c) B_5N monolayers.

Fig. S2. The different TM atoms adsorption on the B₃N monolayer compounds and the corresponding binding energy (E_b) , cohesive energy (E_{coh}) and their energy difference (ΔE).

Fig. S3. The different TM atoms adsorption on the B_5N monolayer compounds and the corresponding binding energy (E_b) , cohesive energy (E_{coh}) and their energy difference (ΔE).

Fig. S4. The fluctuation of total energy in AIMD simulations for Ti@B₂N, Mn@B₃N, Fe@B5N and Mo@B5N monolayers at 300 K.

Fig. S5. The density of states (DOS) spectra for TM@B₂N, TM@B₃N and TM@B₅N. The Fermi levels are set to zero and indicated black dashed lines.

Fig. S6. The electronic conductivity variation of TM@B5N SACs.

Fig. S7. Energy landscape of the Heyrovsky and the Tafel reactions on the (a-b) $Ti@B_5N$ and $(c-d)V@B_5N$ monolayers including the reaction coordinate structure of the initial state (IS), transition state (TS), and final state (FS).

Fig. S8. Energy landscape of the water dissociation reaction on the $Ti@B₅N$ and V@B5N monolayers including the reaction coordinate structure of the initial state (IS), transition state (TS), and final state (FS).

Fig. S9. Under the CPM model, the hydrogen adsorption free energy ΔG(H*) on TM@B5N SACs corresponding to different potentials.

Table S1. The different adsorption sites of TM atoms and the corresponding binding energy (E_b). Taking Sc@B₂N, Sc@B₃N and Sc@B₅N systems as examples.

References

[1] A. Valdes, Z.W. Qu, G.J. Kroes, J. Rossmeisl, J.K. Norskov, Oxidation and photooxidation of water on TiO² surface, J. Phys. Chem. C. 112 (2008) 9872–9879.

[2] J.K. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, A. Logadottir, J.R. Kitchin, J.G. Chen, S. Pandelov, Trends in the Exchange Current for Hydrogen Evolution, J. Electrochem. Soc. 152 (2005) J23–J26.

[3] J.K. Nørskov, T. Bligaard, J. Rossmeisl, C.H. Christensen, Towards the computational design of solid catalysts, Nat. Chem. 1 (2009) 37-46.

[4] Y.A. Zhu, D. Chen, X.G. Zhou, W.K. Yuan, DFT studies of dry reforming of methane on Ni catalyst, Catal. Today. 148 (2009) 260-267.

[5] J. Hu, S. Zheng, X. Zhao, X. Yao, Z. Chen, A theoretical study on the surface and interfacial properties of Ni3P for the hydrogen evolution reaction, J. Mater. Chem. A. 6 (17) (2018) 7827–7834.

[6] G. García, M.T.M. Koper, Stripping voltammetry of carbon monoxide oxidation on stepped platinum single-crystal electrodes in alkaline solution, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 10 (25) (2008) 3802.

[7] S. Intikhab, J.D. Snyder, M.H. Tang, Adsorbed Hydroxide Does Not Participate in the Volmer Step of Alkaline Hydrogen Electrocatalysis, ACS. Catal. 7 (12) (2017) 8314–8319.

[8] I. Ledezma-Yanez, W.D.Z. Wallace, P. Sebastian-Pascual, V. Climent, J.M. Feliu, M. T.M. Koper, Interfacial water reorganization as a pH-dependent descriptor of the hydrogen evolution rate on platinum electrodes, Nat. Energy. 2 (2017) 17031.

[9] D.C. Tranca, F. Rodríguez-Hern´andez, G. Seifert, X. Zhuang, Theoretical models for hydrogen evolution reaction at combined Mo2C and N-doped graphene, J. Catal. 381 (2020) 234–247.

[10] F. Banhart, J. Kotakoski, A.V. Krasheninnikov, Structural defects in graphene, ACS. Nano. 5 (2011) 26–41.