3D Printed Porous Silicone Polymer Composites Using Table Salt as a Sacrificial Template

Santosh Adhikari,^{*,1} Xavier M. Torres,¹ John R. Stockdale,¹ Shelbie A. Legett,¹ Lindsey B. Bezek,¹ Jesus A. Guajardo,¹ Adam Pacheco,¹ Karthik Ramasamy,² Bart Benedikt,³ Matthew Lewis³ and Andrea Labouriau^{*,1}

¹ C-CDE: Chemical Diagnostics and Engineering, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

²SIGMA-1: Fabrication Manufacturing Science, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

³ W-13: Advanced Engineering Analysis, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

*Correspondence: san_adh@lanl.gov (S.A.); and rea@lanl.gov (A.L.)

Supporting Information



Figure S1: Storage and loss moduli as a function of oscillation stress for the grinded resin containing 50wt% of NaCl without filler (Sample P0).



Figure S2: (A) Storage and loss moduli as a function of oscillation stress for the hydrogen getter composite resin and (B) Compressive stress strain curves of polymer getter composites before and after extraction of NaCl.



Figure S3: Cross-section view (left) and top view (right) of the optical microscope images of FCT pads of polymer getter composite (A) before and (B) after NaCl extraction.

| Sample | Yield Stress σ_y | Equilibrium storage | Initial Complex Viscosity | | |
|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| | (Pa) | modulus G' _{eq} (Pa) | (Pa*s) | | |
| P1 | 7128 | 371251 | 46645 | | |
| P2 | 89 | 37659 | 4517 | | |
| P3 | 1066 | 1299600 | 172997 | | |

Table S1: Summary of the rheology data for different polymer resins.

Table S2. Summary of the mechanical properties of the porous samples (results are average from the two runs)

| Sample | Tens | sile test | Compressive strain at 0.6 MPa of | | | |
|-------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Stress (MPa) | Strain (%) | compressive stress (%) | | | |
| P1(before) | - | - | 45 ± 2 | | | |
| P2 (before) | - | - | 34 ± 3 | | | |
| P3 (before) | - | - | 36 ± 4 | | | |
| P1(after) | 0.52 ± 0.02 | 92 ± 3 | 55 ± 3 | | | |
| P2 (after) | 0.54 ± 0.05 | 84 ± 5 | 48 ± 6 | | | |
| P3 (after) | 0.97 ± 0.01 | 83 ± 5 | 53 ± 3 | | | |
| P0(after) | 0.42 ± 0.06 | 126 ± 10 | - | | | |

Table S3. Change in mass, density, and porosity of polymer getter before and after the extraction of NaCl.

| Sample | Mass (g) | | Change | Change | Density(g/cm ³) | | Porosity of 3D | | Mass of functional | | |
|-----------|----------|-------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|--------|------------------|--------|--------------------|--------|-------|
| | | | in mass | in mass | | | printed pads (%) | | filler (%) | | |
| | Before | After | (g) | (%) | Bulk | Before | After | Before | After | Before | After |
| Polymer | 3.056 | 1.535 | 1.521 | 49.8 | 1.412 | 0.756 | 0.415 | 46 | 70 | 10 | 20 |
| getter | | | | | | | | | | | |
| composite | | | | | | | | | | | |