## **Electronic Supporting Information (ESI)**

## Porous network of boron-doped IrO<sub>2</sub> nanoneedles with enhanced mass activity for acidic oxygen

## evolution reactions

Fei Hu,\*a Peiyu Huang, Xu Feng, Changjian Zhou, Xinjuan Zeng, Congcong Liu, C

Guangjin Wang,\*a Xiaowei Yang \*b and Huawen Hu\*a

<sup>a</sup> School of Materials Science and Hydrogen Energy, Foshan University, Foshan, 528000, P.R. China

<sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, P.R. China

<sup>c</sup> Flexible Electronics Innovation Institute (FEII), Jiangxi Science and Technology Normal University, Nanchang 330013, P.R. China

\* Corresponding authors' email addresses: mfhufei@fosu.edu.cn; huawenhu@126.com; wgj501@163.com; yangxw@sjtu.edu.cn



Figure S1. a-d) SEM images of the  $IrO_2$  samples prepared with different structure-shaping agents. (a) and (b) correspond to the samples prepared using chloride ions as the structure-shaping agent, while (c) and (d) involve the use of a mixture of chloride ions and cysteamine hydrochloride as the structure-shaping agent. Scale bars in (a-d): 400 nm.

We selected typical chloride ions and cysteamine hydrochloride as the structure-shaping agent (i.e., capping agent) for the anisotropic growth of IrO<sub>2</sub>, given their strong adsorptive nature. In our preliminary experiments, we confirmed that chloride ions could function as structure-shaping agents, facilitating the formation of nanorod morphologies when used in appropriate amounts (see **Figure S1a**, and **S1b**). Additionally, we explored the combined effects of both chloride ions and cysteamine hydrochloride on the IrO<sub>2</sub> morphology variation. The coexistence of chloride ions and cysteamine hydrochloride results in enhanced uniformity of the produced nanorods or nanoneedles (please see **Figure S1c** and **d**). However, the exclusive use of cysteamine hydrochloride allowed the highest uniformity among the generated nanoneedles that intertwine into a porous network. Therefore, we selected cysteamine hydrochloride as the structure-shaping agent for the preparation of the porous network of IrO<sub>2</sub> nanoneedles. Cysteamine hydrochloride acts as a capping agent, adsorbing onto specific facets and altering the surface energy of the

IrO<sub>2</sub>-cysteamine complex. This causes the crystal to preferentially grow along the <101> direction, resulting in a nanoneedle morphology with a small tip diameter (*Mater. Lett.*, 2012, **68**, 153-156).



Figure S2. a-c) SEM images of A-IrO<sub>2</sub> (a) and B-IrO<sub>2</sub> (b), and L-IrO<sub>2</sub> (c). (d) TEM image of L-IrO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S3. The original TEM image of B-IrO<sub>2</sub>. The red dashed rectangle highlights the region displayed in Figure 2c.



Figure S4. a-f) SEM images of porous networks of  $IrO_2$  and B- $IrO_2$  nanoneedles synthesized at the B/Ir atomic ratios of 0 (a), 3 (b), 5 (c), 8 (d), 11 (e), and 13 (f).



**Figure S5.** The optimized configurations of  $IrO_2$  and B- $IrO_2$  constructed by doping 2, 4, 6 and 8 boron atoms based on DFT computations. Red, blue, and pink spheres represent oxygen, iridium, and boron atoms, respectively.



**Figure S6.** Observing the optimized configurations of  $IrO_2$  and B- $IrO_2$  constructed by doping 2, 4, 6, and 8 boron atoms at different viewing angles based on DFT computations. Red, blue, and pink spheres represent oxygen, iridium, and boron atoms, respectively.



Figure S7. BJH pore size distribution plots depicted based on the desorption branch of the N<sub>2</sub> physisorption isotherms of the A-IrO<sub>2</sub>, L-IrO<sub>2</sub>, and B-IrO<sub>2</sub> samples.

When A-IrO<sub>2</sub> nanoparticles are transformed into L-IrO<sub>2</sub> and B-IrO<sub>2</sub> nanoneedle networks, the mesopore content increases, as evidenced by a more pronounced hysteresis loop. Additionally, B doping promotes further IrO<sub>2</sub> anisotropic crystallite growth, reducing the micropore content to some extent. Similar N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms were observed for IrO<sub>2</sub>-based granules (*Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 1248; *Sci. China Mater.*, 2021, **64**, 2958-2966.) and nanoneedles (*Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **28**, 1704796; *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 1248). The total pore volumes, micropore volumes, and BJH pore size distribution of these samples were summarized in **Table S1** and **Figure S7**. The pores in A-IrO<sub>2</sub> are primarily concentrated below 4 nm, whereas B-IrO<sub>2</sub> contains larger pores up to 10 nm. It is recognized that micropores significantly contribute to the specific surface area, as supported by references [48, 49] in the revised manuscript (*Adv. Energ. Mater.*, 2011, **1**, 678-683; *Energy Fuels*, 2023, **37**, 8284-8295). A-IrO<sub>2</sub> exhibits a micropore volume of 0.5159 cm<sup>3</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>, which is two orders of magnitude higher than that of B-IrO<sub>2</sub> (0.0042 cm<sup>3</sup>·g<sup>-1</sup>).



Figure S8. EPR spectra of the A-IrO $_2$  and B-IrO $_2$  samples.



**Figure S9.** The current density against the scan rate of B-IrO<sub>2</sub>, L-IrO<sub>2</sub>, A-IrO<sub>2</sub>, and CP in the non-faradaic current regions. The C<sub>dl</sub> values of B-IrO<sub>2</sub>, L-IrO<sub>2</sub>, and A-IrO<sub>2</sub> were calculated as 10.34, 35.76, and 49.14 mF·cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Thus, the corresponding ECSAs of B-IrO<sub>2</sub>, L-IrO<sub>2</sub>, and A-IrO<sub>2</sub> were calculated as 344.6, 1192, and 1638, respectively.



**Figure S10.** Comparison of the mass activity of our B-IrO<sub>2</sub> (indicated by an asterisk) with those of previously reported Irbased anodes. For comparison with that of B-IrO<sub>2</sub>, the mass activities at 1.55  $V_{RHE}$  were extracted from the related references (please refer to the updated **Table S3** with more examples). Currently, the loading amount of the Ir catalyst is higher than 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. The dashed area represents the Department of Energy (DOE)'s target, which aims to reduce Ir loading to below 0.5 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> and 0.1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>, corresponding to projected advancements of up to 20 GW/a and 100 GW/a, respectively.

Mass activity measured using a half-cell setup may not accurately reflect the performance of a PEMWE cell, as the mass loading of Ir in PEMWE systems is generally greater than 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> due to the considerations related to anode preparation and the lifetime of the PEMWE [Science 384 (2024) 666-670; Adv. Mater. 36 (2024) 2402643; Adv. Mater. 36 (2024) 2314049; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 146 (2024) 7858-7867]. Our PEMWE incorporating 0.08 mg<sub>Ir</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> of B-IrO<sub>2</sub> possessed the mass activities to be 3625 and 10025 mA·mg<sub>Ir</sub><sup>-1</sup> at cell voltages of 1.6 and 1.9 V, respectively.



Figure S11. a-e) Effects of boron contents in precursors (a), cysteamine HCl contents in precursors (b), calcination temperatures (c), holding durations (d), and loading amounts (e) on the OER performance of B-doped IrO<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S12.** (a) Overlapped CV curves of a series of B-doped  $IrO_2$  samples bearing different B doping extents (including B3, B5, B8, and B11) for measuring their active Ir sites. (b-d) Single CV curves of different B-doped  $IrO_2$  samples, including B3 (b), B5 (c), and B8 (d). Note that redox peaks can barely be observed in (a) for the B11 sample with excessive B doping. It can be noted that the B8 (namely B-IrO<sub>2</sub>) sample exhibits the greatest number of electrochemically active Ir sites.



**Figure S13. a-f**) Nyquist plots (a-c), and Bode plots (d-f) of A-IrO<sub>2</sub> (**a**, **d**), L-IrO<sub>2</sub> (**b**, **e**), and B-IrO<sub>2</sub> (**c**, **f**) at different potentials. (**g**, **h**) The optimum fitting values of  $R_{ct}$  and  $Q_{ct}$ (**g**) and  $R_1$  and  $Q_1$ (**h**) plotted as a function of applied potentials for B-IrO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S14. Equivalent circuit used for fitting the impedance data presented in Figure S13a.



Figure S15. The optimized atomic models for OER intermediates on B-IrO<sub>2</sub>: (a) side view, (b) top view.



Figure S16. The optimized atomic models for OER intermediates on IrO<sub>2</sub>: (a) side view, (b) top view.



Figure S17. Ir 4f XPS spectra for B-IrO<sub>2</sub> before and after the stability test.



Figure S18. SEM image showing the distinct structure of B-IrO<sub>2</sub> on the Ti-felt substrate.



**Figure S19.** (a) Static durability test of the B-IrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in a PEMWE single cell by galvanostatic operation at 2.8 A·cm<sup>2</sup> for 35 h. (b) On-site observation of the operation current and voltage after running for 5 h.

The rapid proton transfer in the PEMWE system is advantageous for achieving high current density output. However, it presents a challenge for anodic catalysts. To evaluate the stability of the B-IrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, we conducted a static durability test at a current density of 2.8 A·cm<sup>-2</sup> for 35 h. The operation began at 2.98 V and remained stable within 4.00 V for the first 24 h, after which the cell voltage increased rapidly. During the operation, the cell was slightly heated up. **Figure S19** illustrates the operation current and voltage after running for 5 h. Overall, the voltage during operation at 2.8 A·cm<sup>-2</sup> remains significantly high and is thus far from practical utilization. The performance of our PEMWE with B-IrO<sub>2</sub> can be further improved by meticulous adjustments to the gas diffusion layers (GDLs), catalyst-coated membrane (CCM), gas and fluid channels, and other components.



Figure S20. Electrochemical OER performance of B-IrO<sub>2</sub> in 1 M KOH and 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrolytes. (a) Polarization curves recorded at a scanning rate of 5 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>. (b) Tafel plots derived from the polarization curves shown in (a). (c) Nyquist plots of samples recorded at 1.5  $V_{RHE}$ . (d) Chronopotentiometric measurements conducted at 10 mA·cm<sup>-2</sup>.

Sample Parameter	A-IrO <sub>2</sub>	L-IrO <sub>2</sub>	B-IrO <sub>2</sub>
Total pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ·g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.1609	0.2171	0.0995
t-Plot micropore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> ·g <sup>-1</sup> )	0.5159	0.0409	0.0042

Table S1. Summary of the total pore volumes and micropore volumes of the A-IrO<sub>2</sub>, L-IrO<sub>2</sub>, and B-IrO<sub>2</sub> samples.

Electrocatalysts B-IrO <sub>2</sub>		Electrolyt e	η@j <sub>10</sub> , mV	η@j <sub>100</sub> , mV	Mass activity @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	Stability	Ref.	
		0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	218	268	3656.3 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> at the Ir loading of $0.08 \frac{mg_{lr}}{cm^2}$	150 h @10 mA·cm <sup>-</sup> <sup>2</sup> & 160 h @ 1 A·cm <sup>-2</sup> for cell	This work	
B-doped amorphous iridium oxide (IrO <sub>x</sub> -B)		0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	255~2 92	/	1523.2 to 5271.6 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> with the Ir loading from 51 to $5.1 \mu g_{Ir}/cm^2$	10 h @10 mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	Sci. China Mater. 64 (2021) 2958-2966	
B-incorporated IrO <sub>2</sub> (B <sub>0.6</sub> -IrO <sub>2</sub> -Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /Ti	-incorporated IrO <sub>2</sub> -Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> B <sub>0.6</sub> -IrO <sub>2</sub> -Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /Ti)		210	264	/	400 h @100 mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	Chem. Eng. J. 491 (2024) 152040	
Nanoporous high-	Al <sub>94</sub> Co <sub>1</sub> Cu <sub>1</sub> Fe <sub>1</sub> Mo <sub>1</sub> Ni <sub>1</sub> B <sub>1</sub>	295 1.0 M		~380	/	/	Nanoscale 16 (2024)	
entropy catalysts doped with B	$Al_{93}Co_1$ $Cu_1Fe_1$ $Mo_1Ni_1I$ $r_1B_1$	КОН 27	277	~350	/	55 h @100 mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	4803-4810	
Ir/B <sub>4</sub> C		0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	283	/	1396.7 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	180 min @10 mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	J. Power Sources 512 (2021) 230506	
IrWB nanochannels		0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	291	350	/	800 h @100 mA·cm <sup>-2</sup>	Nat. Commun. 12 (2021) 3540	

**Table S2.** Comparison of the performance of our B-IrO<sub>2</sub> with recently reported B-doped or incorporated IrO<sub>2</sub>-based electrocatalysts for OER.

Note:  $\eta$  stands for the overpotential.

Electrocatal	η@j <sub>10</sub> (mV)	Mass activity	Mass activity @1 55 Vpur	Electrolyte	Loading amount	Ref.
B-IrO <sub>2</sub>	210	<b>2589.1</b> mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	<b>3656.3</b> mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.08 mg <sub>Ir</sub> /cm <sup>2</sup>	This work
Co-doped SrIrO <sub>3</sub>	245	$\sim 1700 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$ @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	2445.3 mA⋅mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.025 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Nat. Commun.15 (2024) 2928
Ir <sub>3</sub> Ni	282	3720 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> -1 @1.58 V <sub>RHE</sub>	1860 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> -1	0.2 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	0.102 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 146(2024)7858–7867
Ir/WO <sub>3</sub> /CC	249	2858 mA · mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	4732.7 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \mathrm{~M~H_2SO_4}$	0.017 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. (2024) e202406947
IrRuNiMoCo	243	261.5 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	332.1 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	$0.15 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	Adv. Mater. 36 (2024) 2314049
Ir-Ce SSO	238	637.88 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	1057.3 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \mathrm{~M~H_2SO_4}$	$396 \ \mu g_{Ir}/cm^2$	Adv. Funct. Mater. 34 (2024) 2400809
KIr <sub>4</sub> O <sub>8</sub> Nanowire	266	109.6 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	159.6 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \mathrm{~M~H_2SO_4}$	0.281 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Adv. Mater. 36 (2024) 2402643
IrO <sub>2</sub> Nano Ribbons	205	2354.5 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.5 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	$0.5 \mathrm{~M~H_2SO_4}$	$0.23\ mg_{Ir}/cm^2$	Nat. Commun. 14 (2023) 1248
TaO <sub>x</sub> /IrO <sub>2</sub>	288	345 mA ⋅ mg <sup>-1</sup> @ 1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	625.3 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	$0.28 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	Nat. Commun. 14 (2023) 5119
Ir <sub>0.3</sub> Cr <sub>0.7</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	255	81.258 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.5 V <sub>RHE</sub>	353.4 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \mathrm{~M~H_2SO_4}$	/	Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 48 (2023) 5402-5412
Ir@WO <sub>x</sub> NW	/	812 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>-1</sup> @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	812 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>-1</sup>	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	$10.2 \ \mu g_{Ir}/cm^2$	Chem. Eng. J. 464 (2023) 142613
Ir-Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	236	3343.37 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	4477.7 mA $\cdot$ mg <sub>Ir</sub> -1	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	$0.018\ mg_{Ir}/cm^2$	Nat. Commun. 13 (2022) 7754
Amorphous Ir atomic clusters on IrO <sub>2</sub> Nanoneedles	296	~92 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	~92 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	1.0 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.25 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	J. Power Sources 524 (2022) 231069
Ir <sub>x</sub> Pb nanowire networks	307	352 mA ⋅ mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	810.5 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	/	J. Mater. Chem. A 10 (2022) 11196–11204
$Ir_{0.7}W_{0.2}Sn_{0.1}$ O <sub>x</sub>	236	722.7 mA·mg <sub>Ir</sub> <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	0.5 M H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	$0.3 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	Small 18 (2022) 2203365
$IrO_x/Ti_4O_7$	288	372 mA ⋅mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	372 mA⋅mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	0.01 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Catal. Today 403 (2022) 19–27
Ir <sub>0.16</sub> Co <sub>0.84</sub> O <sub>x</sub>	262	1032.16 mA · mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	51.91 µg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Electrochim. Acta 432 (2022) 141199
Ir-MnO <sub>2</sub>	218	766 mA ⋅ mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	$0.5 \text{ M} \text{ H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	/	Joule 5 (2021) 2164–2176
IrO <sub>2</sub> -B	255~ 292	803 to 2779 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub> 831.2 mA·mg <sub>L</sub> <sup>-1</sup>	1523.2 to 5271.6 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	51 to 5.1 $\mu g_{Ir}/cm^2$	Sci. China Mater. 64 (2021) 2958–2966
Ir/CP	250	$\begin{array}{c} @1.525 \text{ V}_{\text{RHE}} \\ 6.8 \text{ A} \cdot \text{mg}_{\text{Ir}}^{-1} \\ @1.6 \text{ V} \end{array}$	/	$0.5~\mathrm{M}~\mathrm{H_2SO_4}$	53.9 µg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Chem. Eng. J. 420 (2021) 127696
IrO <sub>2</sub> @TiN	236	$\begin{array}{c} (0.1.0 \ V_{cell}) \\ 213 \ mA \cdot mg^{-1} \\ (0.1.48 \ V_{RHE}) \end{array}$	767.7 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5~M~H_2SO_4$	/	Mater. Chem. Front. 5 (2021) 8047–8055
Ir/B <sub>4</sub> C	283	$1100 \text{ mA} \cdot \text{mg}^{-1}$ @1.54 V <sub>RHE</sub>	1396.7 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	9.6 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>	J. Power Sources 512 (2021) 230506
La–Ir NF	263	375 mA ⋅mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	375 mA ⋅ mg <sup>-1</sup>	0.1 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	$0.2 \text{ mg/cm}^2$	J. Mater. Chem. A 8 (2020) 12518–12525
30Ir/Au/CP	318.7	440.5 mA ⋅ mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.9 V <sub>cell</sub>	/	$0.5 \text{ M} \text{ H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	0.008 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Applied Catalysis B: Environmental 283 (2021)

Tuble 50. Muss dervices of in Sused OBR electrocal sister and a dervice contained reported recently.	Table	<b>S3</b> .	Mass	s activ	ities	of Iı	-based	1 OER	electro	ocataly	/sts	under	acidic	condit	tions	reporte	d rece	ntly.
--	-------	-------------	------	---------	-------	-------	--------	-------	---------	---------	------	-------	--------	--------	-------	---------	--------	-------

## 

IrO <sub>2</sub> with dendrite-like nanostructure	270	820 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.55 V <sub>RHE</sub>	820 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \mathrm{~M~H_2SO_4}$	0.113 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Sustain. Energ. Fuels 4 (2020) 2462-2468
(Mn, Ir)O <sub>2</sub> :10F	200	40 mA · mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.45 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	$0.5 \text{ M} \text{ H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	0.3 mg/cm <sup>2</sup>	ACS Catal. 9 (2019) 2134- 2157
Ir_VG	300	2700 mA ⋅ mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.53 V <sub>RHE</sub>	5080.3 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \ M \ H_2 SO_4$	/	J. Mater. Chem. A 7 (2019) 20590–20596
IrO <sub>2</sub> /GCN	278	1280 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.6 V <sub>RHE</sub>	507.2 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \ M \ H_2 SO_4$	81 µg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 12540-12544
Li-IrSe <sub>2</sub>	220	66.16 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.44 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	$0.5 \ M \ H_2 SO_4$	250 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 14764-14769
Ru@IrO <sub>x</sub>	282	644.8 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.56 V <sub>RHE</sub>	512.7 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.05 \text{ M} \text{ H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	$51 \ \mu g/cm^2$	Chem 5 (2019) 445-459
6H-SrIrO <sub>3</sub>	248	75 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.525 V <sub>RHE</sub>	139.1 mA·mg <sup>-1</sup>	$0.5 \text{ M} \text{ H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	900 μg/cm <sup>2</sup>	Nat. Commun. 9 (2018) 5236
Mesoporous Ir nanosheets	240	260 mA∙mg <sup>-1</sup> @1.5 V <sub>RHE</sub>	/	$0.5 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	/	J. Am. Chem. Soc. 140 (2018) 12434-12441