## Supporting information to the manuscript

## Revealing the mechanism of reductive, mechanochemical Li recycling from LiFePO<sub>4</sub>

David Geiß<sup>1</sup>, Oleksandr Dolotko<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Sylvio Indris<sup>1</sup>, Christian Neemann<sup>1</sup>, Andrei Bologa<sup>1</sup>, Thomas Bergfeldt<sup>4</sup>, Michael Knapp<sup>1</sup>, Helmut Ehrenberg<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute for Applied Materials-Energy Storage Systems (IAM-ESS), Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Helmholtz-Institute Ulm for Electrochemical Energy Storage (HIU), P.O. Box 3640, D-76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Institute for Applied Materials-Applied Materials Physics (IAM-AWP), Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Karlsruhe, Germany

Table S1: Fit parameters used to describe the Fe Mössbauer spectra of LFP ball-milled for up to 5 h with Al metal foil (1:3 molar ratio of LFP to Al): isomer shift (IS), quadrupole splitting (QS), Hyperfine field ( $B_{hf}$ ), line width ( $\Gamma$ ), and area fraction. IS, QS, and  $\Gamma$  are given in mm/s.  $B_{hf}$  is given in T.

sample		IS	QS	B <sub>hf</sub>	Г	area frac.
pristine	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	$1.28\pm0.01$	$\textbf{3.13}\pm\textbf{0.01}$	-	$0.31\pm0.01$	94.0 %
	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	$0.79\pm0.05$	$0.41\pm0.26$	-	$0.40\pm0.30$	6.0 %
0.25 h	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	$1.28\pm0.01$	$3.10\pm0.01$	-	$0.35\pm0.01$	79.2 %
	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	$0.45\pm0.02$	$\textbf{0.78}\pm\textbf{0.03}$	-	$0.67\pm0.04$	20.8 %
0.5 h	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	$1.28\pm0.01$	$3.11\pm0.01$	-	$0.34\pm0.01$	76.4 %
	Fe <sup>3+</sup>	$0.43\pm0.01$	$0.85\pm0.01$	-	$0.61\pm0.02$	23.6 %
1 h	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	1.47 ± 0.01	$2.71\pm0.03$	-	0.33 ± 0.04	6.7 %
	Fe <sup>x+</sup>	$0.65\pm0.01$	$0.44\pm0.01$	-	$0.45\pm0.02$	27.4 %
	Fe <sup>y+</sup>	$0.18\pm0.01$	-	-	$0.07\pm0.01$	65.9 %
2 h	Fe <sup>x+</sup>	$0.45\pm0.01$	$0.78\pm0.01$	-	$0.41\pm0.02$	27.3 %
	Fe <sup>y+</sup>	$0.29\pm0.01$	$0.30\pm0.01$	-	$0.64\pm0.01$	72.7 %
3 h	Fe <sup>x+</sup>	$0.41\pm0.01$	$0.64\pm0.02$	-	$0.60\pm0.02$	65.8 %
	Fe <sup>y+</sup>	$0.22\pm0.02$	$0.34\pm0.03$	-	$0.48\pm0.04$	34.2 %
5 h	Fe <sup>x+</sup>	$0.43\pm0.01$	$0.64\pm0.01$	-	$0.51\pm0.01$	53.7 %
	Fe <sup>y+</sup>	$0.20\pm0.01$	$\textbf{0.41}\pm\textbf{0.01}$	-	$0.43\pm0.01$	29.7 %
	Fe <sup>0</sup>	$-0.00 \pm 0.02$	$0.06\pm0.03$	31.3 ± 0.1	$1.13\pm0.05$	16.6 %



Figure S1: Mössbauer spectrum of LFP ball-milled with Al for 5 h (1:3 molar ratio of LFP to Al).



Figure S2: Fourier-transformed Fe K-edge extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectra of pristine LFP and LFP ball-milled with Al metal foil for 0.5 h to 5 h (1:3 molar ratio of LFP to Al). The radial distribution function of elemental iron was plotted for comparison.

[Hier eingeben]



Figure S3: XRD patterns of the products after ball-milling, leaching and purification process obtained from a 1:1 molar mixture of LFP and Al. The most intense Bragg-reflections are assigned to different phases for analysis.



Figure S4: XRD patterns of the products after ball-milling, leaching and purification process obtained from a 1:2 molar mixture of LFP and Al. The most intense Bragg-reflections are assigned to different phases for analysis.

[Hier eingeben]

![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure S5: XRD patterns of the products after ball-milling, leaching and purification process obtained from a 1:5 molar mixture of LFP and Al. The most intense Bragg-reflections are assigned to different phases for analysis.

Calculation of Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> purity and Lithium lost in the insoluble parts

Li<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> purity:

The purity as well as the amount of impurities was determined using the results obtained by ICP-OES measurements. To calculate the  $Li_2CO_3$  purity, the weight percentage (wt-%) of Li was used to determine a stochiometric wt-% of carbon and oxygen.  $Li_2CO_3$  purity was then obtained by adding these wt-% to the wt-% of Li. Impurities were stated as received from the measurement except oxygen. For oxygen the oxygen contained in the  $Li_2CO_3$  was subtracted.

Lithium lost in the insoluble parts:

To calculate the Li loss the insoluble part was weighted before ICP-OES measurement. The weight was multiplied by the wt-% of Li measured. The resulting mass of Li is transformed to a molar amount and compared to the theoretical Li amount obtained from yield calculation. The calculation is summarized in Eq. S1.

$$Lithium \ loss \ [\%] = \frac{m(insoluble) \cdot wt\% \ Li}{M(Li) \cdot n(Li \ yield \ theo.)}$$
(Eq. S1)