## *Electronic Supplementary Information*

#### **Advancing Sustainable Practices in Li-ion Battery Cathode Material Recycling: Mechanochemical Optimisation for Magnetic Cobalt Recovery**

Joshua Vauloup,<sup>a</sup> Cécile Bouilhac,<sup>a</sup> Nicolas Coppey,<sup>c</sup> Patrick Lacroix-Desmazes,<sup>a</sup> Bernard Fraisse,<sup>a</sup> Lorenzo Stievano,<sup>a,b</sup> Laure Monconduit,<sup>a,b,\*</sup> Moulay Tahar Sougrati<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> ICGM, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, ENSCM, Montpellier, France

<sup>b</sup> RS2E, Réseau Français sur le Stockage Electrochimique de l'Energie, FR CNRS #3459, Amiens F-80039 Cedex 1, France

<sup>c</sup> SNAM, Viviez, France

#### **SQUID magnetometery for metallic cobalt quantification**

The accuracy of the metallic cobalt quantification by SQUID has been checked by weighing a precise amount of commercial Co(s) in by measuring the magnetic moment of the sample. The magnetic moment at saturation of the pure metallic cobalt adjusted by the sample mass should theoretically be 162 emu.g<sup>-1</sup>. Experimentally, with the commercial Co(s), the magnetic moment at saturation is calculated at 166  $\pm$  13 emu.g<sup>-1</sup> (Figure S1, saturation estimated at 5 000 Oe). Therefore, quantification error will be  $\pm$  8 % of the results.

Figure S1 shows that cobalt (II and III) oxides (red dots) do not present a magnetic moment when exposed to a magnetic field meaning that its presence in the milled powder will not interfere with Co metal quantification.



Figure S1 : Mass magnetic moment as function of the magnetic field applied. Analysis of commercial metallic cobalt and cobalt (II *and III) oxide.*

#### **XRD fitting and phase determination**

To determine the phases present in the milled powder, structural refinement was achieved with HighScore Plus® software using a pseudo Voigt profile function.

Figure S2 shows a diffractogram of a milled residue obtained using a Discover D8 XRD apparatus with a Cu source to avoid the  $k_B$  rays. Three main compounds are found for these milling conditions: LiAlO<sub>2</sub>, metallic Co, and a partially reduced lithiated cobalt oxide:  $Li_{0.185}Co_{0.815}O$ .

[Figure](#page-3-0) S5 to S6 represent XRD of a milled powder for different milling conditions. The main special feature for S3 is coming from Stainless Steel from the jare (related to the Figure 1 of the article). The presence of Iron and/or Iron Cobalt alloy is suspected. The [Figure](#page-2-0) S4 is related to the Figure 3 of the article. This matching allows highlighting the  $A_xCo_y$  alloy formation. The [Figure](#page-3-0) S5 represent the diffractogram of a long milling experiment that has been exposed to additional amount of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  due to multiple sampling operation. This diffractogram aims to bring to light the only partial reduction of cobalt (Co(III) to Co(II)) with prominent peaks Li<sub>0.185</sub>Co<sub>0.815</sub>O compound compared to metal cobalt. This diffractogram refers to discussion related to the [Figure](#page-3-1) 7.b and c of the article. Finally, the Figure S6 allows showing the  $ZrO<sub>2</sub>$ pollution due to balls destruction due to large metal cobalt particles formation when a high amount of powder is used which leads to a high energy release (Cf. Figure 10 of the article). The [Figure](#page-3-1) S6 also enable to highlight that without introducing further  $O<sub>2</sub>$  during the milling (without sampling before), no partially reduced cobalt in  $Li_{0.185}Co_{0.815}O$  is observed.





Figure S2 : XRD of milled residue (red diffractogram) with milling conditions: 45 mL jare in ZrO<sub>2</sub>, 2h, Al/LCO = 1, B/P = 0.14, 7 *balls of 10 mm, 500 rpm, 15 min/10 min milling/rest cycle, under air.*





Figure S3: XRD of milled residue (red curve)with (milled conditions: 45 mL jar in Stainless Steel, 14h , Al/LCO = 1, B/P = 0.14, 7 *balls of 10 mm, 500 rpm, 15 min/10 min milling/rest cycle, under air.*



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure S4 : XRD of milled residue (red curve) with milling conditions: 45 mL jar in ZrO<sub>2</sub>, 8h, Al/LCO = 1.5, B/P = 0.09, 4 balls of *10 mm, 500 rpm, 15 min/10 min milling/rest cycle, under air.*





<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure S5: XRD of milled residue (blue curve) overlaid with milling conditions: 45 mL jar in ZrO2, 14h, Al/LCO = 1, B/P = 0.14, 7 *balls of 10 mm, 450 rpm, 15 min/10 min milling/rest cycle, under air.*





<span id="page-3-1"></span>Figure S6 : XRD of milled residue (blue curve) with milling conditions: 45 mL jar in ZrO2, 3h, Al/LCO = 1, B/P = 0.24 (5 g of *powder), 7 balls of 10 mm, 450 rpm, 60 min/10 min milling/rest cycle, under air.*

# **Al-Co Phase diagram**



*Figure S7 : Phase diagram of Al-Co*

## **Reaction enthalpy calculation**

Reaction ( $\Delta H_{r,298K}$ ):

 $LiCoO<sub>2</sub> + Al \rightarrow LiAlO<sub>2</sub> + Co$ 

LiCoO<sub>2</sub> formation enthalpy (ΔH<sub>f,LiCoO2,298K</sub>):

- From the oxides and oxygen at 298 K : -141 kJ.mol<sup>-11</sup>
	- $\circ$  % LiO + CoO + ¼ O<sub>2(g)</sub>
- From the elements at 298 K: -678 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>
	- $O$  Li + Co + O<sub>2(g)</sub>

LiAlO<sub>2</sub> formation enthalpy ( $\Delta H_{f,LiAlO2,298K}$ ):

- From the oxides and oxygen at 298 K: -12.880 kcal.mol<sup>-12</sup>
	- $O_2$  % LiO + ½ Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>
- From the elements at 298 K: -284.33 kcal.mol<sup>-1</sup> = 1 188.5 kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup>  $O$  Li + Al + O<sub>2(g)</sub>

With formation enthalpy of LiAlO<sub>2</sub> and LiCoO<sub>2</sub> from the elements:

 $\Delta H_{r,298K} = \Delta H_{f,LiAlO2,298K} - \Delta H_{f,LiCoO2,298K} = -1.188.5 + 678 = -510.5$  kJ.mol<sup>-1</sup> (1 cal = 4,180 J)

## **Powder/Balls mass ratio influence**

Milled residue morphology difference as function of powder mass for a constant bead mass.



Figure S8 : jars aspect after 2 h of milling under the following conditions: 500 rpm, 50 balls of 5 *mm, Al/LCO = 1.0. a) 3 g of powder. b) 5 g of powder.*

#### **SEM-EDX analysis**



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure S9 : SEM of residue after a milling of 2 h (a) and 3 h (b) and (c); (b) shows the powder residue and (c) the sheet like residue. *EDX composition (at %) of the "spectrum XX" areas are listed in [Table](#page-6-0) S1 (a),*

*[Table](#page-6-1) S2 (b),* 

*[Table](#page-6-2) S3 (c).*

<span id="page-6-0"></span>*Table S1 : Elemental composition from the "spectrum XX" area of different Figure S9 analysis.*

*[Figure](#page-5-0) S9.a (at %)*



<span id="page-6-1"></span>*Table S2 : Elemental composition from the different areas of Figure S9b (at %).*



<span id="page-6-2"></span>*Table S3 : Elemental composition from the different areas of Figure S9c (at %).*





<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure S10 : XRD analysis of the milled residue related to the residues analyzed by SEM-EDX on Figure S9.

XRD analysis of the residue analyzed on [Figure](#page-5-0) S9.a shows the presence of LiCoO<sub>2</sub> and Al, then that no reaction occurred [\(Figure](#page-7-0) [S10](#page-7-0)), confirming the EDX analysis ([Table](#page-6-0) S1), with the presence of Co and AI in equimolar proportion in the different areas of the *milled residue.*

After 3 h of milling, XRD shows a full structural change ([Figure](#page-7-0) S10) with LiAlO<sub>2</sub>, (Li)CoO and Co° apparition and the morphology of the powder changed with apparition of grey sheets. A heat increase of the jar is also observed. The grey sheet is supposed to be metallic cobalt due to its magnetic properties (tested with a magnet attraction). This is confirmed by SEM-EDX, which shows *mainly Co° with presence of Al and O (*

[Table](#page-6-2) S3). Al can be assigned to powder entrapped on the surface of the particle (black spots) and O to surface oxidation. Some *white large particles attributed to ZrO<sup>2</sup> are also present, coming from balls damage.*

[Table](#page-6-1) S2 gives the elemental composition of different areas of this milled powder residue. The other parts are mainly composed of Al and O with traces of Co. This observation supports that the sheet like particles are metallic Co and the remaining powder consists of LiAlO<sub>2</sub>.

# **Lattice parameters evolution of the reagents (Al and LCO) as function of milling time**

#### *Method*: Rietveld refinement (FullProf® software).

*Observations*: No significant changes for the a (= b) lattice parameter of LCO during the milling, while c parameter progressively decreases until 7 h of milling. This decrease can be attributed to LCO interlamellar distance reduction due to a delithiation. Regarding aluminum, the lattice parameters mainly decrease in the first moment of the milling. A longer milling does not affect the Al crystallographic parameters.

#### **Controlled atmosphere**

All the experiments were carried under air atmosphere. To study the influence of the oxygen on the cobalt reduction, some experiments were also performed under argon. The jars were filled and opened in a glove box under argon. Only a very slight difference in terms of the LCO conversion to Co metal as a function of milling time is observed ([Figure](#page-8-0) S12). The oxygen-free atmosphere does not influence the reduction of LCO. However, the oxygen influence is likely limited in these experiments where the highenergy ball milling leads to a fast reduction. To highlight the influence of oxygen, experiments with a lower milling energy should be carried out or a temperature measurement has to be performed to determine more accurately the reaction triggering.



Figure S11: Lattice parameters evolution as function of milling time for (a)  $a (= b)$  of LiCoO<sub>2</sub>, (b) c of LiCoO<sub>2</sub> and (c)  $a (= b = c)$  of *aluminum.*



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure S12 : Co conversion rate as a function of milling time under air (black) and under argon (red). Milling conditions: 500 rpm, 3 g of powder (Al + LCO), Milling/rest cycle = 15 min/ 10 min, Al/Co = 1.0, P/B = 0.15, 50 balls of 5 mm.

- M. Wang and A. Navrotsky, *Solid State Ionics*, 2004, 166, 167–173.
- J. P. Coughlin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**, 2397–2399.