Supplementary Information

Exploring nonamphiphilic stabilizers as replacement of amphiphilic surfactants for electrochemically synthesized carbon dots

Michal Sobota¹, Soha Ahmadi², Navina Lotay², Michael Thompson^{2*} and Martin Weis¹

¹ Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Information Technology of STU in Bratislava, Ilkovičova 2961, 841 04 Bratislava, Slovakia; xsobota@stuba.sk (M.S.), martin.weis@stuba.sk (M.W.)

² Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St George St, Toronto, ON M5S 3H6, Canada; so-ha.ahmadi@mail.utoronto.ca (S.A.); navina.lotay@mail.utoronto.ca (N.L.); m.thompson@utoronto.ca (M.T.)

^{*} Correspondence: m.thompson@utoronto.ca (M.T.)



Fig. S1 Normed absorbance deconvolution of CD-X (a) As-synthesized, (b) filtered, and (c) centrifuged.



Fig. S2 Normed absorbance deconvolution of CD-Na (a) As-synthesized, (b) filtered, and (c) centrifuged.



Fig. S3 Normed absorbance deconvolution of CD-SDS (a) As-synthesized, (b) filtered, and (c) centrifuged.



Fig. S4 DLS of CD-X centrifuged, 4 separate runs (a), (b), (c), and (d).



Fig. S5 DLS of CD-X filtered, 4 separate runs (a), (b), (c), and (d).















Fig. S9 DLS of CD-SDS filtered, 4 separate runs (a), (b), (c), and (d).

Table S1 Comparison of the average polydispersity index for CD solutions after centrifugation and post-treatmentfiltration.

CD sample	Polydispersity index (-)	
	centrifuged	filtered
CD-X	0.20	0.25
CD-Na	0.38	0.26
CD-SDS	0.26	*

* Concentration too low for reliable evaluation.





(b)





Fig. S10 Higher magnification TEMs of a) CD-X, b) CD-Na, and c) CD-SDS filtered.



Fig. S11 FTIR of (a) CD-X filtered, (b) CD-Na, and (c) CD-SDS an ATR setup.



Fig. S12 FTIR of pure stainless-steel substrate in an ATR setup



(a)





Fig. S13 (a) Fluorescence spectra deconvolution of centrifuged CD-SDS, (b) filtered CD-SDS, (c) filtered CD-X, and (d) filtered CD-Na. For centrifuged CD-SDS, Cumulative Fit Peak is almost identical to Fit Peak 2.