Supporting Information

Rational design of a low-cost, simple technology and high-performance CoNi/Co₃O₄ as a catalyst in sodium borohydride electro-oxidation reaction

Kaixuan Liu+, Borong Lu+, Ronghang Cui, Jinling Yin, Kai Zhu, Guiling Wang,

Dianxue Cao, Ke Ye*

Key Laboratory of Superlight Materials and Surface Technology of Ministry of

Education, College of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Harbin

Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: <u>yeke@hrbeu.edu.cn</u>

1. Characterization and electrochemical measurements

The composition of the catalysts was investigated using X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Cu-K α). The composition of the catalyst surface was investigated using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), with the C1s peak (284.8 eV) as the calibration peak. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were used to analyze and study the morphology of the electrodes. The distribution of Co and Ni elements on the catalyst surface was analyzed using energy spectrometry (EDS).

The electrochemical tests were all carried out in a typical three-electrode system, which consists of a working electrode, an Ag/AgCl reference electrode (the filling solution is a saturated KCl solution), and a graphite counter electrode. The electrochemical testing methods were cyclic voltammetry (CV), chronoamperometry (CA), and linear sweep voltammetry (LSV).

2. Calculation formula

The diffusion coefficient D of NaBH₄ was calculated using the expression proposed by Wang et al¹, which is mainly related to the concentration of NaOH solution, 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH was used in this experiment.

$$D_{BH_{4}^{-}} = 2.01 \times 10^{-5} - 3.65 \times 10^{-6}x + 2.25 \times 10^{-7}x^{2}$$
(Eq. S1)

where *x* is the concentration of NaOH, and *D* was calculated to be 1.37×10^{-5} in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH concentration.

The electrooxidation of NaBH₄ at the electrode can be evaluated in terms of the reaction order β , which is calculated as follows:

$$j_p = z C_{NaBH_4}^{\quad \beta} \tag{Eq. S2}$$

where j_p is the peak current density (A cm⁻²), z is the A constant, and C is the NaBH₄ concentration (mol cm⁻³).



Fig. S1 XRD spectra of Co_3O_4 .



Fig. S2 XPS spectra of CoNi/Co₃O₄ electrodes.



Fig. S3 SEM image of Co₃O₄.



Fig. S4 CV curves for $CoNi/Co_3O_4$ catalysts (1:1 concentration ratio of Ni and Co in the deposition solution) at 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH.



Fig. S5 CV curves of CoNi/Co₃O₄ catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures (Ni:Co=1:1).



Fig. S6 CV curves of CoN/Co₃O₄ catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures (Ni:Co =1:2).



Fig. S7 CV curves of CoNi/Co₃O₄ catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures (Ni:Co =3:1).



Fig. S8 CV curves of CoNi/Co₃O₄ catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures (Ni:Co =2:1).



Fig. S9 CV curves of CoNi/Co₃O₄ catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures (Ni:Co =1:3).



Fig. S10 CV curves of Co_3O_4 catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures.



Fig. S11 CV curves of CoNi catalysts were tested in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 0.2 mol L⁻¹ NaBH₄ at different temperatures.



Fig. S12 CV curves of NF electrode at different scan rates (10-50 mV s⁻¹) in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH.



Fig. S13 CV curves of Co_3O_4 electrode at different scan rates (10-50 mV s⁻¹) in 2 mol L⁻¹ NaOH.



Fig. S14 Constant discharge current test at 60 mA cm⁻².

 Table. S1 Comparison of different electrodes regarding current density in a threeelectrode system.

	jj		
Electrode	Composition of electrolyte	<i>j</i> (mA cm ⁻ ²)	Ref.
CoNi/Co ₃ O ₄	2 M NaOH+0.1 M NaBH ₄	650	This work
NiMoN@NC-600	1 M NaOH+0.135 M NaBH ₄	~ 100	2
AuCo@rGO	2 M NaOH+0.12 M NaBH ₄	~ 450	3
CoNi@rGO	2 M NaOH+0.1 M NaBH ₄	~ 190	4
Ni@Zn	2 M NaOH+0.135 M NaBH ₄	~ 270	5
CuNiPd	2 M NaOH+0.1 M NaBH ₄	~ 630	6
Ni@NiP	2 M NaOH+0.135 M NaBH ₄	~ 255	7

Anode	Cathode	Anolyte	Catholyte	T(K)	Power	Ref.
					(mW	
					cm ⁻²)	
CoNi/Co ₃ O ₄	Pt/C	2 M NaOH+	$1M H_2SO_4 +$	313.15	108.5	This
		0.3 M NaBH ₄	$1.2 \text{ M} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$			work
CoNi-NS/Ni	Pd/Ti	4 M NaOH+	$2M H_2SO_4 +$	303.15	80.6	4
		0.5 M NaBH ₄	0.8 M H ₂ O ₂			
CoNi-NS/rGO	Pd/Ti	4 M NaOH+	$2M H_2SO_4 +$	333.15	140	4
		0.5 M NaBH ₄	0.8 M H ₂ O ₂			4
Ni@Zn	Pt/C	3 M NaOH+	$1 M H_2 SO_4 +$	298.15	180.3	5
		2 M NaBH ₄	$4 \text{ M} \text{H}_2\text{O}_2$			
Pd/C + Ni	Pt/C	3 M NaOH+	1.5 M H ₂ SO ₄	353.15	50	8
(0.5)		1.5 M NaBH ₄	+15 wt% H ₂ O ₂			
Pd@N/C-8	Pd@N/C-	2 M NaOH+	$2 M H_2 SO_4 +$	333.15	137	9
	8	0.3 M NaBH ₄	1.6 M H ₂ O ₂			

Table. S2 A comparison of DBHPFC performance.

References

- 1. D. M. F. Santos and C. A. C. Sequeira, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2010, 55, 6775-6781.
- 2. B. He, S. Zhuang, X. Tai, J. Zhang, A. Xie, L. Cheng, P. Song, Y. Tang, Y. Chen and P. Wan, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 17631-17641.
- 3. B. Li, C. Song, D. Zhang, K. Ye, K. Cheng, K. Zhu, J. Yan, D. Cao and G. Wang, *Carbon*, 2019, **152**, 77-88.

4. B. Li, Q. Yan, C. Song, P. Yan, K. Ye, K. Cheng, K. Zhu, J. Yan, D. Cao and G. Wang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **491**, 659-669.

5. B. Hu, P. Chen, C. Xu, J. Meng, J. Cai, Y. Yang, B. Zhang, D. Yu, X. Zhou and C. Chen, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2022, **307**, 121183.

C. Y. Song, G. L. Wang, B. P. Li, C. X. Miao, K. J. Ma, K. Zhu, K. Cheng, K. Ye,
 J. Yan, D. X. Cao and J. L. Yin, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **299**, 395-404.

7. B. Hu, Y. Xie, Y. Yang, J. Meng, J. Cai, C. Chen, D. Yu and X. Zhou, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2023, **324**, 122257.

8. Y. Ko, L. Lombardo, M. Li, T. H. M. Pham, H. N. Yang and A. Züttel, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2022, **12**, 2103539.

9. X. Z. Yin, K. Zhu, K. Ye, J. Yan, D. X. Cao, D. M. Zhang, J. X. Yao and G. L. Wang, *J. Power Sources*, 2022, **541**, 231704.