Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2024

# **Supplementary Information**

### Coal fly ash derived zeolite: A solid-state base for convenient synthesis of diphenyl ethers

Aashima Mahajan,<sup>a</sup> Manmohan Chhibber \*<sup>a</sup> and Loveleen Kaur Brar \*<sup>b</sup>

- a) Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry (DCBC), Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala-147004, Punjab, India.
- b) Department of Physics and Material Science (DPMS), Thapar Institute of Engineering and Technology, Patiala, 147004-Punjab, India.

E-mail: <a href="mailto:brarloveleen@thapar.edu">brarloveleen@thapar.edu</a>, <a href="mailto:mchhibber@thapar.edu">mchhibber@thapar.edu</a>,

### Contents

**S1**. Different fusion conditions and corresponding XRD analysis for the synthesis of Sodalite

**S2**. Calculation of the percent yield using NMR spectrum.

**S3**. Calculation of percent yield for different reactions carried out as shown in Table

3 of the manuscript.

- **S4.** Complete characterization of the representative compound 3n
- **S5.** Optimization of time and temperature for the diphenyl ether synthesis
- S6. Details of the Hot filtration test
- S7. References

# Tables

Table S1. Variation in fusion time and temperature for sodalite synthesis

Table S2. Optimization of time and temperature for the diphenyl ether synthesis

## S1. Different fusion conditions and corresponding XRD analysis for the synthesis of

#### Sodalite

Table S1 Variation in the time and temperature of the fusion for Sodalite synthesis

S.No.	Sample name	Fusion temperature (°C)	Fusion time (h)
1.	F550-0.5	550	0.5
2.	F750-0.5	750	0.5
3.	F750-2	750	2

Table S1 above shows the fusion of *FA*, NaOH and sodium aluminate at different times and temperatures. XRD analysis was used to analyze the effect of fusion time and temperature on the synthesis of Sodalite. Noticeable changes can be seen in the XRD spectra as sodium silicate and sodium aluminate phases appear (ICDD card no. 049-0003), and the peak due to quartz at 26.8 begins to diminish.<sup>1</sup> Finally, sample *F750-2* shows a diminished quartz peak with broadening, indicating its reduced crystallinity. Therefore, a temperature of 750 °C for 2h was used to synthesize pure-phase Sodalite.



**Fig. S1.** Noticeable changes can be seen in the XRD spectra as sodium silicate and sodium aluminate phases appear (ICDD card no. 049-0003), and the peak due to quartz at 26.8 begins to diminish at 750 °C for 2h (F750-2).<sup>1</sup>

#### S2. Calculation for the percent yield using NMR Spectrum

The percent yield formula was modified from the reported literature.<sup>2</sup> The percent yield was calculated using the following expression for a functional group with equal hydrogens appearing in both reactant and product.

% Yield = 
$$\frac{Ip}{Ip + Ir} X 100$$
 (Equation -1)

where

Ir: Integration of the same substituent in the reactant (From NMR)

Ip: Integration of the same substituent in the product (From NMR)

For example, for the reaction in **Scheme S1**, the percent yield was calculated by considering the OCH<sub>3</sub> hydrogens in both reactant and product.



**Fig. S2.** NMR spectra of compound 3h showing 80 percent yield of the reaction by considering peaks of OCH<sub>3</sub> hydrogens at 3.942 and 3.863  $\delta$  values and evaluating integration values Ir (0.75) and Ip (3.0) for the reactant (A) and product (C) inb Equation-1.

S3. Calculation of per cent yield for different reactions carried out as shown in Table-3 of the manuscript.



**Fig. S3a.** NMR spectra of compound 3a showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $OCH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3b.** NMR spectra of compound 3b showing percent yield by considering the integration of OCH<sub>3</sub> hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3c.** NMR spectra of compound 3c showing percent yield by considering the integration of CHO hydrogen in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3d.** NMR spectra of compound 3e showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $OCH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3e.** NMR spectra of compound 3f showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $CH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3f.** NMR spectra of compound 3g showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $CH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3g.** NMR spectra of compound 3h showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $OCH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3h.** NMR spectra of compound 3i showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $OCH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3i.** NMR spectra of compound 3j showing percent yield by considering the integration of OCH<sub>3</sub> hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. Sj.** NMR spectra of compound 3k showing percent yield by considering the integration of  $OCH_3$  hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3k.** NMR spectra of compound 3I showing percent yield by considering the integration of aromatic hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3I.** NMR spectra of compound 3m showing percent yield by considering the integration of aromatic hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S3m.** NMR spectra of compound 3n showing percent yield by considering the integration of aromatic hydrogens in reactants and product.



## S4. Complete characterization of the representative compound 3n

Fig. S4a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of compound 3n.



Fig. S4b. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of compound 3n.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400MHz): δ(ppm) 8.93 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 4.2 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), δ(ppm) 8.20(dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.36 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, 1H, ArH), δ(ppm) 8.05 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.24 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.08 Hz, 1H, ArH), δ(ppm), 7.64 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.4 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.48 Hz, 1H, ArH), δ(ppm) 7.47 (m, 3H, ArH), δ(ppm) 7.22 (m, 1H, ArH), δ(ppm) 7.18 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 7.88 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), δ(ppm) 7.00 (dd, <sup>2</sup>*J* = 8.08 Hz, <sup>3</sup>*J* = 8.84 Hz, 1H, ArH); <sup>13</sup>C (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100MHz): δ(ppm) 152.4, 151.2, 150.5, 141.3, 140.7, 136.1, 134.4, 129.9, 126.5, 126.0, 124.1, 123.6, 122.1, 121.7, 116.9; HRMS (ESI) Calcd. for  $C_{15}H_{10}N_2O_3$ [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 267.0771 Found; 267.0785.

### S5. Optimization of time and temperature for synthesis of diphenyl ethers

Initial optimization of the reaction conditions for time and temperature to synthesize diphenyl ether was done using 3 mol percent of Sodalite as a solid state base and DMSO as a solvent.

Entry	Time (h)	Temperature (°C)	Percent yield
1.	56	Room temperature	3
2.	14	75	11
3.	24	75	15
4.	14	100	17
5.	24	100	20

**Table S2.** Optimization of time and temperature for synthesis of diphenyl ether.

**Table S2** shows that the highest yield was observed in **Entry 5** and the condition optimized for diphenyl ether synthesis was 100 °C for 24 h.

# S6. Hot filtration test

The hot filtration test was carried out by filtering out the model reaction (Scheme 2) through a heated Celite pad after a reaction for 8 h.<sup>3</sup>

The reaction was set up as described in section 2.6 for the synthesis of product 3a. After 8h the reaction mixture was filtrated hot using celite bed and the filtrate was divided into two parts. Part 1 was washed with DCM (3×10 mL) and water (5×10 mL), and the percent yield of the obtained DPE was calculated using <sup>1</sup>H NMR as described above. Part -2 of the reaction mixture was further heated under similar conditions described in section 2.6 for another 16h. After completion of the time, it was also washed with DCM (3×10 mL) and water (5×10 mL). The yield was again calculated using <sup>1</sup>H NMR. This was done to check if the removal of Sodalite affects the reaction yield and confirm whether it reacts in a homogeneous or heterogeneous fashion<sup>3</sup>. The NMR spectra (Fig S6) below display hot filtration after 8h (HF-8H) and 16h (HF-16H), which show the percent yield in each case.



**Fig. S6a.** NMR spectra of compound 3a showing percent yield by considering the integration of aromatic hydrogens in reactant and product.



**Fig. S6b.** NMR spectra of compound 3a showing percent yield by considering the integration of aromatic hydrogens in reactant and product.

#### **S7.** References

- 1 X. Ren, L. Xiao, R. Qu, S. Liu, D. Ye, H. Song, W. Wu, C. Zheng, X. Wu and X. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 42200–42209.
- 2 J. K. Satyarthi, D. Srinivas and P. Ratnasamy, *Energy and Fuels*, 2009, **23**, 2273–2277.
- Y. S. Bao, L. Wang, M. Jia, A. Xu, B. Agula, M. Baiyin and B. Zhaorigetu, *Green Chem.*,
  2016, 18, 3808–3814.