Supplementary Information (SI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2024

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



**Electrochemical measurements** 

Figure S1. Electrochemical measurements for dyads in H<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>3</sub>CN (4:1), 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate



[Fe<sup>II</sup>(L<sub>5</sub><sup>2</sup>)Cl]<sup>+</sup> catalyst excited state

Figure S2. Transient absorption of  $[Fe^{II}(L_s^2)CI]^+$  catalyst. Excitation at 355 nm. Laser energy: 8 mJ.  $A_{355} = 0.20$ . Solvent:  $CH_3CN$ 

# Emission kinetic analysis

Emission values given in Table 2 have been obtained fitting the experimental decays with the biexponential decay equation given below:

$$A = A_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} + A_2 e^{-t/\tau_2}$$

The values  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  have been reported in Table, together with percentages calculated as

$$\frac{A_1}{A_1 + A_2} \times 100$$

and

$$\frac{A_2}{A_1 + A_2} \times 100$$

Chromophore-catalyst complexes gated emission spectra



Figure S3. Gated (gate = 10 ns) emission spectra for the different dyads, obtained 10 ns after excitation with 460 nm laser pulse (10 mJ energy) in a  $H_2O/CH_3CN$  (4:1) mixture.

Transient absorption kinetics



Figure S4. Transient kinetics obtained for the dyads at indicated wavelengths in  $H_2O/CH_3CN$  (4:1). Optically matched absorption at 460 nm (A460 = 0.40). Size of the signals agree with the excited state lifetimes

PET in the presence of 20 mM MV<sup>2+</sup>



Figure S5. Differential transient absorption spectra in the presence of 20 mM  $MV^2$ + for the different compounds in  $H_2O/CH_3CN$  (4:1) argon-purged solution. Solutions excited at 460 nm with a laser energy ~10 mJ, absorption at excitation wavelength: 0.40

#### Simulations

All the parameters resulting from simulations are given in the table below:  $k_{ET}$  is the rate constant for interaction with  $MV^{2+}$ ,  $k_{IET}$  is the rate for Fe<sup>II</sup> oxidation,  $k_{IET1}$  the recombination rate between  $MV^{*+}$  and Ru<sup>III</sup>, while  $k_{IET2}$  is that between  $MV^{*+}$  and Fe<sup>II</sup>.  $\Phi_{IET}$  is the yield for the intramolecular oxidation of Fe<sup>III</sup>, obtained as the ration of Fe<sup>III</sup> maximum concentration over the Ru<sup>III</sup> concentration.

Compound	<i>k<sub>ET</sub></i> / M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<i>k<sub>IET</sub></i> / s <sup>-1</sup>	<i>k<sub>REC1</sub></i> / M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<i>k<sub>rec2</sub>/</i> M <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	$\Phi_{IET}$
1	3.2 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	3100	2.2 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	2.3 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.55
2	3.2 x 10 <sup>8</sup>	620	2.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	2.0 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.24
3	3.5 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	11000	2.7 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	2.4 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.87
4	3.5 x 10 <sup>7</sup>	8300	2.6 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	2.3 x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.75

Table S1. Summary of the simulations results.

## **Fits results**



Figure S6. Global fit obtained for complex **1**: left, 605 nm; right 450 nm



Figure S7. Time-evolution of concentration of different species for  ${\bf 1}$  and  ${\rm MV}^{2+}$ 



Figure S 8. Global fit obtained for complex 2: left, 605 nm; right 450 nm

Complex 2



Figure S9. Time-evolution of concentration of different species for  ${\bf 2}$  and  ${\rm MV}^{2+}$ 





Figure S10. Global fit obtained for complex **3**: left, 605 nm; right 470 nm



Figure S11. Time-evolution of concentration of different species for **3** and  $MV^{2+}$ 





Figure S12. Global fit obtained for complex 4: left, 605 nm; right 480 nm



Figure S13. Time-evolution of concentration of different species for **4** and  $MV^{2+}$ 

# Activation energy plots

Values of  $\lambda$  and  $H_{AB}$  have been obtained from Marcus equation  $^{16}$ 

$$k_{IET} = \frac{2}{\hbar} H_{AB}^2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi k_B \lambda T}} \times e^{-\frac{(\Delta G^0 + \lambda)^2}{4\lambda k_B T}}$$

Where  $\hbar$  is the reduced Plank constant (6.58 × 10<sup>-16</sup> eV s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant (0.86 × 10<sup>-4</sup> eV). By plotting ln ( $k_{IET} \times T^{1/2}$ ) vs 1/T, the slope of the linear fit corresponds to the activation energy  $E_A$ 

$$E_A = \frac{\left(\Delta G^0 + \lambda\right)^2}{4\lambda k_B}$$

and the intercept to

$$ln(\frac{H_{AB}^2}{h}\sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{\lambda k_B}})$$



Figure S14. Activation energy plots for complexes 1, 2 and 4 in  $H_2O/CH_3CN$  (4:1) in the presence of 20 mM  $MV^{2+}$