Supporting Information for:

Ligand-Free Supermolecules. $[Pd_2@Ge_{18}]^{4-}$ and $[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ as Single Bonded Zintl-Ions Cluster Based on Pd@Ge₉ and Pd@Sn₉ Assembled Units

Peter L. Rodríguez-Kessler^a and Alvaro Muñoz-Castro^{b*}

^aCentro de Investigaciones en Óptica A.C., Loma del Bosque 115, Col. Lomas del Campestre, León, Guanajuato, 37150, Mexico.

^bFacultad de Ingeniería, Arquitectura y Diseño, Universidad San Sebastián, Bellavista 7, Santiago, 8420524, Chile.

Content

Figure S1. Isosurface for superatomic shells of closo-[Pd@Sn₁₂]²⁻ accounting for the 50 cage and 10 4d-
Pd electrons, given by $1S^21P^61D^{10}1F^{14}(4d-Pd)^{10}2S^22P^61G^{10}$ shell structure.Page 2

Figure S2. Isosurface for superatomic shells of the $[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}$ unit at the structure given by the overall $[Pd_2@Sn_18]^{4-}$ cluster. Page 2

Figure S3. Isosurface for the bonding or in-phase (+) combinations, and, antibonding or out-of phase combinations (-) between the two $[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}$ motifs within the $[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ oblate cluster. **Page 3**

Table S1. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) for $Pd/[Pd@E_{18}]^{4-}$ and $Pd/[@E_{12}]^{2-}$ interaction, denoting
the individual contribution from relevant deformation density channels.**Page 4**

Figure S4. Isosurface for the individual deformation densities $(\Delta \rho_n)$ related to the interaction between $[Pd@Ge_9]^{2-}/[Pd@Ge_9]^{2-}$ (a), $[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}/[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}$ (b), $Pd/[Pd@Ge_{18}]^{4-}$ (c), $Pd/[Pd@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ (d), $Pd/[Ge_{12}]^{2-}$ (e), $Pd/[Sn_{12}]^{2-}$ (e). **Page 5**

Figure S5. Contour-plot representation for magnetic response properties under different orientations of the external field $(B_z^{ind}, B_x^{ind}, and B_y^{ind})$ and their isotropic (averaged) term (NICS), for: $[Pd@Ge_{12}]^{2-}$ (a), $[Pd_2@Ge_{18}]^{4-}$ (b), $[Pd@Sn_{12}]^{2-}$ (c), $[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ (d). **Page 6**

Table S2. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) at the hybrid TZ2P/PBE0 level of theory for $[Pd@E_9]^{2-}/[Pd@E_9]^{2-}$ interaction from homolytic fragmentation ($^7[Pd@E_9]^{2-}/^7[Pd@E_9]^{2-}$),denoting the individual contribution from relevant deformation density channels.Page 7

Table S3. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) at the at the hybrid TZ2P/PBE0 level of theoryfor $Pd/[Pd@E_{18}]^4$ and $Pd/[@E_{12}]^2$ interaction, denoting the individual contribution from relevantdeformation density channels.Page 8



Figure S1. Isosurface for superatomic shells of *closo*- $[Pd@Sn_{12}]^{2-}$ accounting for the 50 cage and 10 4d-Pd electrons, given by $1S^{2}1P^{6}1D^{10}1F^{14}(4d-Pd)^{10}2S^{2}2P^{6}1G^{10}$ shell structure.



Figure S2. Isosurface for superatomic shells of the $[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}$ unit at the structure given by the overall $[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ cluster.



Figure S3. Isosurface for the bonding or in-phase (+) combinations, and, antibonding or out-of phase combinations (-) between the two $[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}$ motifs within the $[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ oblate cluster.

	E=Ge		E=Sn	
Pd/[Pd@E ₁₈]4-				
ΔE_{Pauli}	334.9		278.3	
$\Delta E_{elestat}$	-307.6	68.0%	-277.8	70.2%
ΔE_{orb}	-137.8	30.5%	-109.8	27.7%
ΔE_{disp}	-6.8	1.5%	-8.2	2.1%
ΔE_{int}	-117.3		-117.6	
$\Delta \rho_1$	-31.7	23.0%	-23.9	21.8%
Δho_2	-31.7	23.0%	-23.9	21.8%
Δho_3	-23.4	17.0%	-12.0	10.9%
Δho_4	-18.0	13.1%	-14.0	12.8%
Δho_5	-18.0	13.1%	-12.7	11.6%
Δho_6	-7.2	5.2%	-12.7	11.6%
Endo				
Pd/[E ₁₂] ²⁻				
ΔE_{Pauli}	424.1		303.7	
$\Delta E_{elestat}$	-369.5	69.5%	-293.1	69.5%
ΔE_{orb}	-157.6	29.7%	-122.7	29.1%
ΔE_{disp}	-4.3	0.8%	-6.1	1.4%
ΔE_{int}	-107.3		-118.1	
$\Delta \rho_1$	-32.6	20.7%	-21.4	17.5%
$\Delta \rho_2$	-29.8	18.9%	-19.6	16.0%
$\Delta \rho_3$	-29.8	18.9%	-19.6	16.0%
$\Delta \rho_4$	-27.5	17.5%	-18.3	14.9%
$\Delta \rho_5$	-27.5	17.5%	-18.3	14.9%
$\Delta \rho_6$	-5.0	3.2%	-15.0	12.2%

Table S1. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) for $Pd/[Pd@E_{18}]^{4-}$ and $Pd/[@E_{12}]^{2-}$ interaction, denoting the individual contribution from relevant deformation density channels. Values in kcal/mol.



Figure S4. Isosurface for the individual deformation densities $(\Delta \rho_n)$ related to the interaction between $[Pd@Ge_9]^{2-}/[Pd@Ge_9]^{2-}$ (a), $[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}/[Pd@Sn_9]^{2-}$ (b), $Pd/[Pd@Ge_{18}]^{4-}$ (c), $Pd/[Pd@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ (d), $Pd/[Ge_{12}]^{2-}$ (e), $Pd/[Sn_{12}]^{2-}$ (e).



Figure S5. Contour-plot representation for magnetic response properties under different orientations of the external field (B_z^{ind} , B_x^{ind} , and B_y^{ind}) and their isotropic (averaged) term (NICS), for: $[Pd@Ge_{12}]^{2-}$ (a), $[Pd_2@Ge_{18}]^{4-}$ (b), $[Pd@Sn_{12}]^{2-}$ (c), $[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$ (d). Color bar between -5.0 to 5.0 ppm, positive values means shielding; negative values means deshielding.

Table S2. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) at the hybrid TZ2P/PBE0 level of theory for $[Pd@E_9]^{2-}/[Pd@E_9]^{2-}$ interaction from homolytic fragmentation (${}^{5}[Pd@E_9]^{2-}/{}^{5}[Pd@E_9]^{2-}$), denoting the individual contribution from relevant deformation density channels. Values in kcal/mol.

	$[Pd_2@Ge_{18}]^{4-}$		$[Pd_2@Sn_{18}]^{4-}$	
ΔE_{Pauli}	512.1		481.1	
$\Delta E_{elestat}$	-176.3	21.3%	-176.1	24.6%
ΔE_{orb}	-629.8	76.2%	-521.0	72.9%
ΔE_{disp}	-20.4	2.5%	-17.7	2.5%
ΔE_{int}	-314.4		-233.7	
Δho_1	-92.0	14.6%	-100.1	19.2%
Δho_2	-92.0	14.6%	-76.8	14.7%
$\Delta \rho_3$	-102.1	16.2%	-76.8	14.7%
$\Delta \rho_4$	-40.7	6.5%	-27.7	5.3%
$\Delta \rho_5$	-34.2	5.4%	-27.7	5.3%
$\Delta \rho_6$	-34.3	5.4%	-26.3	5.1%

	E=Ge		E=Sn	
Pd/[Pd@E ₁₈] ⁴⁻				
ΔE_{Pauli}	328.3		257.4	
$\Delta E_{elestat}$	-307.9	66.6%	-262.7	68.3%
ΔE_{orb}	-147.7	31.9%	-113.9	29.6%
ΔE_{disp}	-6.8	1.5%	-8.2	2.1%
ΔE_{int}	-134.2		-127.4	
Δho_1	-31.7	21.5%	-23.9	21.0%
Δho_2	-31.7	21.5%	-23.9	21.0%
Δho_3	-23.4	15.9%	-12.0	10.5%
Δho_4	-18.0	12.2%	-14.0	12.3%
Δho_5	-18.0	12.2%	-12.7	11.1%
Δho_6	-7.2	4.9%	-12.7	11.1%
Endo				
Pd/[E ₁₂] ²⁻				
ΔE_{Pauli}	415.7		280.9	
$\Delta E_{elestat}$	-369.8	68.1%	-277.1	67.5%
ΔE_{orb}	-169.0	31.1%	-127.2	31.0%
ΔE_{disp}	-4.3	0.8%	-6.1	1.5%
ΔE_{int}	-122.8		-128.0	
$\Delta \rho_1$	-32.6	19.3%	-21.4	16.8%
$\Delta \rho_2$	-29.8	17.7%	-19.6	15.4%
Δho_3	-29.8	17.7%	-19.6	15.4%
$\Delta \rho_4$	-27.5	16.3%	-18.3	14.4%
$\Delta \rho_5$	-27.5	16.3%	-18.3	14.4%
Δho_6	-5.0	3.0%	-15.0	11.8%

Table S3. Energy decomposition analysis (EDA) at the at the hybrid TZ2P/PBE0 level of theory for Pd/[Pd@E₁₈]⁴⁻ and Pd/[@E₁₂]²⁻ interaction, denoting the individual contribution from relevant deformation density channels. Values in kcal/mol.