Supporting Information

The interplay between oxidation process and cytotoxicity effects of antimonene nanomaterials

Pau Congost-Escoin,^a Matteo Andrea Lucherelli,^a Víctor Oestreicher,^a Guillermo García-Lainez,^b Marta Alcaraz,^a Martín Mizrahi,^{c,d} Maria Varela,^e Inmaculada Andreu^{*f,g} and Gonzalo Abellán^{*a}

^a Instituto de Ciencia Molecular (ICMol), Universitat de València. Catedrático José Beltrán Martínez nº 2, 46980 Paterna, Spain.

^b Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria (IIS) La Fe, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe, Avenida de Fernando Abril Martorell 106, 46026, Valencia, Spain.

^c Instituto de Investigaciones Fisicoquímicas Técnicas y Aplicadas (INIFTA), Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas. Universidad Nacional de La Plata, CCT La Plata-CONICET. Diagonal 113 y 64, 1900, La Plata, Argentina.

^d Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de La Plata. Calle 1 esq. 47, 1900, La Plata, Argentina.d. ^e Instituto Pluridisciplinar & Departamento de Física de Materiales, Universidad Complutense de Madrid (UCM), 28040 Madrid, Spain.

^f Departamento de Química. Universitat Politècnica de València, Camino de Vera s/n, 46022, Valencia, Spain.

⁹ Unidad Mixta de Investigación Universitat Politècnica de València-Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria La Fe, Hospital Universitari i Politècnic La Fe, Avenida de Fernando Abril Martorell 106, 46026, Valencia, Spain.

e-mails: gonzalo.abellan@uv.es , iandreur@qim.upv.es



Figure S1: Photographs of the of the DLS dispersions at 1 mg/ml (resuspended concentration) and at 200 g/ml.



Figure S2: AFM images of the obtained Sb-Nanomaterials. AFM profiles showed on left correspond to the lines indicated in the images.



Figure S3: Comparison of the Raman spectra of the Sb-nanomaterials and Sb oxides.



Figure S4: Deconvoluted XPS spectra of the nanomaterials before A, C, E) and after B, D, F) the sputtering process of the three Sb-Nanomaterials. P indicates the peak of the indicated atom and S the satellite.



Figure S5: XPS surveys of the nanomaterials before A, C, E) and after B, D, F) the sputtering process of the three Sb-Nanomaterials.



Figure S6: XANES spectra of the ex-situ measurements on the Sb reference species before (initial) and after (final) incubating 1 week in DMEM cell culture media.



6h 24h 500 nm





Figure S7: TEM images of the Sb-nanomaterials incubated at 37 °C in DMEM during the indicated times for the Sb-H, Sb-NS and Sb-NP samples highlighted in blue, green and red colors, respectively.



Figure S8: Dose-response curves of the tested compounds, obtained from the MTT viability assays. Obtained using the GraphPad Prism 8 software.



Figure S9: Optical microscope images and fluorescent images (λ_{exc} = 545nm) of the Propidium iodine test after treatment with the different nanomaterials at 25 µg/mL.



Figure S10: ICP-MS analysis of the intracellular content of the cells treated with the Sb-H at 25 μ g/mL.



Figure S11: Representative fluorescence microscopy images of ROS experiments. Cell lines (HeLa, FSK and A549) were seeded on 24-well plates and incubate in the presence of Sb-nanomaterials at 25 μ g/mL for 24h. Next day, cells were treated with 25 μ M of 6-carboxy-2',7'-dichlodihydrorofluorescein diacetate (carboxy-H₂DCF-DA) to detect ROS formation (Fluorescein FITC filter) and Hoechst 33342 to visualize nuclei (DAPI filter). C-: non treated cells, C+: cells treated with tert-butyl hydroperoxide (100 μ M). SB-H: cells treated with Sb nanomaterial. SbCl3: cells treated with antimony trichloride. Scalebar 200 μ m.