Supporting Information

Improved Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ to Syngas with Highly Exfoliated Ti₃C₂T_x MXene-Gold Composite

Murugan Krishnan, [a] Aathilingam Vijayaprabhakaran, [a][b] Murugavel Kathiresan, [a]*

^[a] Electro Organic & Materials Electrochemistry Division

CSIR-Central Electrochemical Research Institute, Karaikudi – 630003, Tamil Nadu, India.

^[b] Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad- 201002, India.

E-mail: kathiresan@cecri.res.in

Experimental Section

Synthesis of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ thin sheets

Ti₃C₂T_x thin sheets were prepared by minimally intensive layer delamination (MILD) method. Briefly, 1.0 g of Ti₃AlC₂ was introduced to a solution made of 1.6 mL 48 wt % HF (Sigma-Aldrich), 7 mL of 37 wt % HCl (Sigma-Aldrich), and 11.4 mL of deionized water (DI). A loosely capped high density polyethylene bottle was used as the etching container. The prepared solution was stirred with 500 rpm for 24 h at 35 °C. The etched Al–Ti₃C₂ was washed with DI water via repeated centrifugation and decantation cycles until the supernatant reached pH ~ 6 using a centrifuge tube. Once the Ti₃C₂T_x was neutralized, the washing process was complete. The etched multilayer Ti₃C₂T_x sediment was then dispersed in a 0.5 M solution of LiCl used to start the delamination process. The Ti₃C₂T_x/LiCl suspension was then stirred at 400 rpm for a minimum of 4 h at room temperature. The Ti₃C₂T_x/LiCl suspension was then washed with DI water via repeated centrifugation and decantation of the supernatant using a centrifuge tube. Next, the residue was dispersed by ultrasonication, exfoliation was carried out to separate the layers of Ti₃C₂T_x into 2D sheets for 4 h under nitrogen. The suspension was centrifuged for 10 min with 3500 rpm to remove the unexfoliated Ti₃C₂T_x. Finally, the Ti₃C₂T_x thin sheets were obtained by filtration and dried in vacuum for further experiment.¹

Synthesis of Au/Ti₃C₂T_x

To create Au/Ti₃C₂T_x nanocomposites, the HAuCl₄ crystals (1 wt%) were dissolved in deionized water and subsequently mixed with the dispersion of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ (1 mg.mL⁻¹) in a beaker. The redox reaction between HAuCl₄ and $Ti_3C_2T_x$ was carried out at 40°C for 30 minutes to synthesize Au/Ti₃C₂T_x nanocomposites. After the reaction, the composites were centrifuged and then dispersed in an isopropanol solution prior to use.

The flowchart depicted in **Figure 1** illustrates the preparation procedure for the $Au/Ti_3C_2T_x$ nanocomposites. The reaction between MXene materials and Au^{3+} can be represented by the following equation:

$$Ti_{3}C_{2}F_{x}(OH)_{v} + 3\delta e^{-} + \delta Au^{3+} \rightarrow Ti_{3}C_{2}F_{x}(OH)_{v} + \delta Au^{0}$$
(1)

Equation (1) describes the reaction involving $Ti_3C_2F_x(OH)_y$, which represents Ti_3C_2 (MXene) materials with surface -F and -OH groups. HAuCl₄ crystals dissolved to form Au³⁺ in solution. MXenes terminated with -OH groups can facilitate the reduction of noble metal ions to zero-

valent metals.² Throughout the reaction, certain amounts of Au^{3+} ions were reduced to gold nanoparticles, which were then deposited onto the Ti_3C_2 (MXene) materials upon interaction with $Ti_3C_2F_x(OH)_y$.

Contact angle measurements

To conduct contact angle measurements, a 5 μ L droplet of deionized (DI) water was applied onto the Au/Ti₃C₂T_x-coated surface of the carbon cloth. A camera equipped with a macro lens captured the contact angles. The contact angle images were processed using ImageJ software.

Electrochemical characterization

Electrochemical measurements were performed in a three-electrode electrochemical cell connected to an electrochemical workstation (CHI, Model 660D). Ccarbon cloth decorated with Au/Ti₃C₂T_x was used as the working electrode (1 cm²), a platinum foil as the counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl) as the reference electrode. The electrode potentials are converted to the RHE according to the equation E(RHE) = E(Ag/AgCl) + 0.059 pH + 0.210 V. The cathode and anode chambers were separated by a proton exchange membrane (Nafion 117). Each chamber was filled with 20 ml of 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution. CO₂ (99.995%) was purged at a flow rate of 20 sccm into the cathode chamber for 30 min before each ECRR measurement with continued purging throughout the measurement. The solution pH after purging with CO₂ was 6.7. The proposed electrochemical cell setup for the ECRR is shown in below.

The catalytic activity of Au/Ti₃C₂T_x catalysts was measured in a range of potentials from 0.2 to -2.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl. All electrochemical measurements were performed at 25 °C and atmospheric pressure employing an AutoLab double channel potentiostat with a built-in impedance spectrometer. The current density normalized by the electrochemical active surface area (ECSA) for the as-prepared catalysts was computed through,

ECSA-normalized current density = current density $\times C_s/C_{dl}$

Product analysis

During chronoamperometry, gaseous products were analysed using in situ using gas chromatography, and liquid products were analyzed from quantitative nuclear magnetic resonance (qNMR) spectroscopy using dimethyl sulfoxide as an internal standard. Solvent presaturation technique was implemented to suppress the water peak. Faradaic efficiencies were calculated from the amount of charge passed to produce each product, divided by the total charge passed at a specific time or during the overall run.

Gas production was measured on an Agilent gas chromatography instrument (model #8890 customised) equipped with a Haysep D column (1/8" × 6') and a 13 × Mol Sieve column (1/8" × 6'); carbon monoxide (CO) was quantified using flame ionization detector (FID) and hydrogen (H₂) using thermal conductivity detector (TCD). All potentials in this study were measured against the RHE reference electrode After the electrochemical cell was assembled, the electrolyte solution in the working compartment was sparged for 30 minutes with CO₂. The solutions were electrolyzed at a constant potential for a desired test period. After electrolysis, the headspace of the cell was analyzed by GC. The 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution after electrolysis was collected and analyzed on a Bruker 500 MHz NMR spectrometer to quantify liquid products. Samples of the KHCO₃ solution after electrolysis were analyzed by quantitative ¹H NMR using dimethyl sulfoxide as an internal standard. For NMR measurements, 0.5 ml of electrolyte solution was mixed with 0.1 ml deuterium oxide (DMSO, 7 mM) was added as an internal standard. The ¹H spectrum was measured with water suppression by a pre-saturation method.

The FE values for products were calculated using the following equation:

%FE products =
$$\frac{n \text{ F mol}}{\text{O}} \times 100$$

where n, mol, F, and Q are the number of electrons, number of moles of products, Faraday's constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), and total charges passed, respectively.



Figure S1. HAADF-TEM images of $Ti_3C_2T_x$



Figure S2. EDS images of (a) Ti_3AlC_2 , (b) $Ti_3C_2T_x$ and (c) $Au/Ti_3C_2T_x$



Figure S3. The XPS spectra of (a) Ti 2p, (b) C 1s, (c) O 1s and (d) F 1s of $Ti_3C_2T_x$.



Figure S4. (a) LSVs, (b) CVs in a N₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution (c) CVs measured in CO_2 saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ at room temperature, (d) Long-term chronoamperometry in CO_2 saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃.



Figure S5. (a) CV curves of (a) Au/Ti₃C₂T_x, (b) Ti₃C₂T_x, (c) Ti₃AlC₂ at the scan rate of 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 mV s⁻¹ (d) Electrochemical double layer plot.



Figure S6. (a) Electrochemical workstation (b) schematic Cell design (c) Experimental cell setup



Figure S7. GC traces from FID and TCD channels. Peaks corresponding to the observed gaseous products are indicated.



Figure S8. A representative ¹H NMR spectrum collected from the liquid product

Catalyst	Electrolyte	Product	FE (%)	Current density (mA/cm ²)	Potential	Reference
Au _n /C-paper	0.2 M KHCO ₃	CO, H ₂	89	-4.0	-1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl	3
Au-CeO2-DP	0.1 M KHCO ₃	СО	95	NA	–0.7 V vs. RHE	4
20%Au/FPC- 800	0.1 M KHCO ₃	СО	92	10.5	−0.7 V <i>vs</i> . RHE	5
M-AuPd(20)	0.1 M KHCO ₃	НСООН	99	$6.5 \rightarrow 5.2$	-0.25 V vs. RHE	6
AgAu nanomesh	0.1 M KHCO ₃	СО	99	NA	– 0.90 V vs. RHE	7
AuCu3@Au	0.5 M KHCO3	CO	97.3	5.3	-0.6 V <i>vs.</i> RHE	8
ZnO-Fe- Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x	0.5 M NaOH	НСООН	NA	18.745	-0.7 V vs. Ag/AgCl	9
Cu/Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x	0.1 M NaHCO3	HCOOH CH ₃ OH	58.1	-1.08	-1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl	10
Pd/Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x	1.0 M KHCO ₃	CH ₃ OH	67.8	-4.1	-0.5 V vs. RHE	11
Au/Ti ₃ C ₂ T _x	0.1 M KHCO ₃	СО Н ₂	48.3 25.6	-17.3 -25.6	-0.42 V vs. RHE	This work

Table S1. Comparison of Au and other MXene based electrocatalyst for CO_2 reduction

References

- 1. O. Salim, K. A. Mahmoud, K. K. Pant and R. K. Joshi, *Mater. Today Chem.*, 2019, **14**, 100191.
- 2. R. Cheng, T. Hu, M. Hu, C. Li, Y. Liang, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, M. Li, H. Wang, H. Lu, Y. Fu, H. Zhang, Q.-H. Yang and X. Wang, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **40**, 119-127.
- 3. S. K. Sharma, H. T. Ahangari, B. Johannessen, V. B. Golovko and A. T. Marshall, *Electrocatalysis*, 2023, 14, 611-623.
- 4. X.-C. Sun, K. Yuan, J.-H. Zhou, C.-Y. Yuan, H.-C. Liu and Y.-W. Zhang, *ACS Catalysis*, 2022, **12**, 923-934.
- 5. Y. Cui, Y. Cheng, C. Yang, Y. Su, D. Yao, B. Liufu, J. Li, Y. Fang, S. Liu, Z. Zhong, X. Wang, Y. Song and Z. Li, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2023, **11**, 11229-11238.
- J. Bok, S. Y. Lee, B.-H. Lee, C. Kim, D. L. T. Nguyen, J. W. Kim, E. Jung, C. W. Lee, Y. Jung, H. S. Lee, J. Kim, K. Lee, W. Ko, Y. S. Kim, S.-P. Cho, J. S. Yoo, T. Hyeon and Y. J. Hwang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, 143, 5386-5395.
- 7. J.-L. Sang, L. Yu, X.-W. Song, W.-C. Geng, Y. Zhang and Y.-J. Li, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2023, **944**, 169155.
- 8. X. Ma, Y. Shen, S. Yao, C. An, W. Zhang, J. Zhu, R. Si, C. Guo and C. An, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 3344-3350.
- 9. K. Kannan, M. H. Sliem, A. M. Abdullah, K. K. Sadasivuni and B. Kumar, *Catalysts*, 2020, **10**, 549.
- K. Eid, Q. Lu, S. Abdel-Azeim, A. Soliman, A. M. Abdullah, A. M. Abdelgwad, R. P. Forbes, K. I. Ozoemena, R. S. Varma and M. F. Shibl, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2022, 10, 1965-1975.
- 11. B. Govindan, R. Madhu, M. Abu Haija, F. V. Kusmartsev and F. Banat, *Catalysts*, 2022, **12**, 1180.