[Electronic Supplementary Information]

Theoretical Insights into Single-Atom Catalysts for Improved Charging

and Discharging Kinetics of Na-S and Na-Se Batteries

Mukesh Jakhar, *,^{†,‡} Veronica Barone, *,^{†,‡} and Yi Ding[¶]

† Science of Advanced Materials Program, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859, USA

‡Department of Physics, Central Michigan University, Mt. Pleasant, MI 48859, USA
¶ U.S. Army DEVCOM-GVSC, Warren, MI 48397, USA

E-mail: jakha1m@cmich.edu; baron1v@cmich.edu

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A. Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited. OPSEC #8405.

Table of Contents

Section				
	No.			
Electronic Properties of $g-C_3N_4$ and Phonon Spectrum	S3			
Curves for SA@rg- C_3N_4				
Charge Transfer and AIMD Plot for X_8 (X= S, Se) Species	S5			
Geometric Configurations of Na-pXs (X=S, Se)	S8			
Geometric Configurations of Electrolyte Solvent (DOL and	S13			
DME)				
Gibbs Free Energy Profile for Sulfur/Selenium Reduction	S14			
Reactions $(S/SeRRs)$				
Partial Density of States (PDOS) of Na ₂ X-SA	S17			

Electronic properties of $\rm g-C_3N_4$ and phonon spectrum curves for SA@rg-C_3N_4



Figure S1: The optimized configuration and electronic band structure for (a) $g-C_3N_4$ and $rg-C_3N_4$ monolayer. Solid black and dotted red curves are spin-down and spin-up components, respectively. Fermi level is set to zero.



Figure S2: Phonon spectrum curves of SA@rg $-\mathrm{C_3N_4}$ (for SA= Rh, Ni, Pd, and Pt)

Table S1: The average bond lengths between the embedded single atom (SA) and its nearest neighboring N and C atoms within the 1 x 1 unit cell of a $rg-C_3N_4$ monolayer.

SA	SA-N (Å)	SA-C (Å)
Co	1.90	1.76
Fe	1.93	1.79
Ir	2.04	1.87
Ni	1.90	1.81
Pd	2.02	1.92
Pt	2.01	1.91
Rh	2.03	1.86

Charge Transfer and AIMD plot for X_8 (X= S, Se) Species



Figure S3: The calculated partial density of states (PDOS) for $g-C_3N_4$ and $rg-C_3N_4$ absorbed by (a) S_8 and (b) Se_8 molecules

From Figure S3, it is evident that in $g-C_3N_4$ absorbed by S_8 , there is a predominant contribution from N atoms near the Fermi level. However, in Se_8 , in addition to the N atom contribution, a significant contribution from Se atoms is also observed, which can be correlated to their higher adsorption energies compared to S_8 . On other hand, in $rg-C_3N_4$, only two small N atom peaks occur near the Fermi level corresponding to spin up and spin down, which can be correlated to their lower adsorption energies compared to pristine $g-C_3N_4$.



Figure S4: The charge transfer between the ${\rm X_8}~({\rm X=S,\,Se})$ molecules and various substrates.



Figure S5: AIMD simulation snapshots of the adsorption of S $_8$ on $\rm g-C_3N_4,\,rg-C_3N_4,\,and$ SA@rg-C_3N_4 (SA = Co, Ir, Ni, Pt, and Rh)



Figure S6: AIMD simulation snapshots of the adsorption of Se_8 on $g-C_3N_4$, $rg-C_3N_4$, and SA@rg-C_3N_4 (SA = Co, Fe, Ir, Ni, Pd, Pt, and Rh)

Geometric Configurations of Na-pXs (X=S, Se)



Figure S7: The optimized geometric configurations of X_8 (X= S, Se) and Na_2X_n (n=1, 2, 4, 6, 8) species.

Table S2: The bond lengths between the Na atom and its nearest neighboring X (X=S, Se) atom within the Na-pXs species.

Na-pXs (X=S, Se)	Na-S (Å)	Na-Se (Å)
N ₂ X	$2.44 \ (2.44)^a$	$2.56 \ (2.57)^b$
Na_2X_2	$2.55 \ (2.56)^a$	$2.70 \ (2.70)^b$
Na_2X_4	$2.69 \ (2.71)^a$	$2.82 \ (2.83)^b$
Na_2X_6	$2.72 \ (2.72)^a$	$2.85 \ (2.86)^b$
Na_2X_8	$2.70 \ (2.76)^a$	$2.80 \ (2.81)^b$

*Here a and b represent the reference number¹ and² for Na-S and Na-Se bond lengths, respectively.

Table S3: The calculated charge transfer e^- from Na₂X_n (n=1, 4, 8 and X=S, Se) to the rg-C₃N₄ and SA@rg-C₃N₄ (SA= Co, Fe, Ir Ni, Pd, Pt, and Rh) monolayer.

Systems	Na_2S	Na_2Se	Na_2S_4	Na_2Se_4	Na_2S_8	Na_2Se_8
$g-C_3N_4$	0.81	0.81	0.76	0.51	0.09	0.14
$rg-C_3N_4$	0.86	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.46	0.52
Co	0.66	0.71	0.33	0.37	0.19	0.21
Fe	0.61	0.65	0.29	0.33	0.17	0.19
Ir	0.69	0.75	0.40	0.47	0.25	0.33
Ni	0.63	0.68	0.38	0.42	0.23	0.30
Pd	0.61	0.66	0.36	0.40	0.26	0.32
Pt	0.68	0.73	0.43	0.48	0.31	0.32
Rh	0.65	0.69	0.35	0.41	0.22	0.28



Figure S8: The optimized geometric configurations of Na_2S_n ; n=1, 2, 4, 6, 8 species $ong-C_3N_4$, $rg-C_3N_4$ and $SA@rg-C_3N_4$ (SA = Co, Fe, Ir, Ni, Pd Pt and Rh)



Figure S9: The optimized geometric configurations of Na_2Se_n (n=1, 2, 4, 6, 8) species $ong-C_3N_4$, $rg-C_3N_4$, $and SA@rg-C_3N_4$ (SA = Co, Fe, Ir, Ni, Pd, Pt, and Rh)



Figure S10: (a) The adsorption energies and (b) optimized geometric configurations of $\rm Na_2X$ adsorbed on Pristine, reduced and $\rm Fe@rg-C_3N_4$

Optimized Geometries of Electrolyte Solvent (DOL and DME)



Figure S11: The optimized geometric configurations of Na_2X_n (n=1, 2, 4, 6, 8) species adsorbed on 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) and 1,2 dimethoxymethane (DME) electrolyte solvent

Gibbs free energy profile for sulfur/selenium reduction reaction (S/SeRR)

Overall, the reduction reaction of a sulfur (S_8) or selenium (Se_8) molecule in the discharging process of Na–S or Na–Se batteries is a 16-electron process, resulting in the generation of eight Na_2S or Na_2Se molecules, respectively.^{2–4}

$$S_8 + 16Na^+ + 16e^- \rightarrow 8Na_2S$$

$$Se_8 + 16Na^+ + 16e^- \rightarrow 8Na_2Se$$

The elementary steps of forming one Na_2S/Na_2Se molecule in the S/Se reduction reaction (S/SeRR) process are shown as follows, based on a model of Na-pS/Ses disproportional reactions:

$${}^{*}X_{8} + 2Na^{+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow {}^{*}Na_{2}X_{8}$$
$${}^{*}Na_{2}X_{8} \rightarrow {}^{*}Na_{2}X_{6} + \frac{1}{4}X_{8}$$
$${}^{*}Na_{2}X_{6} \rightarrow {}^{*}Na_{2}X_{4} + \frac{1}{4}X_{8}$$
$${}^{*}Na_{2}X_{4} \rightarrow {}^{*}Na_{2}X_{2} + \frac{1}{4}X_{8}$$
$${}^{*}Na_{2}X_{2} \rightarrow {}^{*}Na_{2}X + \frac{1}{8}X_{8}$$

where * stands for an active site on the catalytic surface and X = S, Se.

For each step of S/Se reduction reaction (S/SeRR), the reaction Gibbs free energy is given by:

$$\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta E_{ZPE} - T\Delta S$$

where: ΔE is the total energy calculated from the DFT calculations, ΔE_{ZPE} is the con-

tribution of zero-point energy to the free energy, ΔS is the contribution of entropy to the free energy. The values of ΔE_{ZPE} and ΔS are determined by the vibrational frequency computations, and herein T is the temperature (298.15 K).

The free energy change for the S/SeRR electrochemical steps can be obtained from the following expressions:

$$\begin{split} \Delta G_1 &= (E_{*Na_2X_8} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_8)} - TS_{*Na_2X_8}) \\ &- (E_{*X_8} + E_{ZPE(*X_8)} - TS_{*X_8}) - 2(E_{Na} + E_{ZPE(Na)} - TS_{Na}) \\ \Delta G_2 &= (E_{*Na_2X_6} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_6)} - TS_{*Na_2X_6}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(E_{X_8} + E_{ZPE(X_8)} - TS_{X_8}) - (E_{*Na_2X_8} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_8)} - TS_{*Na_2X_8}) \\ \Delta G_3 &= (E_{*Na_2X_4} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_4)} - TS_{*Na_2X_4}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(E_{X_8} + E_{ZPE(X_8)} - TS_{X_8}) - (E_{*Na_2X_6} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_6)} - TS_{*Na_2X_6}) \\ \Delta G_4 &= (E_{*Na_2X_2} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_2)} - TS_{*Na_2X_2}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4}(E_{X_8} + E_{ZPE(X_8)} - TS_{X_8}) - (E_{*Na_2X_4} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_4)} - TS_{*Na_2X_4}) \\ \Delta G_5 &= (E_{*Na_2X} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X)} - TS_{*Na_2X}) \\ &- \frac{1}{8}(E_{X_8} + E_{ZPE(X_8)} - TS_{X_8}) - (E_{*Na_2X_2} + E_{ZPE(*Na_2X_4)} - TS_{*Na_2X_2}) \\ \end{split}$$

The largest ΔG will determine the overall speed of the Na–X battery reaction, and the corresponding reaction step is called rate determining (RD) step.



Figure S12: Energy profiles for the reduction of Na-pXs (X= S, Se) on the SA@rg $-C_3N_4$ (SA= Rh, Ni, Pd and Pt) monolayer.



Partial Density of States (PDOS) of Na_2X -SA

Figure S13: The calculated partial density of states (PDOS) of Na_2X -SAC (Co, Ir, Rh, Ni and Pt) adsorption system

References

- Li, N.; Zhan, Y.; Wu, H.; Fan, J.; Jia, J. Covalent surface modification of bifunctional two-dimensional metal carbide MXenes as sulfur hosts for sodium–sulfur batteries. *Nanoscale* 2022, 14, 17027–17035.
- (2) Wei, C.; Ge, M.; Fang, T.; Tang, X.; Liu, X. Rational design of MXene-based single atom catalysts for Na–Se batteries from sabatier principle. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **2023**, *25*, 24948–24959.
- (3) Jayan, R.; Islam, M. M. Mechanistic Insights into Interactions of Polysulfides at VS2 Interfaces in Na–S Batteries: A DFT Study. ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces 2021, 13, 35848–35855.
- (4) Assary, R. S.; Curtiss, L. A.; Moore, J. S. Toward a molecular understanding of energetics in Li–S batteries using nonaqueous electrolytes: a high-level quantum chemical study. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 2014, 118, 11545–11558.