Supporting Information

On the grain boundary charge transport in p-type polycrystalline nanoribbon transistors

Prakash Sarkar,^{a,†} A V Muhammed Ali,^{a,†} Gurupada Ghorai,^a Prabhanjan Pradhan,^{b,c} Biplab K. Patra,^{b,c} Abhay A. Sagade,*,d,e K. D. M Rao*,a

^aSchool of Applied & Interdisciplinary Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science (IACS), Jadavpur, Kolkata 700032, India

 \bar{b} Materials Chemistry Department, CSIR-Institute of Minerals and Materials Technology, Bhubaneshwar 751013, India

 c Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India

 d Laboratory for Advanced Nanoelectronic Devices, Department of Physics and Nanotechnology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur 603203, Tamil Nadu, India ^eDresden Integrated Center for Applied Physics and Photonic Materials (IAPP), and Institute of Applied Physics, Technische Universität Dresden, Nöthnitzer Str. 61, 01187 Dresden, Germany

†Both authors contributed equally.

Corresponding authors: Abhay A. Sagade: abhaya@srmist.edu.in, K. D. M Rao: saiskdmrao@iacs.res.in

Table of Content

Figure S1: (Left) Dependance of grain size on evaporation rate. (Right) Histogram plot of average grain size distribution for CuI PNR.

The Histogram plot is obtained by measuring the average size of each grain using ImageJ software. The average grain size of CuI PNR is 56±16 nm.

Figure S2: X-ray diffraction pattern for the CuI PNRs.

The observed diffraction peaks at 25.65° and 52.48° correspond to the (111) and (222) Miller indices of the γ-phase of CuI (JCPDS# 060246), respectively.¹ Additionally, peaks marked with "*" at 33.19° and 44.40° are attributed to substrate peaks for Si, specifically (200) and (220).

Figure S3: Variation of linear mobility over gate voltage for CuI PNR FETs at V_{DS} =-1V, (a) different channel lengths (L) at fixed channel width (W) , (b) different channel widths (W) at fixed channel length.

The variation of linear mobility (μ) with channel length (L) as a function of gate voltage was investigated, maintaining a fixed channel width of 260 nm and a grain-to-source voltage (V_{DS} = -1V) in Figure S3 (a). It is observed that as the channel length decreased, the mobility increased, indicating enhanced trapping carrier transport. In Figure S3 (b), where the channel length is fixed, but the width is varied, the mobility amplitude was higher for a width of 260 nm compared to 80 nm, accompanied by a shift in the threshold voltage (V_{th}) . This behavior is attributed to the transport characteristics, where the linear mobility variation is higher for shorter channel lengths due to increased carrier trapping, as reported, leading to enhanced conductance in pentacene-based thin film FETs.² Conversely, higher channel lengths exhibit reduced mobility as interface traps and charge transfer centers dominate the transport mechanism.³

Figure S4: Extracted CuI PNR FET parameters variation with temperature for 260 nm width & 30 µm channel at V_{DS} =-1V, (a) on/off ratio & on current, (b) subthreshold swing & threshold voltage.

Figure S5: CuI PNR FET parameters variation with channel length at different temperatures, (a) on current, (b) threshold voltage at a fixed $V_{DS} = -1$ V.

The temperature-dependent CuI PNR FETs parameter is shown in Figure S5, with a variation in channel lengths. The on-current, analyzed as a function of channel length at two different temperatures (200K and 300K), exhibits a reduction with increasing channel length in Figure S5 (a). Correspondingly, the threshold voltage (V_{Th}) , depicted in Figure S5 (b), follows a similar trend.

Figure S6: Activation energy extracted from $ln(\mu)$ versus (1/T) for PNR width of 260 nm and length of 30 µm.

Figure S6 illustrates the calculation procedure for determining the activation energy from the natural logarithm of mobility $(ln(\mu))$ plotted against the reciprocal of temperature (1000/T), specifically for PNRs with a width of 260 nm and a length of 30 µm. This methodology is employed to calculate the activation energy concerning the variation of channel length.

Figure S7: (a) Levinson plot of CuI PNR FET at different channel lengths at a V_{DS} = -1 V. (b) Variation of energy barrier height with different number of grains in channel lengths from Levinson's Model.

The Levinson plot, depicting $ln(I_{DS}/V_{GS})$ versus $1/V_{GS}$ for different channel lengths, determines the energy barrier height (E_B). Extracted E_B values and their variation with grains per unit area are presented in Figure S7b.

Supporting Information Note-1: Temperature-Dependent Analysis of Barrier Height

Figure S8: $ln(I_{DS}/T^2)$ versus $1/T$ plot for different gate voltage at fixed V_{DS} = -1 V, for 30 µm channel CuI PNR FET over the temperature range 300-80K.

The barrier height (E_B) of CuI PNR FET was analyzed in the temperature range of 80-300 K using the following equation $(S1)^4$

$$
I_{DS} = AA^*T^2 \exp\left(-\frac{qE_B}{k_B T} + \frac{qV_{DS}}{k_B T}\right) \tag{S1}
$$

Where A is the device area, A^* is the modified Richardson constant, q is the electron charge, I_{DS} is the drain current, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature, and V_{DS} is the drain voltage. We plotted In (I_{DS}/T^2) versus I/T at fixed gate voltage and varying drain voltage. Figure S9 shows the plot for 30 μ m channel devices at a fixed V_{DS} = -1 V for various gate voltages. From the slope, we derive the barrier height as a function of gate voltage (V_{GS}). Furthermore, we converted V_{GS} into average carrier density according to the relationship in the channel defined by equation $(S2)^5$

$$
n = C_{OX}(V_{GS} - V_{FB})/q_{ch}
$$
\n^(S2)

where C_{OX} is the gate oxide capacitance, and V_{FB} is the flat band voltage.

Supporting Information Note-2: Transport Mechanisms in CuI PNRs and Thin Films:

Figure S9: Conductivity fitting comparison with respect to the temperature for CuI thin film and PNR at zero gate bias condition.

The Arrhenius plot presented in Figure S9 describes the temperature-dependent conductivity profiles spanning from 300 to 80 K for both CuI thin films and PNRs of various lengths. A noticeable trend emerges, showcasing a more pronounced decline in conductivity for the CuI PNRs compared to the thin film, which indicates different transport mechanisms. Notably, the obtained activation energies are 2, 56, and 115 meV for the CuI thin film, PNR (30 μ m), and PNR (50 μ m), respectively, underscoring a heightened activation energy for electrical conductivity in CuI PNRs.^{6,7} Therefore, CuI thin film demonstrates a thermally activated charge transport behavior. Considering the observed interface trap density at grain boundaries from previous analyses, we anticipate the manifestation of a distinctive charge transport mechanism in CuI PNRs.

To elucidate the conductivity mechanism, we employ the temperature dependence of conductivity and apply the 3D-Variable Range Hopping (VRH) model to both CuI PNR and thin film. The 3D-VRH model provides a framework for understanding hopping conductivity, as expressed by Equation (S3):

$$
\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp\left[-(T_0/T)^{1/4}\right] \tag{S3}
$$

Where σ_0 represents the pre-exponential factor and T_0 denotes the degree of disorder. These are expressed as follows:

$$
\sigma_0 = e^2 a^2 \nu_{ph} N(E_F) \tag{S4}
$$

$$
and T_0 = c^4 \gamma^3 / kN(E_F)
$$
\n^(S5)

In these equations, e represents the electronic charge, a is the hopping distance, v_{ph} is the phonon frequency (~10¹³ sec⁻¹) obtained from the Debye temperature, $N(E_F)$ is the localized density of states near the Fermi level, k is Boltzmann's constant, γ is the inverse of the localization length (ζ), and c is a dimensional constant based on the percolation parameter. Here, $N(E_F)$ value is extracted from T_0 . The other two parameters, R (the hopping distance) and W (the hopping energy) are determined by the equations:

$$
R = [9/8\pi\gamma kTN(E_F)]^{1/4}
$$
 (S6)

$$
and W = [3/4\pi R^3 N(E_F)]
$$
 (S7)

Supporting Information Note-3: Correlation Between Gate Voltage and Fermi Level in CuI PNR FET:

Figure S10: Relation between V_{GS} and E_F - E_V of CuI PNR FET for (a) 30 μ m and (b) 50 μ m channel.

Additionally, the relationship between the gate voltage and the Fermi level of CuI PNR can be correlated using a mapping function equation $(S8)^8$

$$
E_F - E_V = 2kT \ln \left(\frac{I_D(V_G)}{\sqrt{N_V \varepsilon_S kT_\mu (W/L) V_D}} \right) \tag{S8}
$$

Here, T is the absolute temperature, k is the Boltzmann constant, μ is the hole mobility, and ε_s is the permittivity, E_V valence band maximum, while N_V denotes the effective density of states for free carriers, defined as $2(2\pi m_h^* kT/h^2)^{3/2}$, where m_h^* is the effective mass of holes, and h is Plank's constant. For CuI,

the calculated N_V is 9.3×10¹⁹ cm⁻³, using $m_h^* = 2.4 m_0$ at T=300K (m_0 is the electronic rest mass).^{9,10} Equation (S8) indicates that $E_F - E_V$ as function of the gate voltage, reflecting the extent of band bending. Based on this equation, we calculate the correspondence of V_{GS} with E_F - E_V of CuI PNR FET at room temperature (300K), as shown in Figures S11a and S11b for $L = 30$ and 50 µm channels, respectively.

Supporting Information Note-4: Analytical Modeling of Carrier Density and Electrical Surface Potential:

The tapping carrier density (n_{tr}) and free carrier density (n_f) are associated with the electrical surface potential of the semiconductor, which is determined using equation (S9) and Poisson's equation (S10),

$$
n_{free}(V_{GS}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_S kT} \left(\frac{L I_{DS}(V_{GS})}{\mu W V_{DS}} \right) \tag{S9}
$$

$$
\frac{d^2 \varphi_s}{dx^2} = \frac{q}{\varepsilon_s} (n_{tr} + n_{fr})
$$
 (S10)

$$
E = \frac{d\varphi_s}{dx} \tag{S11}
$$

Figure S11: The density of the localized states as a function of the Fermi energy level is calculated from the trapping conduction density of the channel (a) 30 μ m and (d) 50 μ m.

Also, the electrical surface potential of p-type semiconductors (φ_s) is defined as equation (S<u>12</u>),

$$
\varphi_s = \frac{2kT}{q} \ln \left(\frac{I^2{}_D(V_{GS})}{\epsilon_s kT\mu^2 \frac{W}{L}V_D} \right) + \left(\frac{E_V - E_{F0}}{q} \right) \tag{S12}
$$

where E represents the electric field, φ_s signifies the surface potential along the depth, x denotes the distance from the dielectric/semiconductor interface along with the channel depth, and E_{F0} denotes the intrinsic Fermi level position of CuI.

The relationship between the carrier density and the gate voltage is determined using the charge balance equation $Q_{ind} = C_{ox}(V_G - V_{TH})$, where V_{TH} represents the threshold voltage, C_{ox} signifies the capacitance of the semiconductor/dielectric interface and Q_{ind} denotes the total charge density induced at the interface. The induced electric field at $x=0$, one of the parameters controlling the charge carrier density by gate voltage, can be computed using equations (S10) and (S11),

$$
E(x=0) = \sqrt{\frac{2q}{\epsilon_s} \int_0^{\varphi_s} (n_{tr} + n_{fr})} \approx \frac{c_{ox}(V_G - V_{TH})}{\epsilon_s}
$$
(S13)

By taking the first derivative of equation (S13) with respect to φ_s , which is linearly dependent on the total carrier density. The surface potential is defined as $q\varphi_s = (E_{F0} - E_F)$, where E_{F0} is the equilibrium position of the Fermi level.

References

- 1 Y. Shan, G. Li, G. Tian, J. Han, C. Wang, S. Liu, H. Du and Y. Yang, J. Alloys Compd., 2009, 477, 403–406.
- 2 F. D. Fleischli, K. Sidler, M. Schaer, V. Savu, J. Brugger and L. Zuppiroli, Org. Electron., 2011, 12, 336–340.
- 3 P. Mittal, B. Kumar, Y. S. Negi, B. K. Kaushik and R. K. Singh, Microelectronics J., 2012, 43, 985–994.
- 4 Y. Huang, X. Gong, Y. Meng, Z. Wang, X. Chen, J. Li, D. Ji, Z. Wei, L. Li and W. Hu, Nat. Commun., 2021, 12, 21.
- 5 C. A. Dimitriadis, J. Appl. Phys., 1993, 73, 4086–4088.
- 6 A. F. Qasrawi and M. K. N. Abuarra, Appl. Phys. A, 2023, 129, 664.
- 7 L. Pichon, E. Jacques, R. Rogel, A. C. Salaun and F. Demami, Semicond. Sci. Technol., 2013, 28, 025002.
- 8 J.-T. Li, L.-C. Liu, J.-S. Chen, J.-S. Jeng, P.-Y. Liao, H.-C. Chiang, T.-C. Chang, M. I. Nugraha and M. A. Loi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, 110, 023504.
- 9 S. Lee, A. Nathan, Y. Ye, Y. Guo and J. Robertson, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 13467.
- 10 B. Hönerlage, C. Klingshirn and J. B. Grun, *Phys. status solidi*, 1976, **78**, 599–608.