# **Supplementary Information**

## The interplay of chromophore-spacer length in light-induced gold nanocluster self-assembly

Jose V. Rival,<sup>a</sup> Nonappa,<sup>b</sup> Edakkattuparambil Sidharth Shibu<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Smart Materials Lab, Department of Nanoscience and Technology (DNST), University of Calicut, Thenhipalam 673635, Kerala, India.

<sup>b</sup> Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Tampere University, P.O. Box 541, FI-33101 Tampere, Finland.

\* shibu@uoc.ac.in

#### **Table of Contents**

#### NAME

NAME	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
	Experimental section	2 – 5
	Instrumentation details	5
FIGURE S1	<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of M1	6
FIGURE S2	FT-IR spectrum of M1	6
FIGURE S3	LC-MS spectrum of M1	7
FIGURE S4	$^{1}$ H and $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of M2	7
FIGURE S5	FT-IR spectrum of M2	8
FIGURE S6	LC-MS spectrum of M2	8
FIGURE S7	<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of M3	9
FIGURE S8	FT-IR spectrum of M3	9
FIGURE S9	LC-MS spectrum of M3	10
FIGURE S10	<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of M4	10
FIGURE S11	FT-IR spectrum of M4	11
FIGURE S12	LC-MS spectrum of M4	11
FIGURE S13	<sup>1</sup> H and <sup>13</sup> C NMR spectra of M5	12
FIGURE S14	FT-IR spectrum of M5	12
FIGURE S15	LC-MS spectrum of M5	13
FIGURE S16	Temporal absorption spectra of M3 and M5 illuminated under 435 nm light	13
FIGURE S17	Energy-minimized structures of two geometrical isomers of M5	13

FIGURE S18	XPS spectra of PET-NC, $C_3$ -NC, and $C_9$ -NC	14
FIGURE S19	HRTEM image and the size distribution of C <sub>9</sub> -NCs	14
FIGURE S20	Temporal UV-Vis spectra of $C_3$ -NC and $C_9$ -NC illuminated under 435 nm light	14
FIGURE S21	The large area and single STEM images and elemental maps of $C_3$ -NC and $C_9$ -NC assemblies	15
FIGURE S22	The large area STEM images, elemental maps, and elemental spectra of $C_3$ -NC and $C_9$ -NC assemblies	15
FIGURE S23	Larger area AFM images of $C_3$ -NC and $C_9$ -NC assemblies	15
FIGURE S24	AFM images of single $C_3$ and $C_9$ NC assembly	16
FIGURE S25	TEM images of $C_3$ - and $C_9$ - NC assembly at different tilt angles	16
FIGURE S26	TEM micrographs of self-assembled monomer, dimer, and trimer of $C_{9}$ -NCs in early stages	17
FIGURE S27	TEM micrographs of self-assembled monomer, dimer, and trimer of $C_9$ -NC	17
FIGURE S28	TEM micrographs of self-assembled monomer, dimer, and trimer of $C_3$ -NC	17
FIGURE S29	TEM micrographs of $C_3$ -NC and $C_9$ -NC assmembly recorded after one year	18
FIGURE S30	The temporal TEM microgrpahs of $C_9$ -NC solution under 345 nm illumination at different time intervals	18
	References	18

## Experimental Section Reagents and Materials

Analytical-grade chemicals and solvents were used here without any additional purification. Phenol, aniline, potassium nitrite (KNO<sub>2</sub>), 1,3-dibromopropane, 1,9-dibromononane, hexamethyldisilathiane (HMDST), tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF), tetraoctylammonium bromide (TOAB), ammonium chloride (NH<sub>4</sub>Cl), ammonia solution (25%), potassium carbonate (K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), potassium iodide (KI), 2-phenylethanethiol (PET), gold(III) chloride trihydrate (HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O), and sodium borohydride (NaBH<sub>4</sub>) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

## Synthesis of molecule 1 (M1)

**M1** was synthesized using the protocol used in our earlier work.<sup>11</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ );  $\delta = 10.34$  (s, 1H), 7.84 – 7.79 (m, 4H), 7.57 – 7.46 (m, 3H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ );  $\delta = 161.01$ , 152.13, 145.26, 130.51, 129.36, 124.90, 122.14, 115.98.; LC-MS (C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>20</sub>), m/z +1 = 199.; FT-IR (v<sub>max</sub>) = 3117, 1587, 1500 – 1370, 1275, 1227, 1139, 831, and 762 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, LC-MS, and FT-IR spectra of **M1** are shown in **Figures S1-S3**.

#### Synthesis of molecule 2 (M2)

**M2** was synthesized using the protocol used in our earlier work.<sup>1 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ = 7.97 – 7.86 (m, 4H), 7.53 – 7.42 (m, 3H), 7.05 – 7.00 (m, 2H), 4.20 (t, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.36 (p, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 2H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>); δ = 161.27, 152.88, 147.27, 130.55, 129.18, 124.91, 122.71, 114.86, 65.75, 32.39, 29.95.; LC-MS ( $C_{15}H_{15}N_2OBr$ ), m/z+1 = 319 and 321.; FT-IR ( $v_{max}$ ) = 2960 – 2870, 1588, 1500 – 1370, 1237, 1100 – 1020, 916, 825-760, and 678 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, LC-MS, and FT-IR spectra of **M2** are shown in **Figures S4-S6**.

#### Synthesis of molecule 3 (M3)

**M3** was synthesized using the protocol used in our earlier work.<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  = 7.95 – 7.85 (m, 4H), 7.53 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.04 – 6.99 (m, 2H), 4.18 (t, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 2.77 (q, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.13 (p, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.42 (t, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  = 161.45, 152.89, 147.19, 130.53, 129.18, 124.91, 122.70, 114.84, 66.08, 33.37, 21.34.; LC-MS (C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS), m/z+1 = 273.; FT-IR (vmax) = 2930 – 2875, 2563, 1589, 1495 – 1380, 1298, 1237, 1137, 1044, 949, and 827 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, LC-MS, and FT-IR spectra of **M3** are shown in **Figures S7-S9**.

#### Synthesis of molecule 4 (M4)

**M4** was synthesized using a reported protocol with required modifications.<sup>1</sup> Briefly, a suspension of **M1** (1.98 g; 10 mmol), 1,9-dibromononane (~ 20 mL; 100 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.66 g; 12 mmol) and a catalytic amount of KI were refluxed at 80 °C in acetone (50 mL) for 5 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and filtered, followed by removing the solvent in a rotary evaporator. To remove excess 1,9-dibromononane, column chromatography was performed using nonpolar hexane as the eluent. Subsequently, the remaining crude product was chromatographed over silica gel with a 2% ethyl acetate/hexane mixture to yield the product. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  = 7.94 – 7.86 (m, 4H), 7.52 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.02 – 6.98 (m, 2H), 4.04 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.41 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 1.90 – 1.79 (m, 4H), 1.51 – 1.33 (m, 10H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  = 161.83, 152.94, 147.01, 130.44, 129.16, 122.67, 114.84, 68.45, 34.14, 32.94, 29.48, 29.39, 29.31, 28.83, 28.28, 26.12.; LC-MS (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OBr), m/z+1 = 403 and 405.; FT-IR (v<sub>max</sub>) = 2930 – 2850, 1591, 1500 – 1410, 1298, 1246, 1137, 1012, 839, 764,720, and 682 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, LC-MS, and FT-IR spectra of **M4** are shown in **Figures S10-S12**.

#### Synthesis of molecule 5 (M5)

**M5** was synthesized using a reported protocol with required modifications.<sup>1</sup> Briefly, a solution of **M4** (403 mg; 1 mmol) prepared in freshly distilled THF (4 mL) was initially cooled to -10 °C and stirred at the same temperature. Subsequently, a mixture of HMDST (255  $\mu$ L; 1.2 mmol) and TBAF (350 mg; 1.1 mmol) prepared in THF (1 mL) was injected into the above solution. The mixture was warmed

to room temperature while being stirred. After completing 1 h stirring, the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM and washed with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution. The crude product was chromatographed over silica gel and eluted with a 2% ethyl acetate/hexane mixture to yield the final product (**M5**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  = 7.93 – 7.85 (m, 4H), 7.52 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 7.02 – 6.97 (m, 2H), 4.04 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.82 (dt, *J* = 14.5, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.62 (p, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.51 – 1.31 (m, 11H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta$  161.85, 152.96, 147.03, 130.44, 129.16, 124.89, 122.68, 114.85, 68.48, 34.16, 29.56, 29.44, 29.32, 29.14, 28.49, 26.14, 24.79.; LC-MS (C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS), m/z+1 = 357.; FT-IR (v<sub>max</sub>) = 2925 – 2850, 2560, 1591, 1500 – 1380, 1302, 1242, 1140 – 1100, 1010, and 840 – 770 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR, LC-MS, and FT-IR spectra of **M5** are shown in **Figures S13-S15**.

#### Synthesis of PET-NC

PET-NC was synthesized using a reported protocol.<sup>2</sup>

#### Synthesis of C<sub>3</sub>-NC

Synthesis of C<sub>3</sub>-NCs were performed using a reported method in the literature.<sup>3,4</sup> Briefly, to a solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mg/2 mL of acetonitrile), TOAB (33 mg/2 mL acetonitrile) was added and stirred until color turned to dark red. The mixture was stirred for 15 min in an ice bath, while it was cooled to 0 °C. Followed by this, C<sub>3</sub>-AMT (83 mg; 6 mol equivalents w.r.t. gold) dissolved in 6 mL acetonitrile was added and stirred for 15 minutes until an opaque-yellow mixture was formed. The au-thiolate was further reduced by injecting a freshly prepared ice-cold aqueous NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution (19 mg/2 mL; 10 mol equivalents w.r.t. gold). The reaction mixture was stirred for another 4 h. The precipitated NCs were collected by centrifugation followed by washing the black precipitate in an excess methanol/water mixture. This washing step was repeated three times to remove excess thiol. Finally, NCs dispersed in DCM were evaporated, and washed with acetone to remove byproducts. Dried C<sub>3</sub>-NC powder was stored at 4 °C.

## Synthesis of C<sub>9</sub>-NC

Synthesis of C<sub>3</sub>-NCs were performed using a reported method in the literature.<sup>3,4</sup> Briefly, to a solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mg/2 mL of acetonitrile), TOAB (33 mg/2 mL acetonitrile) was added and stirred until color turned to dark red. The mixture was stirred for 15 min in an ice bath, while it was cooled to 0 °C. Followed by this, C<sub>9</sub>-AMT (108 mg; 6 mol equivalents w.r.t. gold) dissolved in 6 mL acetonitrile was added and stirred for 15 minutes until an opaque-yellow mixture was formed. The au-thiolate solution formed was further reduced by injecting a freshly prepared ice-cold aqueous NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution (19 mg/2 mL; 10 mol equivalents w.r.t. gold). The reaction mixture was

stirred for another 4 h. Precipitated NCs were collected by centrifugation followed by washing the black precipitate in excess methanol/water mixture. The precipitate was further washed three times in acetone to remove excess thiol. Finally, NCs dispersed in DCM were evaporated. This washing step was repeated three times to remove excess thiol. Finally, NCs dispersed in DCM were evaporated in DCM were evaporated, and washed with acetone to remove byproducts. Dried C<sub>9</sub>-NC powder was stored at 4 °C.

#### Instrumentation

<sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded in Bruker, AVANCE III HD 400/500 MHz spectrometers. VARIAN, Cary 500 Scan spectrometer was used to record UV-Vis absorbance spectra. Bruker, Tensor 27 spectrometer recorded FT-IR spectra (attenuated total reflectance mode; ATR). Waters, Xevo TQD Triple Quadrupole Mass Spectrometry was used for Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). TEM was performed in JEOL 3010 (300 kV) transmission electron microscope. Scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) images with EDS and elemental mapping were collected in FEI, Talos F200S (200 kV) transmission electron microscope. TEM images for transmission electron microscopy tomographic reconstruction were collected using Jeol F200 S/TEM operated at 200 keV. Carl-Zeiss SUPRA 55VP field emission scanning electron microscope was used to record FESEM images with EDS and elemental mapping. Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi instrument was used to collect X-ray photoelectron spectra. Agilent Technologies 5500 series AFM/SPM microscope was used for AFM measurements. High-resolution tapping mode (AC mode) AFM images were collected using Oxford Instruments, Asylum Cypher ES. Newport, Mercury-Xenon light source (500 W) equipped with a monochromator (Oriel) was used for the photoisomerization of chromophores and NCs. Cytoviva hyperspectral imaging system (HSI) equipped with CytovivaTM high-resolution darkfield condenser (oil immersion) was used to collect Dark-field scatting images. The optimized energy-minimized structures of NCs were accomplished by density functional theory (DFT) using a grid-based projector augmented waves (GPAW) software package.

5



Figure S1. (a)  $^{1}$ H and (b)  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of M1.



Figure S2. FT-IR spectrum of M1.



Figure S3. LC-MS spectrum of M1.



Figure S4. (a)  $^{1}$ H and (b)  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of M2.



Figure S5. FT-IR spectrum of M2.



Figure S6. LC-MS spectrum of M2.



Figure S7. (a)  $^{1}$ H and (b)  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of M3.



Figure S8. FT-IR spectrum of M3.



Figure S9. LC-MS spectrum of M3.



Figure S10. (a)  $^{1}$ H and (b)  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of M4.



Figure S11. FT-IR spectrum of M4.



Figure S12. LC-MS spectrum of M4.



Figure S13. (a)  $^{1}$ H and (b)  $^{13}$ C NMR spectra of M5.



Figure S14. FT-IR spectrum of M5.



Figure S15. LC-MS spectrum of M5.



Figure S16. The temporal absorption spectra of (a)  $C_3$ -AMT (M3) and (b)  $C_9$ -AMT (M5) illuminated under 435 nm light.



Figure S17. Energy-minimized structures of two geometrical isomers of C<sub>9</sub>-AMT (M5).



**Figure S18.** XPS spectra of (a) C 1s, (b) N 1s, (c) O 1s, and (d) S 2p levels of PET-NC (blue), C<sub>3</sub>-NC (green), and C<sub>9</sub>-NC (pink).



Figure S19. (a) HRTEM micrograph of C<sub>9</sub>-NC and (b) corresponding size distribution.



Figure S20. Temporal absorption spectra of (a) C<sub>3</sub>-NC and (b) C<sub>9</sub>-NC illuminated under 435 nm light.



**Figure S21.** (a and b) Large area STEM images captured from (a)  $C_3$ -NC and (b)  $C_9$ -NC assembly. (c and d) A single STEM image from (c)  $C_3$ -NC and (d)  $C_9$ -NC assembly. The elemental maps of a single superstructure derived from (e and g)  $C_3$ -NC and (f and h)  $C_9$ -NC demonstrate the presence of (e and f) gold and (g and h) sulfur.



**Figure S22.** (a and f) STEM, (b-d and g-i) elemental maps (b and g-gold; c and h-sulfur; d and initrogen), and (e and j) EDS spectra recorded from (e)  $C_3$ -NC and (j)  $C_9$ -NC assemblies.



Figure S23. (a and b) Large area AFM images of (a) C<sub>3</sub>-NC and (b) C<sub>9</sub>-NC assemblies.



**Figure S24.** (a and d) AFM images of single superstructure derived from (a)  $C_3$ -NC and (d)  $C_9$ -NC. Corresponding (b and e) height profiles and (c and f) 3D images.



Figure S25. TEM images of (a-c) C<sub>3</sub>- and (d-f) C<sub>9</sub>- NC assembly at different tilt angles.



**Figure S26.** (a-c) TEM micrographs of (a) monomer, (b) dimer, and (c) trimer derived from C<sub>9</sub>-NCs in early stages.



**Figure S27.** (a-c) TEM micrographs of (a) monomer, (b) dimer, and (c) trimer derived from C<sub>9</sub>-NCs.



Figure S28. (a-c) TEM micrographs of (a) monomer, (b) dimer, and (c) trimer derived from C<sub>3</sub>-NCs.



**Figure S29.** (a and b) TEM micrographs of (a)  $C_3$ -NC and (b)  $C_9$ -NC assmembly recorded after one year.



**Figure S30.** The mechanism for the formation of disc-like assembly. The temporal TEM microgrpahs of  $C_9$ -NC solution under 345 nm illumination for (a) 20 min, (b) 40 min, and (c) 60 min.

## References

- 1. J. V. Rival, Nonappa, E. S. Shibu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 14569-14577.
- 2. M. Zhu, E. Lanni, N. Garg, M. E. Bier, R. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 1138-1139.
- 3. C. Liu, G. Li, G. Pang, R. Jin, *RSC Advances*, 2013, **3**, 9778-9784.
- 4. M. Hesari, Z. Ding, M. S. Workentin, Organometallics, 2014, 144, 6-10.