Supplementary information

Platelet backpacking nanoparticles based on bacterial outer membrane vesicles enhanced photothermalimmune anti-tumor therapy

Jiao He^a, Jiayu Li^a, Min Li^a, Zhidi He^a, Yunxia Ye^a, Jiaxin Li^a, Jingdong Rao^b, Xin Zhao^b, Man Li^a*, Qin He^a*

a Key Laboratory of Drug-Targeting and Drug Delivery System of the Education Ministry and Sichuan Province, Sichuan Engineering Laboratory for Plant-Sourced Drug and Sichuan Research Center for Drug Precision Industrial Technology, Sichuan University, West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610041, China.

b Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, the Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China.

*Corresponding author

Tel: +86-28-85502532 Fax: +86-28-85502532

Postal code: 610041 Email: manli@scu.edu.cn; qinhe@scu.edu.cn

	Size (nm)	Zeta Potential (mV)	Particles concentration (×10 ⁸ / μg protein)
OMVs	150.2 ± 0.97	-27.38 ± 0.83	3.614 ± 0.2
IR780-SLN	61.60 ± 1.2	6.96 ± 1.8	—
IR780-SLN@O-P	82.46 ± 0.5	-28.57 ± 0.76	_

Supplementary tables and figures:

Table S1 Particle size and zeta potential of OMVs and prepared nanoparticles. (n = 3, mean \pm SD)

	EE (%)	
IR780-SLN	87.59% ± 3.0%	
IR780-SLN@O-P	89.52% ± 0.19%	

Table S2 Encapsulation efficiency (EE) of IR780. (n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S1 ¹H NMR spectrum **(A.)** the reactant DSPE-PEG₂₀₀₀-Mal, **(B.)** the product PSN-DP. (Red circles indicate characteristic peak locations)



Fig S2 Changes of CD62P expression in platelets before and after activation. (n = 3, mean \pm SD, **p < 0.01)



Fig S3 Blood compatibility test of SLN@O-P nanoparticles. (incubated with 2% red blood cell suspension, n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S4 Flow cytometry data of SLN@O and SLN@O-P nanoparticles uptaken by 4T1 cells after pre-incubation with activated platelets. (Nanoparticles labeled with fluorescent dye DiD) (n = 3, mean \pm SD, *p < 0.05)



Fig S5 Images of major organs and tumors *ex vivo* and semi-quantitative statistics of fluorescence intensity after 24 hours. (n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S6 Cytotoxicity of free IR780 to 4T1 cells. (+L means laser irradiation, -L means without. n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S7 Cytotoxicity of nanoparticles (IR780-SLN@O-P and SLN@O-P) to 4T1 cells. (+L means laser irradiation, -L means without. n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S8 (A.) CLSM images of 4T1 cell activity affected by IR780-SLN@O-P NPs with or without laser irradiation. (Green fluorescence of calcein-AM labeled living cells and red fluorescence of Propidium Iodide labeled dead cells. Scale bar: $100 \mu m$) (B.) Semi-quantitative statistics of fluorescence intensity of live cells (green) compared with PBS group.



Fig S9 Flow cytometry images of apoptosis after different nanoparticle treatment and laser irradiation.



Fig S10 CLSM images of HMGB1 released from 4T1 cells after different nanoparticle treatments and laser irradiation. (Red fluorescence AF647 labeled HMGB1. Scale bar: $20 \ \mu m$)



Fig S11 ATP secretion in 4T1 cells after different nanoparticle treatments and laser irradiation. (n = 3, mean \pm SD, ***p < 0.001)



Fig S12 Flow cytometry procedure and gating strategy for the detection of mature DCs in draining lymph nodes.



Fig S13 Cytotoxicity of IR780-SLN@O-P nanoparticles to platelets. (n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S14 HE stained section images of major organs from 4T1-bearing orthotopic tumor mice after treatment. (scale bar: 200 μ m)



Fig S15 Routine blood test of healthy mice after injection. (n = 3, mean \pm SD)



Fig S16 Serum biochemical indices of healthy mice after injection. (n = 3, mean \pm SD)