Supplementary Information (SI) for Nanoscale. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

Supplementary Information Unsupervised learning elucidates the interplay between conformational flexibility and aggregation in synergistic antimicrobial peptides

Miruna Serian¹, A. James Mason², Christian D. Lorenz^{1,3*}

 ¹Biological Physics & Soft Matter Group, Department of Physics, King's College London, London, WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom.
 ²Institute of Pharmaceutical Science, School of Cancer & Pharmaceutical Science, King's College London, London SE1 9NH, United Kingdom.
 ³Department of Engineering, King's College London, London, WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): chris.lorenz@kcl.ac.uk;



Fig. S1: Example of UMAP and HDBSCAN workflow for WF2 peptides. A similar workflow was applied for WF1a peptides. 2



Fig. S2: Conformational clusters. UMAP projections in 2 dimensions for WF2 (a) and WF1a (d). The cluster distribution as percentages for WF2 - Pure systems (b), WF2 - Combination Systems (c) and WF1a - Pure Systems (e), WF1a - Combination Systems (f).



Fig. S3: Mean number of hydrogen bonds made with the membrane lipids per type of peptide and system during the last 100 ns of the simulations (a). Area per lipid (APL) distribution for each system during the last 50 ns of the simulations (b). Mean hydrogen bonds with membrane lipids per each residue and conformation (c).

Mixed systems



Fig. S4: Cluster-Cluster interaction probabilities in the mixed systems. Two residues are considered to be interacting if the distance between them is $\leq 6 \mathring{A}$.

Pure systems



Fig. S5: Cluster-cluster interaction probabilities in the pure systems, grouped by the types of peptides involved. Two residues are considered to be interacting if the distance between them is $\leq 6 \mathring{A}$.



Fig. S6: The membrane curvature of the upper leaflet at 1 microsecond for each system and simulation. Negative values indicate a positive curvature while positive values indicate a negative curvature. The curvature data was generated using the LipidDyn Package [1].



Fig. S7: Peptide aggregation probabilities for the peptides simulated in bulk water only. (a) The aggregation probability was calculated across all both types of systems and include both simulation runs. The values were normalised by the number of peptides of the same type in the system. The resulting values indicate the likelihood of a specific peptide type being present within an aggregate at any given time. (b) The distribution of aggregate order probabilities is depicted for each peptide type and system, illustrating the likelihood of being in an aggregate of a specific size.



Fig. S8: Time evolution of the largest oligomer size in WF1a Pure (a), WF2 Pure (b) and Mixed (c) systems.



Fig. S9: The percentage of peptides in contact with the membrane for WF1a peptides (a) and WF2 peptides (b). A peptide is in contact with the membrane if the distance to the closest phosphorous atom in the membrane lipid head groups is ≤ 6 Å.



Fig. S10: Conformational clusters identified for the peptides simulated in water-only. The two-dimensional projection of the UMAP embedding for WF2 peptides is shown in (a), and for WF1a peptides in (b). The hyperparameters used form UMAP and HDBSCAN can be found in Table SI S1. The secondary structure assignment of the structures representative for each conformational cluster for WF2 peptides is shown in (c), and WF1a peptides in (d). Representative structures for the most common conformational clusters seen in WF2 peptides are displayed in (e), and WF1a peptides in (f).

Step	Hyperparameters	WF1a	WF2
UMAP	$n_neighbours$	65.0	35.0
	$n_components$	2.0	2.0
	min_dist	0.0	0.0
	$random_state$	40.0	40.0
	n_jobs	1.0	1.0
HDBSCAN	$min_cluster_size$	40.0	40.0
	$cluster_selection_epsilon$	1.0	1.0
	$cluster_selection_method$	leaf	leaf

$cluster_selection_method$	leaf	leaf
Table S1: Hyperparameters used for UM	AP and	d HDB-
SCAN for peptide-water systems		

	WF1a			
$\mathbf{Cluster}$	Combii	nation	Pure	
	Mean	\mathbf{SD}	Mean	SD
1			1.69	0.65
2	1.77	0.66		
5	1.79	0.60	1.0	0.0
6	1.33	0.47	1.81	0.70
7	1.53	0.53	1.99	0.74
8			1.14	0.35
)			2.04	0.94
10			1.46	0.50
12	2.13	0.54	1.32	0.49
	(a) WF	1a pept	ides	
	WF2			

	WF2			
Cluster	Combin	nation	Pure	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
1	1.51	0.69		
4			1.00	0.00
6	1.82	0.38		
7			1.9	0.3
11			1.93	0.59
12			2.67	0.49
14	3.17	0.63	2.87	0.50
15			1.94	0.37
16			1.11	0.31
17			1.00	0.0
18			1.16	0.47
19			1.23	0.42
20	1.36	0.48		

(b) WF2 peptides

Table S2: Cluster centrality values for WF1a (a) and WF2 peptides (b). The values were computed using the degree_centrality() function of NetworkX library [2]

$Cluster_pep1$	$Cluster_pep2$	Probability $\%$	System	pep1	pep2
12	14	5.12	WF1a_WF2	WF1a	WF2
11	5	3.27	WF1a_WF2	WF1a	WF2
12	5	2.19	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF2
8	14	1.67	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF2
2	12	8.04	WF1a_WF2	WF1a	WF1a
7	7	7.00	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF1a
7	10	4.38	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF1a
12	12	2.30	WF1a_WF2	WF1a	WF1a
12	14	5.12	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF2
11	5	3.27	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF2
12	5	2.19	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF2
8	14	1.67	$WF1a_WF2$	WF1a	WF2
10	4	9.55	WF1a_only	WF1a	WF1a
9	7	7.88	WF1a_only	WF1a	WF1a
7	5	5.50	WF1a_only	WF1a	WF1a
1	7	4.68	WF1a_only	WF1a	WF1a
6	14	4.04	WF1a_WF2	WF2	WF2
6	1	1.87	$WF1a_WF2$	WF2	WF2
20	14	1.20	$WF1a_WF2$	WF2	WF2
20	1	0.03	$WF1a_WF2$	WF2	WF2
15	4	5.84	WF2_only	WF2	WF2
15	18	3.59	WF2_only	WF2	WF2
14	19	3.03	WF2_only	WF2	WF2
14	7	2.82	WF2_only	WF2	WF2

Table S3: Cluster-cluster interactions. Only the top 5 interactionsbased on probability values for each type of interaction is displayed

	Peptide2	WF1a		
Peptide1	Cluster1	4	5	7
				17LEU:18ASP (11.8%) -vdW
				13GLY:18ASP (11.6%) - vdW
WF1a	1			17LEU:19HIS (9.5%) vdW
				2LEU:17LEU (8.2%) - HI
				12ILE:18ASP (8.1%) - vdW
		11ILE:14GLY (9.8%) -HI		
		10LYS:14GLY (9.5%) - vdW		
	10	11ILE:15ALA (8.7%) - HI		
		11ILE:13GLY (5.6%) - HI		
		11ILE:5ILE (4.9%) - HI		
			4ARG:12ILE (18.2%) - vdW	
			1TRP:12ILE (18.2%) -HI	
	7		2LEU:13GLY (18.2%) - HI	
			1TRP:13GLY (9.1%) HI	
			4ARG:11ILE (9.1%) -vdW	
				15ALA:11ILE (11.6%) - HI
	_			14GLY:10LYS (11.1%) - vdW
	9			14GLY:11ILE (10.2%) - HI
				16ALA:12ILE (5.6%) - HI
				10LYS:8GLY (5.3%)-vdW

 Table S4: Top residue-residue contacts of type WF1a:WF1a in the pure WF1a systems

	Peptide2	WF1a		
Peptide1	Cluster	10	12	7
			18ASP:13GLY (8.7%) - vdW	
			17LEU:13GLY (6.5%)- HI	
WF1a	12		17LEU:12ILE (5.8%) -HI	
			19HIS:13GLY (5.8%) - vdW	
			18ASP:14GLY (5.8%)- vdW	
			15ALA:14GLY (9.6%)- HI	
			14GLY:16ALA (8.9%)- HI	
	2		14GLY:15ALA (8.2%) - HI	
			16ALA:13GLY (6.6%)- HI	
			16ALA:12ILE (6.0%) - HI	
		11ILE:3ARG (9.9%) - vdW		16ALA:11ILE (14.3%) - HI
		15ALA:9VAL (9.1%) - HI		16ALA:8GLY (14.3%)- HI
	7	11ILE:1TRP (8.3%) - HI		15ALA:9VAL - HI (14.3%)
		14GLY:9VAL (7.2%) - HI		15ALA:17LEU (14.3%) - HI
		16ALA:8GLY (4.4%)- HI		13GLY:9VAL (14.3%)- HI

 Table S5: Top residue-residue contacts of type WF1a:WF1a in the mixed WF1a systems

	Peptide2	WF2	
Peptide1	Cluster1	1	14
WF2	20	6PHE:4SER (14.3%)- vdW 4SER:22THR (14.3%) - HB 7LYS:3GLY (14.3%) - vdW 4SER:19ALA (14.3%) -vDW 6PHE:19ALA - HI (14.3%)	24TYR:22THR (24.2%) -HB 25LEU:18LYS (16.2%) -vdW 25LEU:19ALA (15.2%) - HI 24TYR:24TYR (14.9%) - π - π 20ALA:23HIS (4.6%) - vdW
	6	2TRP:18LYS (37.6%) -vdW 6PHE:19ALA (22.3%) -HI 7LYS:4SER (9.0%) - electrostatic 4SER:18LYS (6.0%) - electrostatic 7LYS:3GLY (4.9%) -vdW	23HIS:5PHE (18.5%) - π - π 23HIS:3GLY (14.5%) -vdW 22THR:4SER (11.6%) - HB 23HIS:4SER (11.5%) - HB 25LEU:1GLY (8.1%) -vdW

Table S6:	Top	residue-	residue	contacts of	of type	WF2:WI	72 in	the mixed	WF2	systems
					-/ -					-/

	Peptide2	WF2			
Peptide1	Cluster1	18	19	4	
WF2	14		9ALA:17GLY (30.5%) - HI 5PHE:21LEU (29.3%) -HI 3GLY:23HIS (19.1%) -vdW 5PHE:23HIS (8.1%) - π - π 9ALA:20ALA (7.0%) - HI		18LYS:22THR (20.5%) - HB 19ALA:22THR (18.1%) - vdW 16VAL:22THR (16.9%) - vdW 7LYS:4SER (16.5%) - electrostatic 17GLY:22THR (5.7%) -vdW
	15	21LEU:22THR (17.9%) -vdW 20ALA:22THR (17.3%) - vdW 21LEU:23HIS (16.3%) - vdW 20ALA:24TYR (16.1%) - vdW 19ALA:22THR (6.5%)-vdW		20ALA:22THR (17.1%) - vdW 21LEU:22THR (17.1%) - vdW 20ALA:24TYR (16.7%) - vdW 21LEU:23HIS (14.4%) - vdW 19ALA:22THR (8.5%) - vdW	
		Table S7: Top residue-	esidue contacts of type WI	F2:WF2 in the mixed WF2 s	ystems

References

- Scrima, S., Tiberti, M., Campo, A., Corcelle-Termeau, E., Judith, D., Foged, M.M., Clemmensen, K.K.B., Tooze, S.A., Jäättelä, M., Maeda, K., Lambrughi, M., Papaleo, E.: Unraveling membrane properties at the organelle-level with lipiddyn. Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal 20, 3604–3614 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2022.06.054
- [2] Hagberg, A.A., Schult, D.A., Swart, P.J.: Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using networkx. In: Varoquaux, G., Vaught, T., Millman, J. (eds.) Proceedings of the 7th Python in Science Conference (SciPy2008), Pasadena, CA, USA, pp. 11–15 (2008)