## **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

## Size, Shape, Facet and Support Dependent Selectivity of Cu nanoparticles in CO<sub>2</sub> reduction through multiparameter optimization

Anjana Tripathi<sup>a</sup>, Ranjit Thapa<sup>a,b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, SRM University AP, Amaravati 522 240 Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>a,b</sup>Centre for Computational and Integrative Sciences, SRM University – AP, Amaravati 522

240, Andhra Pradesh, India

\*Corresponding Author: <u>ranjit.phy@gmail.com</u>

S.No	Surface	G <sub>*соон</sub>	G <sub>*C0</sub>	G <sub>*CHO</sub>	G(C1) (G∗ <sub>СНО</sub> - G∗ <sub>СО</sub> )	G(C2) (G <sub>*2C0</sub> )- (G <sub>*COCOH</sub> )	Favorable pathway
1	Cu111	0.38	-0.04	0.63	0.67	0.73	C1
2	Cu100	0.35	-0.05	0.60	0.65	0.49	C2
3	Cu13	0.09	-0.39	0.29	0.68	0.74	C1
4	Cu38(100)	0.22	0.45	0.51	0.06	0.77	C1
5	Cu38(111)	0.50	0.01	0.63	0.62	0.51	C2
6	Cu55(100)	0.22	-0.09	0.44	0.53	0.42	C2
7	Cu55(111)	0.15	-0.02	0.39	0.41	0.68	C1
8	Cu79(100)	-0.32	-0.54	0.17	0.71	0.55	C2
9	Cu79(111)	0.01	-0.38	0.31	0.69	0.59	C2
10	Cu140(100)	-0.40	-0.75	-0.10	0.65	0.66	C1
11	Cu140(111)	-0.11	-0.37	0.13	0.5	0.69	C1
12	Cu147(100)	-0.16	0.15	0.11	-0.04	0.51	C1
13	Cu147(111)	-0.37	0.13	0.66	0.53	0.62	C1
14	Cu13(Ico)	-0.83	-0.68	-0.56	0.12	1.2	C1
15	Cu55(Ico)	0.38	-0.04	0.58	0.62	0.86	C1
16	Cu147(Ico)	0.31	0.05	0.56	0.51	0.83	C1
17	Cu <sub>38</sub> /3BGr	0.43	0.25	0.78	0.53	0.64	C1
18	Cu <sub>79</sub> /BGr	0.33	-0.01	0.55	0.56	0.97	C1
19	Cu <sub>38</sub> /DVG	0.48	0.35	0.50	0.15	0.22	C1
20	Cu <sub>79</sub> /DVG	0.33	-0.03	0.23	0.26	1.08	C1
21	Cu <sub>55</sub> /DVG	0.33	-0.07	0.64	0.71	0.90	C1
22	Cu <sub>79</sub> /BDVG	0.47	0.01	0.32	0.31	1.10	C1
23	Cu <sub>147</sub> /BDVG	0.33	0.11	0.66	0.55	0.71	C1
24	Cu <sub>55</sub> /NDVG	0.28	-0.07	0.53	0.60	0.62	C1
25	Cu <sub>55</sub> /2NDVG	-3.43	-0.08	-3.05	-2.97	-2.72	C2
26	Cu <sub>147</sub> /2NDVG	0.26	0.11	0.63	0.52	0.66	C1
27	Cu38/2SO <sub>2</sub>	0.46	0.40	0.78	0.38	0.18	C2
28	Cu55/2SO <sub>2</sub>	-3.76	-0.14	0.46	0.60	0.71	C1
29	Cu79/2SO <sub>2</sub>	0.44	0.11	0.65	0.54	0.49	C2
30	Cu140/2SO <sub>2</sub>	0.53	0.25	0.75	0.50	0.58	C1

**Table S1.** Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G_{*COOH}$ ,  $\Delta G_{*CO}$ ,  $\Delta G_{*CHO}$ ) of first four PCET steps in reaction pathway of CO<sub>2</sub>RR along with the barrier for C1 and C2 pathway on the systems considered in this work. All units are in eV.

Metal	*СООН	*CO	*2CO	*СОСОН	*CHO
Cu(111)					
Cu <sub>13</sub>					
Cu <sub>38</sub>					
Cu <sub>55</sub>					
Cu <sub>79</sub>					
Cu <sub>140</sub>					
Cu <sub>147</sub>					

**Fig. S1** Optimized geometries of key intermediates adsorbed on the Cu (111) plane and Cun (n = 13, 38, 55, 79, 140 and 147) clusters and the extended surfaces.



**Fig. S2** Optimized geometries of key intermediates adsorbed on the Cu (100) plane and Cun (n = 13, 38, 55, 79, 140 and 147) clusters and the extended surfaces.

Metal	*H@(100)	*H@(111)	*H@(100) Top site	*H@(100) Top site
Cu-plane				
Cu <sub>13</sub>				
Cu <sub>38</sub>				
Cu <sub>55</sub>				
Cu <sub>79</sub>				
Cu <sub>140</sub>				
Cu <sub>147</sub>				

**Fig. S3** Optimized geometries of hydrogen atom adsorption on the bridge site and atop site of 100 and 111 plane of Copper nanoparticles.



**Fig. S4** Free energy diagram of Hydrogen evolution reaction on bridge site of nanoparticle having different size and shape (see Figure S3 for sites and model structure). Units are in eV.



**Fig. S5** Optimized structures of Copper nanoparticle (in blue color) anchored on host surface (in black color).



**Fig. S6** Optimized structures of Copper nanoparticle (in blue color) anchored on host surface (in black color) edge doped 2SO<sub>2</sub> graphene nanoribbon.



**Fig. S7** Free energy profiles ( $\Delta G$ ) of reaction pathway of CO<sub>2</sub>RR with the first four PCET steps and C-C coupling process (a). On 111 facet of Cu<sub>38</sub> and Cu<sub>79</sub> supported on heteroatom doped graphene (b). On 111 facet of Cu<sub>55</sub> and Cu<sub>147</sub> supported on heteroatom doped graphene.



**Fig. S8** Free energy profiles ( $\Delta G$ ) of reaction pathway of CO<sub>2</sub>RR to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> with \*2CO-\*COCOH formation pathway on 111 facet of three selected nanoparticles (Cu<sub>38</sub>, Cu<sub>79</sub>, Cu<sub>140</sub>) and extended surface (2SO<sub>2</sub> doped graphene nanoribbon) (Cu<sub>38</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub>, Cu<sub>79</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub>).



Fig. S9 Free energy profiles ( $\Delta G$ ) of reaction pathway of CO<sub>2</sub>RR to CH<sub>3</sub>OH with \*CO-\*CHO formation pathway on 111 facet of three selected nanoparticles (Cu<sub>38</sub>, Cu<sub>79</sub>, Cu<sub>140</sub>). An illustrative example of Cu<sub>79</sub> of intermediate structures is shown in the inset.



**Fig. S10** Free energy profiles ( $\Delta$ G) of reaction pathway of CO<sub>2</sub>RR to C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH with \*2CO-\*COCOH formation pathway on 111 facet of Icosahedral nanoparticles (Cu<sub>13</sub>, Cu<sub>55</sub>, Cu<sub>147</sub>). An illustrative example of Cu<sub>55</sub> of intermediate structures is shown in the inset.



**Fig. S11** Scaling relations on the (111) surface of Cu nanoparticles between the binding energies of the intermediates versus the binding energies of the descriptors \*CO.



Fig. S12 CO coverage map for Cu nanoparticles obtained using microkinetic model based on scaling relations.



**Fig. S13** Activity volcano plot for Cu nanoparticles plotted using the binding energy of \*CO and \*COOH intermediate as the activity descriptors.