## Nitrogen Doped Nanocarbon as Metal-Free Catalyst for CO<sub>2</sub>

## **Hydroboration**

Tao Du, <sup>a,b,c</sup> Peng Zhang, <sup>b\*</sup> Guofeng Wang, <sup>b</sup> Zhen Jiao, <sup>a</sup> Jiancheng Zhou, <sup>a</sup> and Yuxiao Ding <sup>b\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, 2 Dongnandaxue Rd, Nanjing 211189, Jiangsu, Peoples R China

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Catalysis and Carbon Dioxide Utilization, Lanzhou Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, Gansu, Peoples R China

<sup>c</sup> Joint Research Inistitute Southeast University & Monash University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, Peoples R China.

\* Correspondence author: <u>zhangpengz@licp.cas.cn</u>; <u>yuxiaoding@licp.cas.cn</u>



Fig. S1 The XRD spectra of OLC1500 and NOLC400. Collected in X-ray diffractometer (SmartLab 3KW, Rigaku Corporation)



Fig. S2 The XPS survey of OLC1500 and NOLCx catalysts

Sample	C/%	O/%	N/%
OLC	98.3	1.7	-
NOLC400	86.3	3.0	10.7
NOLC600	95.2	2.2	2.6
NOLC800	96.5	2.5	0.9

Table S1 Elemental composition of samples from XPS analysis

Sample	Surface area m <sup>2</sup> /g	Pore Volume cm <sup>3</sup> /g	Mean Pore Size nm
OLC	435.7	1.48	13.6
NOLC400	230.7	0.91	15.4
NOLC600	307.8	1.01	14.9
NOLC800	369.1	1.18	12.8

Table S2 The surface area and porosity of samples

## The gas product composition analyzed by GC

The gaseous products from the CO<sub>2</sub> hydroboration catalyzed by NOLC400 are analyzed using the gas chromatography (GC9720 plus, Fuli) equipped with two FID detectors and one TCD detector (reaction condition: 10 mg NOLC400, 2 mmol HBpin, 100 °C, 4 MPa CO<sub>2</sub>, 2 h). The high-concentration gas products are analyzed using the TCD detector. Low-concentration CO would be transformed into CH<sub>4</sub> and then analyzed using the FID **1** detector. The gaseous hydrocarbons are analyzed by FID **2** detector, such as CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and so on. According to the TCD signal, a small amount of H<sub>2</sub> is produced (3200ppm) from the decomposition of borane apart from the reactant CO<sub>2</sub>. The small amount of O<sub>2</sub> (1600ppm) and N<sub>2</sub> (11333ppm) are from the leakage of air during sampling. From the FID **1** signal, only negligible amounts of CO and CH<sub>4</sub> are found to be produced during CO<sub>2</sub> hydroboration. Meanwhile, no other gaseous hydrocarbons were generated.



Fig. S3 TCD signal of the gas product



Fig. S4 FID 1 signal of the gas product



Fig. S5 FID 2 signal of the gas product



Fig. S6 The recycling performance of NOLC400 catalyst (the catalytic performance in the recycling experiments is normalized by that of fresh NOLC400 catalyst). Reaction condition: 10 mg catalyst, 2 mmol HBpin, 100 °C, 4 MPa  $CO_2$ , 2 h. After each reaction, the catalyst was separated from the reaction medium through centrifugation and washed with toluene for the next cycle.

Entry	Catalyst	TOF/h <sup>-1</sup>	Catalytic System	Reference
1	Co(acac) <sub>3</sub> -NaHBEt <sub>3</sub>	15	Homogeneous	1
2	PhSi(CH <sub>2</sub> PPh) <sub>3</sub> -Co	2.8	Homogeneous	2
3	NaBH <sub>4</sub>	14.5	Homogeneous	3
4	(tBuPCP)PdH	2.1	Homogeneous	4
5	1-Bcat-2-PPh <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	17	Homogeneous	5
6	TBD, DBU	0.9, 3.2	Homogeneous	6
7	NOLC400	9.5	Heterogeneous	This work

 Table S3 The comparation of catalytic performance with the reported catalysts



**Fig. S7** The structural model of N-doped carbon surface and the aromatic molecules containing specific N species for mimicking the N-doping structure on carbon surface



**Fig. S8** The indication of B-O<sup>1</sup> structure in the dioxaborolane ring of HBpin whose vibration mode would change in the reaction intermediate and the final product

## Reference

1. S. R. Tamang and M. Findlater, *Dalton Trans*, 2018, 47, 8199-8203.

2. A. Aloisi, J. C. Berthet, C. Genre, P. Thuery and T. Cantat, *Dalton Trans*, 2016, 45, 14774-14788.

3. K. Fujiwara, S. Yasuda and T. Mizuta, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 6692-6695.

4. M. R. Espinosa, D. J. Charboneau, A. Garcia de Oliveira and N. Hazari, *Acs Catal*, 2018, 9, 301-314.

5. M. A. Courtemanche, M. A. Legare, L. Maron and F. G. Fontaine, *J Am Chem Soc*, 2013, **135**, 9326-9329.

6. C. Das Neves Gomes, E. Blondiaux, P. Thuery and T. Cantat, *Chem-Eur J*, 2014, **20**, 7098-7106.