### **Electronic Supplementary Information**

# A Redox-Active Binucleating Ligand Combines a PCP Pincer Site with a Bidentate N-N Donor in Opposition

Derek W. Leong<sup>‡</sup>, Yanwu Shao<sup>‡</sup>, Nattamai Bhuvanesh and Oleg V. Ozerov

Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, 3255 TAMU, College Station, TX 77842

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally.

ozerov@chem.tamu.edu

## Table of Contents

General Considerations	3
Synthesis of Compounds	4
NMR Spectra	19
X-ray Diffractometry Details	68
Electrochemical Analysis	74
UV-Vis Data	78
	General Considerations Synthesis of Compounds NMR Spectra X-ray Diffractometry Details Electrochemical Analysis UV-Vis Data

#### I. General Considerations

Unless otherwise specified, all manipulations were performed under an argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk line or glove box techniques. Toluene, THF, diethyl ether, pentane, and isooctane were dried and deoxygenated (by purging) using a solvent purification system and stored over molecular sieves in an Ar-filled glove box. C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> was dried over and distilled from NaK/Ph<sub>2</sub>CO/18-crown-6 and stored over molecular sieves in an Argon-filled glove box. CDCl<sub>3</sub>, dichloromethane, and 1.2-dichlorobenzene were dried with and then distilled from CaH<sub>2</sub> and stored over molecular sieves in Ar-filled glove box. All other chemicals were used as received from commercial vendors. NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance Neo 400 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 399.535 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 100.582 MHz; <sup>31</sup>P NMR, 161.734 MHz), a Bruker Avance Neo 500 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 500.13 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 125.77 MHz; <sup>31</sup>P NMR, 202.45 MHz) and Varian Inova 500 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR, 499.703 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C NMR, 125.77 MHz) spectrometer. For <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, the residual solvent peak was used as an internal reference. (<sup>1</sup>H NMR:  $\delta$  7.16 for C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 7.24 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 5.32 for CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2.50 for (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO; <sup>13</sup>C NMR: δ 128.06 for C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 77.16 for CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 53.84 for CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 39.52 for (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO). <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were referenced externally using 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> at δ 0 ppm. <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra were referenced externally using CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H at -78.5 ppm. <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectra were referenced externally using BF<sub>3</sub>•Et<sub>2</sub>O at 0 ppm. Electrochemical studies were conducted using a CH Instruments Model 700 D Series. Electrochemical Analyzer and Workstation in conjunction with a three electrode cell: the working electrode was a CHI 104 glassy carbon disk with a 3.0 mm diameter, the auxiliary electrode was composed of platinum wire and the reference electrode, was a Ag/AgNO<sub>3</sub> electrode, which was prepared as a bulk solution composed of 0.01 M AgNO<sub>3</sub> and 0.1 M [*n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>N][PF<sub>6</sub>] or 0.1 M [*n*-Bu<sub>4</sub>N][BArF<sub>24</sub>]<sup>1</sup> that was made following a literature procedure in dichloromethane. A fine porosity frit was used for separation from solution. CVs were conducted in dichloromethane with 0.1 M supporting electrolyte and the scan rate is 100 mV/s. The concentration of the analyte solutions was approximately 1 mM. CVs were referenced to ferrocene/ferrocenium redox couple as recommended by IUPAC.<sup>2,3</sup> Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectra were collected on a UV-2450 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan).

#### II. Synthesis of Compounds



**Synthesis of bis(benzimidazolyl)methane (1).** This synthesis was modified from the published procedure.<sup>4</sup> In a 50 mL culture tube, 1,2-diaminobenzene (1.00 g, 9.24 mmol) and malonamide (0.472 g, 4.62

mmol) were dissolved in 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (5 mL). The reaction was stirred for 48 h at 150 °C and the color of the mixture changed to a deep blue. 3M NaOH was added into the mixture to adjust the pH to 7, changing the color to pink. The solution was then filtered through a Büchner funnel and the pink solid was collected on the filter paper. The pink solids were washed with water and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, then dried under vacuum, yielding the product as pink powder (1.13 g, 99% yield). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ((CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  12.49 (s, 2H, NH), 7.53 (dd, *J* = 3.2, 6.0 Hz, 4H, Ar-*H*), 7.17 (dd, *J* = 3.2, 6.0 Hz, 4H, Ph-*H*), 4.52 (s, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR ((CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO, 125 MHz):  $\delta$  150.3 (C=N), 143.2 (Ar), 134.5 (Ar), 121.5 (Ar, 2 overlapping signals), 118.2 (Ar), 111.3 (Ar), 29.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>).



Synthesis of  $(\mathbf{P}^{N}\mathbf{C}^{N}\mathbf{P}^{i\mathbf{P}\mathbf{r}})\mathbf{H}$  (2). In a Schlenk flask, 1 (4.50 g, 18.1 mmol), ClP*i*Pr<sub>2</sub> (6.95 g, 43.5 mmol), and triethylamine (4.61 g, 43.5 mmol) were combined and dissolved in THF. After stirring over night at RT, the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and

the volatiles were removed in vacuo to give a pink solid. The pink solid was then redissolved in THF and layered with pentane in a 1:1 ratio and left in a -35 °C freezer overnight to recrystallize. The precipitate was washed with cold pentane several times and further dried under vacuum to yield a pink solid (8.53 g, 98% yield, >95% pure by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  68.2 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.91 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.37 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.17 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.13 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 5.21 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.48 (m, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 0.89 (dd, *J* = 6.9, 18.4, 12H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.77 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 12 H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125 MHz):  $\delta$  158.0 (dd, *J*<sub>P1-C</sub> = 20.3 Hz, *J*<sub>P2-C</sub> = 2.3 Hz, C=N), 146.0 (s, Ar), 137.9 (d, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 9.8 Hz, Ar), 122.7 (s, Ar), 122.5 (s, Ar), 120.8 (s, Ar), 113.1 (s, Ar), 31.8 (t, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 17.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>), 26.2 (d, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 14.3 Hz, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 20.0 (d, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 10.5 Hz, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>).



Synthesis of ( ${}^{H}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr}$ )PdCl•HCl (3-Pd). In a 50 mL Schlenk tube, 2 (3.79 g, 7.88 mmol), Pd(COD)Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.25 g, 7.88 mmol) were dissolved in a minimum amount of 1,2-dichlorobenzene under argon. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at around 180 °C. The yellow mixture was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and filtered, with the

resultant filtrate layered with pentane in a 1:1 ratio and then placed in a -35 °C freezer. The precipitate formed overnight was washed with cold pentane several times and further dried under vacuum to yield a yellow solid (4.67 g, 90%) that was 97% pure by  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  and  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectroscopy.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 162 MHz):  $\delta$  110.7k (s);  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  13.60 (s, 2H, NH), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.20 (td, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.13-7.08 (4H, Ar-*H*), 3.04 (m, 4H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.58 (dvt, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 12 H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 1.33 (dvt, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 12 H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>).  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  161.5 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 17.5 Hz, C=N), 139.9 (s, Ar), 130.1 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 2.3 Hz, Ar), 124.6 (s, Ar), 122.9 (s, Ar), 113.5 (s, Ar), 110.6 (s, Ar), 70.2 (s, Pd-C), 27.8 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 10.4 Hz, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.2 (s, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 18.2 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 3.5 Hz, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>).



Synthesis of  $({}^{H}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr})PtCl \cdot HCl (3-Pt)$ . In a 50 mL Schlenk tube, 2 (416 mg, 0.866 mmol) and Pt(COD)Cl<sub>2</sub> (324 mg, 0.866 mmol) were dissolved in 30 mL of toluene. The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at 120 °C. The yellow mixture was then filtered through a pad of Celite with the help of additional CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. The volatiles were removed

under vacuum from the filtrate to yield a yellow powder that was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and layered with pentane in a 1:2 ratio and then left in a -35 °C freezer. The yellow precipitate formed overnight was washed with cold pentane several times and further dried under vacuum to yield a yellow solid (490 mg, 76% yield) that was 95% pure by <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  102.6 (s, *J*<sub>Pt-P</sub> = 3077.3 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  13.66 (s, 2H, NH), 7.66 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.20 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.16-7.09 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 3.23 (m, 4H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.57 (m, 12 H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 1.29 (m, 12 H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  161.4 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 15.2 Hz, C=N), 139.8 (Ar), 130.1 (Ar), 124.5 (Ar), 122.8 (Ar), 113.6 (Ar), 110.4 (Ar), 59.4 (t, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 5.1 Hz, Pt-C), 28.3 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 14.2 Hz, *C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.0 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 17.8 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 3.0 Hz, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>).

**Synthesis of** (<sup>H</sup>**P**<sup>N</sup>**C**<sup>N</sup>**P**<sup>*i***P**</sup>**)PdCl** (4-Pd). In a 25 mL Teflon stoppered Schlenk flask with a stir bar, 2 (185 mg, 0.385 mmol), Pd(COD)Cl<sub>2</sub> (99.5 mg 0.350 mmol), and Et<sub>3</sub>N (100  $\mu$ L, 0.70 mmol) were dissolved in ca. 10 mL of toluene. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 120 °C. The resulting orange mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the volatiles were removed in vacuo from the filtrate. The remaining orange solid was redissolved in THF and this solution was layered with pentane in a 1:1 ratio and then placed in a -35 °C freezer overnight. The precipitate appeared to consist of two different solids: a fine orange powder and red crystals. This precipitate was washed with pentane (3 × 5 mL) then dried under vacuum (194 mg, 90% yield). Upon dissolution in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> the **4a-Pd**: **4b-Pd** ratio was 95:5. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>37</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pd: C: 52.18; H: 6.00; N: 9.02. Found: C: 51.92; H: 5.79; N: 8.95.



Data for **4a-Pd**: <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  108.4 (s), <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  10.23 (br s, NH, 1H), 6.94 (m, Ar-H, 4H), 6.82 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, Ar-H, 2H), 6.71 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, Ar-H, 2H), 2.56 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 4H), 1.45 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 12H), 1.04 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH,

12H); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  166.3 (C=N), 147.2 (Ar), 133.3 (Ar), 123.0 (Ar), 120.3 (Ar), 113.5 (Ar), 110.2 (Ar), 75.2 (Pd-C), 27.7 (vt, *J*<sub>C-P</sub> = 11.0 Hz, *C*H(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.9 (CH(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.4 (t, *J*<sub>C-P</sub> = 3.8 Hz, CH(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  108.9 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  Resonances for the arenes of **4a-Pd** could not be seen at the achievable concentration, 68.0 (Pd-C), 27.1 (vt, *J*<sub>C-P</sub> = 11.8 Hz, *C*H(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.3 (s, CH(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 17.4 (s, CH(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). Total concentration of Pd in solution for the CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution was 50 mM and ca. 8 mM for **4a-Pd**.



Data for **4b-Pd**:  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  93.1 (s),  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.93 (br s, Ar-*H*, 2H), Other aryl peaks overlap with **4a-Pd** in solution, 5.74 (s,  $\alpha$ -CH, 1H), 2.73 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 2H), 2.37 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 2H), 1.35 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 12H), 0.90 (m,

 $(CH_3)_2$ CH, overlaps with residual pentane). The achievable concentration of **4b-Pd** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution was not sufficient to observe its resonances in the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  93.1 (s). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  166.2 (vt,  $J_{C-P} = 12.7$  Hz, C=N), 150.9 (Ar), 137.9 (Ar), 123.31 (Ar), 122.9 (Ar), 122.0 (Ar), 111.6 (Ar), 45.9 (Pd-C), 28.2 (vt,  $J_{C-P} = 10.1$  Hz,  $CH(CH_3)_2$ ), 19.1 (m,  $CH(CH_3)_2$  Overlaps with other methyl of the

isopropyl arm), 18.8 (CH( $CH_3$ )<sub>2</sub> Overlaps with other methyl of the isopropyl arm). Total concentration of Pd in solution for the C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> solution is ca. 50 mM and for **4b-Pd** is ca. 3 mM.

**Tautomer equilibrium experiment with 4-Pd. 4-Pd** (61.4 mg, 0.098 mmol) was added to a J. Young tube, dissolved in 625  $\mu$ L of toluene- $d_8$  and analyzed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy (**Fig S1**). The ratio was found to be 91% **4a-Pd** and 9% **4b-Pd**. The volatiles were removed from this solution under vacuum, and the residue was then redissolved in 625  $\mu$ L CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Analysis by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy revealed the ratio of 15% **4a-Pd** to 85% **4b-Pd**. The volatiles were removed from this solution under vacuum, and the residue was then redissolved in 625  $\mu$ L cDCl<sub>3</sub>. Analysis by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy revealed the ratio of 15% **4a-Pd** to 85% **4b-Pd**. The volatiles were removed from this solution under vacuum, and the residue was then redissolved in 625  $\mu$ L toluene- $d_8$  Analysis by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy revealed the ratio returned to favor **4a-Pd** with 90% **4a-Pd** to 10% **4b-Pd**.



111 110 109 108 107 106 105 104 103 102 101 100 99 98 97 96 95 94 93 92 91 90 89 88 87 86 85 84 83 82 81 80 79 δ (ppm)

**Figure S1.** <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectra of the mixture of **4a-Pd** and **4b-Pd** in toluene- $d_8$  and in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Synthesis of  $({}^{H}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr})PtCl$  (4-Pt). In a 25 mL Schlenk flask with a stir bar, 3-Pt (150 mg, 0.201 mmol) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (42 µL, 0.301 mmol) were dissolved in 10 mL of toluene in the dark. The reaction mixture



was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The resulting yellow mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite and the volatiles were removed in vacuo from the filtrate. The resultant yellow solid was redissolved in toluene, layered with pentane in a 1:2 ratio, and then

left in a -35 °C freezer overnight. The resultant yellow solid was washed with pentane and then dried under vacuum (199 mg, 82% yield). Only **4a-Pt** was observed when the product was dissolved in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. When CDCl<sub>3</sub> was used to dissolve **4-Pt**, both **4a-Pt** and **4b-Pt** were observed by NMR spectroscopy in a 53:47 ratio. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>37</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pd: C: 45.67; H: 5.25; N: 7.89. Found: C: 46.28; H: 5.37; N: 7.18. Data for **4a-Pt**: <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 162 MHz): 98.9 (s,  $J_{Pt-P} = 3184.0 \text{ Hz}$ ); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  9.90 (br s, N*H*, 1H), 6.95 (d *J* = 7.9 Hz, Ar-*H*, 4H), 6.83 (m, Ar-*H*, 2H), 6.73 (d *J* = 7.9 Hz, Ar-*H*, 2H), 2.76, (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C*H*, 4H), 1.45 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 12H), 1.03 (m, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH, 12H). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 125 MHz): 165.5 (C=N), 147.3 (Ar), 133.3 (Ar), 122.9 (Ar), 120.3 (Ar), 113.7 (Ar), 110.0 (Ar), 64.0 (Pt-C), 28.3 (t, *J*<sub>C-P</sub> = 14.7 Hz, *C*H(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.7 ((*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH), 17.9 ((*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>CH). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 202 MHz): 100.9 ppm (s, *J*<sub>Pt-P</sub> = 3177.5 Hz, 53%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz): 10.02 (br s, NH, 1H). Data for **4b-Pt:** <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 202 MHz): 90.3 ppm (s, *J*<sub>Pt-P</sub> = 3048.2 Hz, 47%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz): Resonances for the arene peaks overlap with **4a-Pt**.



Synthesis of  $({}^{H}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr})PdI$  (5-Pd). 4-Pd (581 mg, 0.935 mmol) was added to a 50 mL Schlenk flask and dissolved in ca. 20 mL of toluene and Me<sub>3</sub>SiI (200 µL, 1.40 mmol) was then added to the solution. The mixture was allowed to stir for 12 h before the volatiles

were removed in vacuo. The yellow solid was dissolved in THF and recrystallized by layering in a 1:1 fashion with pentane. The solid was washed with pentane and dried under vacuum (554 mg, 82% yield). Data for **5a**: <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 202 MHz): δ 114.6; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 12.06 (br s, N*H*, 1H), 7.95 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, Ar-*H*, 2H), 7.17 (m, Ar-H, 6H overlaps with solvent peak), 3.12 (m, C*H*(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, 4H), 1.57 (dvt, *J* = 10.2 Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.32 (dvt, *J* = 8.2 Hz, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 160.9 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 17.5 Hz, C=N), 139.5 (Ar), 130.0 (t, *J* = 2.4 Hz, Ar), 124.6 (Ar), 123.1 (Ar), 113.6 (Ar), 111.2 (Ar), 68.0 (Pd-C), 28.4 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 11.2 Hz, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.3 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 2.8 Hz CHMe<sub>2</sub>). 19.2 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 202 MHz): δ 118.2 (s).

<sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>, 202 MHz): δ 97.8 (s).



#### Tautomer equilibrium experiment with compound 5-Pd.

**Compound 5-Pd** (78 mg, 0.110 mmol) was added to a J. Young tube, dissolved in 625  $\mu$ L of toluene- $d_8$  and analyzed by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy (**Fig S2. 1**). The only tautomer in solution was determined by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy to be **5a-Pd**. The volatiles were removed from this solution under vacuum, and the residue was then redissolved in 625  $\mu$ L CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Analysis by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy revealed the ratio favored **5b-Pd** as the major tautomer (56%) and **5a-Pd** being the minor tautomer (44%). The volatiles were removed from this solution under vacuum, and the residue in 625  $\mu$ L toluene- $d_8$ . Analysis by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy revealed the composition returned to **5a-Pd** only.



Figure S2. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectra of the mixture of 5a and 5b in CDCl<sub>3</sub> and in toluene- $d_8$ .



Synthesis of  $(^{Li}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr})PdCl+2THF$  (6-Pd). In a 50 mL Schlenk flask, HN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (120 µL, 0.573 mmol) was dissolved in ca. 20 mL of THF, then <sup>*n*</sup>BuLi (200 µL, 0.500 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h. **4-Pd** (194 mg, 0.312 mmol) was added to the mixture and it was stirred for 12 h. The mixture was then filtered through a pad of Celite and the volatiles were removed in vacuo from

the filtrate to yield a brown solid. It was recrystallized by dissolving in minimal THF (ca. 2 mL), layering with 5 mL of pentane, and placing it in a -35 °C freezer overnight to give a brown powder. The supernatant was decanted from the brown powder which was then washed with pentane (3 × 10 mL) and dried. (192 mg, 80% yield)  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  99.1 (s);

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.39 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.16 (br s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.86 (br s, 4H, Ar-*H*), 3.58 (br s, 8H, OC*H*2), 2.74 (m, 4H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.28 (br s, 8H, C*H*<sub>2</sub>C*H*<sub>2</sub>), 1.53 (m, 12H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.18 (m, 12H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  172.2 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 16.1 Hz, C=N), 152.6 (Ar), 133.1 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 2.3 Hz, Ar), 120.1 (Ar), 115.5 (Ar), 111.4 (Ar), 107.4 (Ar), 76.6 (Pd-C), 66.3 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 25.5 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 11.3 Hz, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 23.5 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O), 17.2 (s, CH*M*e<sub>2</sub>), 18.2 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 3.8 Hz, CH*M*e<sub>2</sub>).

**Chloride Test of 6-Pd:** Compound **6-Pd** (14 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in 600  $\mu$ L of C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> in a J. Young tube, and then Me<sub>3</sub>SiI (5  $\mu$ L, 0.035 mmol) was added to it. The solution was allowed to age for 30 min before the reaction mixture was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy, which evinced the formation of one equivalent of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl.



**Figure S3.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) NMR spectrum of the reaction between **6-Pd** and Me<sub>3</sub>SiI after 30 min.



Synthesis of  $(^{\text{Li}}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr})PtCl-2THF$  (6-Pt). In a 50 mL Schlenk flask, HN(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (50 µL, 0.240 mmol) was dissolved in ca. 20 mL

of THF, then <sup>*n*</sup>BuLi (90 µL, 0.225 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to stir for 2 h. **4**-**Pt** (100 mg, 0.141 mmol) was added to the mixture and it was stirred for 12 h in the dark. The mixture was then filtered through a pad of Celite and the volatiles were removed in vacuo to yield a brown solid. The brown solid was redissolved in minimal THF (ca. 2 mL), then layered with 8 mL of pentane, and placed in a -35 °C freezer overnight to give a brown powder. The supernatant was decanted from the brown solid which was then washed with pentane (3 × 10 mL) and dried to give 84 mg (69%). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 162 MHz):  $\delta$  92.9 (s, *J*<sub>P-Pt</sub> = 3214.1 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.22 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.90 (s, 4H, Ar-*H*), 3.57 (br s, 8H, OC*H*<sub>2</sub>), 2.57 (s, 4H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.56 (s, 12 H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 1.26 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>C*H*<sub>2</sub>, 8H), 1.16 (s, 12 H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz): 173.2 (C=N), 154.5 (Ar), 135.2 (Ar), 122.1 (Ar), 117.6 (Ar), 113.4 (Ar), 109.3 (Ar), 68.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 64.9 (Pt-C), 28.2 (t, *J* = 13.8 Hz, *C*H(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 25.4 (OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.0 (CH(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.3 (CH(*C*H<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).

**Chloride Test of 6-Pt:** Compound **6-Pd** (14 mg, 0.018 mmol) was dissolved in 600  $\mu$ L of C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> in a J. Young tube, and then Me<sub>3</sub>SiI (5  $\mu$ L, 0.035 mmol) was added to it. The solution was allowed to age for 30 min before the reaction mixture was analyzed by NMR spectroscopy. By <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis, 1 equivalent of Me<sub>3</sub>SiCl was formed from the 2 equivalents of Me<sub>3</sub>SiI added to the reaction.



**Figure S4.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>) NMR spectrum of the reaction between **6-Pt** and Me<sub>3</sub>SiI after 30 min.



Synthesis of  $({}^{BF_2}P^NC^NP^{iPr})PdCl$  (7-Pd). 6-Pd (192 mg, 0.306 mmol) was added to a 50 mL Schlenk flask and dissolved in ca. 20 mL of toluene, then  $BF_3 \cdot OEt_2$  (52 µL, 0.428 mmol) was added to give a yellow solution. The solution was stirred for 16 h. The solution was then filtered through a pad of Celite. The volatiles were removed from

the filtrate in vacuo to yield a yellow solid. The yellow solid was then recrystallized by dissolving in toluene, layering with pentane in a 1:1 ratio, and placing in a freezer at -35 °C

overnight. The resulting yellow solid was washed with pentane and dried (111 mg, 54%). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz): δ 124.4 (s). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz): δ 7.97 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.97 (td, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.82 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.61 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.35 (m, 4H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.27 (m, 12 H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.86 (m, 12 H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz): δ 166.2 (vt,  $J_{P-C} = 21.6$  Hz, C=N), 141.6 (Ar), 132.4 (Ar), 124.0 (Ar), 122.0 (Ar), 114.1 (Ar), 110.8 (Ar), 64.7 (Pd-C), 27.8 (vt,  $J_{P-C} = 9.6$  Hz, *C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 18.9 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 18.2 (vt,  $J_{P-C} = 3.8$  Hz, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 472 MHz): δ -138.1 (q,  $J_{B-F} = 29.7$  Hz); <sup>11</sup>B NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 128 MHz): δ 3.1 (t,  $J_{F-B} = 29.4$  Hz). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>BClF<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pd: C: 48.46; H, 5.42; N: 8.37. Found: C: 48.73; H: 5.48; N: 8.20.



Synthesis of  $(^{BF_2}P^NC^NP^{iPr})$ PtCl (7-Pt). 6-Pt (100.0 mg, 0.140 mol) and  $BF_3$ •OEt<sub>2</sub> (21.8 mg, 0.154 mmol) were added to a PTFE stoppered Schlenk flask and dissolved in toluene to give a yellow solution. The solution was then heated at 110 °C for 16 h and the flask was brought back into a glovebox. The solution was then filtered

through a pad of Celite, the solvent was removed from the filtrate under vacuum to yield a yellow solid. The solid was then redissolved in THF and layered with pentane (1:2) and placed in a -35 °C freezer overnight to give a yellow powder. The supernatant was decanted and then yellow powder was then washed with pentane (3 × 10 mL) and dried under vacuum (70.2 mg, 42%). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 162 MHz):  $\delta$  115.3 (s, *J*<sub>P-Pt</sub> = 3267.5 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.95 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.97 (td, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.83 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.63 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.53 (m, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.26 (m, 12 H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.84 (m, 12 H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); ); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  166.6 (C=N), 142.7 (Ar), 133.0 (Ar), 124.2 (Ar), 121.7 (Ar), 115.0 (Ar), 110.8 (Ar), 55.3 (Pt-C), 28.5 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 13.4 Hz, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 18.2 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 17.5 (vt, *J* = 3.6 Hz, CHMe<sub>2</sub>). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 472 MHz):  $\delta$  -138.2 (q, *J*<sub>B-F</sub> = 29.4 Hz); <sup>11</sup>B NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 128 MHz):  $\delta$  3.4 (t, *J*<sub>F-B</sub> = 29.6 Hz).



**Synthesis of Zn[(P<sup>N</sup>C<sup>N</sup>P<sup>***i***Pr</sup>)PdCl]<sub>2</sub> (8-Pd). 6-Pd (100.0 mg, 0.1596 mmol) and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (11 mg, 0.080 mmol) were added to a Schlenk flask and dissolved in toluene to give an initial brown solution. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor the reaction conversion. After stirring for 12 h the solution turned** 

orange. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite and the volatiles were removed from

the filtrate under vacuum to yield an orange solid. It was recrystallized by dissolving the solid in THF, layering with pentane in a 1:1 ratio, and left in a freezer at -35 °C overnight. Orange precipitate formed overnight. The supernatant was decanted from the resultant solid which was then washed with pentane ( $3 \times 5$  mL) and dried under vacuum to yield an orange solid (91 mg, 43% yield). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  106.2 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.10 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 6.73 (m, 12H, Ar-*H*), 2.61 (m, 8H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.51 (m, 24H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 1.10 (m, 24H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  171.9 (C=N), 150.2 (Ar), 133.5 (Ar), 123.3 (Ar), 119.7 (Ar), 113.9 (Ar), 110.0 (Ar), 74.3 (s, Pd-C), 27.6 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 10.8 Hz, *C*HMe<sub>2</sub>), 19.0 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 18.4 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 3.7 Hz, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>72</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>8</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Pd<sub>2</sub>Zn: C: 49.65; H: 5.56; N: 8.58; Found: C: 49.41; H: 5.29; N: 8.12



Synthesis of Zn[(P<sup>N</sup>C<sup>N</sup>P<sup>*i*Pr</sup>)PtCl]<sub>2</sub> (8-Pt). 6-Pt (107.4 mg, 0.149 mmol) and ZnCl<sub>2</sub> (10.1 mg, 0.070 mmol) were added to a Schlenk flask and dissolved in toluene in the dark to give an initial brown solution. <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy was used to monitor the reaction conversion. After stirring for 12 h the

solution turned yellow. The solution was filtered through a pad of Celite and the volatiles were removed to yield a yellow solid. The solid was recrystallized by dissolving the solid in THF, layering with pentane in a 1:1 ratio, and left in a freezer at -35 °C overnight. Yellow precipitate formed overnight. The supernatant was decanted from the resultant solid which was then washed with pentane ( $3 \times 5$  mL) and dried under vacuum to yield a yellow solid (88.4 mg, 40%). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  99.0 (s, *J*<sub>P-Pt</sub> = 3181.5 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  7.08 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 6.74 (m, 12H, Ar-*H*), 2.79 (m, 8H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.50 (m, 24H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 1.08 (m, 24H, CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  171.1 (t, *J* = 13.7 Hz), 150.3 (Ar), 133.6 (Ar), 123.27 (Ar), 119.7 (Ar), 114.1 (Ar), 109.9 (Ar), 62.4 (Pt-C), 28.4 (vt, *J* = 13.5 Hz, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 18.8 (CH*Me*<sub>2</sub>), 18.0 (vt, *J* = 2.8 Hz). C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>72</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>8</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Pt<sub>2</sub>Zn: C: 43.72; H: 4.89; N: 7.55; Found: C: 43.75; H: 5.07; N: 6.73



Synthesis of ( $^{TaCl_4}P^{N}C^{N}P^{iPr}$ )PdCl (9-Pd). 6-Pd (20.0 mg, 0.0319 mmol) and TaCl<sub>5</sub> (12.3 mg, 0.0319 mmol) were added to a J. Young tube and dissolved in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. After heating at 80 °C overnight, the solution became purple blue and <sup>31</sup>P NMR analysis confirmed the

completion of the reaction. The solution was filtered and the volatiles were removed from the filtrate under vacuum. The solid was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and layered with pentane in 1:1 ration and left in a -35 °C freezer. Purple precipitate formed overnight. The supernatant was removed and the solid was washed with pentane several times and then dried. (16.0 mg, 53%). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  114.4 (s); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  8.42 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.11 (td, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.74 (td, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.67 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, Ar-*H*), 2.46 (bs, 4H, CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 1.33 (m, 12 H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.78 (m, 12 H, CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  164.7 (vt, *J*<sub>P-C</sub> = 17.7 Hz, C=N), 145.8 (Ar), 132.6 (vt, *J* = 3 Hz, Ar), 125.3 (Ar), 123.9 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, Ar), 109.5 (Ar), 102.7 (Ar), 30.2 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>), 18.2 (CHMe<sub>2</sub>). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>Cl<sub>5</sub>N<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>PdTa: C: 52.27; H: 5.85; N: 9.03. Found: C: 51.92; H: 5.79; N: 8.95.



**Synthesis of** (TaCl<sub>4</sub>**P**<sup>N</sup>**C**<sup>N</sup>**P**<sup>*i***P**<sup>*i*</sup>)**PtCl** (9-**Pt**). 6-**Pt** (73.3 mg, 0.098 mmol) and TaCl<sub>5</sub> (35.2 mg, 0.098 mmol) were added to a 25 mL PTFE stoppered Schlenk flask, dissolved in toluene in the dark, and stirred for 12 h at 110 °C. During stirring, the solution turned blue and was then filtered through a pad of Celite. The volatiles were removed from</sup>

the filtrate to yield a blue solid. The solid was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and layered with pentane in 1:1 ratio and left in a -35 °C freezer. Blue precipitate formed overnight. The supernatant was removed and the solid was washed with pentane several times and then dried. (44.9 mg, 44%).<sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 202 MHz):  $\delta$  106.8 (s, *J*<sub>P-Pt</sub> = 3074.4 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz):  $\delta$  8.44 (d, *J* = 3.7 Hz, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.12 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*, overlaps with solvent) 6.70 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*) 2.6 (br s, 4H, C*H*Me<sub>2</sub>), 1.30 (m, 12 H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 0.75 (m, 12 H, CH(C*H*<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>); <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 100 MHz):  $\delta$  161.7 (C=N), 144.3 (Ar), 132.5 (Ar), 128.6 (Ar), 126.4 (Ar), 123.9 (Ar), 123.6 (Ar), 109.9 (Ar), Pt-C not observed with achievable concentration, 22.8 (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 18.7 (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 14.2 (CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>).

**Table S1.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR Data for Palladium and Platinum Compounds,  $\delta$  ppm.

	C=N <sup>c</sup>	CAr	CAr	CAr	CAr	CAr	CAr	СН°	$CH(Me)_2$	$CH(Me)_2^c$
3-Pd <sup>a</sup>	161.5 ( <i>J</i> = 17.5)	139.9	130.1	124.6	122.9	113.5	110.6	27.8 $(J = 10.4)$	19.2	18.2 ( <i>J</i> = 3.5)
3-Pt <sup>a</sup>	161.4 ( <i>J</i> = 15.2)	139.8	130.1	124.5	122.8	113.6	110.4	28.3 ( <i>J</i> = 14.2)	19.0	17.8 ( <i>J</i> = 3.0)
4a-Pd	166.3	147.2	133.3	123.0	120.3	113.5	110.2	27.7 ( $I = 11.0$ )	18.9	18.4 ( <i>I</i> = 3.8)

4b-Pd <sup>a</sup>	166.2 ( <i>J</i> = 12.7)	150.9	137.9	123.31	122.9	122.0	111.6	28.2 ( <i>J</i> = 10.1)	19.1	18.8
4a-Pt	165.5	147.4	133.3	122.9	120.3	113.7	110.0	28.3 ( <i>J</i> = 14.7)	18.7	17.9
5a	160.9 ( <i>J</i> = 17.5)	139.5	130.0	124.6	123.1	113.6	111.2	28.4 ( <i>J</i> = 11.2)	19.3 $(J = 2.8 \text{ Hz})$	19.2
6-Pd	172.2	152.6	133.1	120.1	115.5	111.4	107.4	25.5 ( <i>J</i> = 11.3)	17.2	18.2 ( <i>J</i> = 3.8)
6-Pt	173.2	154.5	135.2	122.1	117.6	113.4	109.3	28.2 ( <i>J</i> = 13.8)	19.0	18.3
7-Pd	166.2 ( <i>J</i> = 21.6)	141.6	132.4	124.0	122.0	114.1	110.8	27.8 ( <i>J</i> = 9.6)	18.9	18.2 ( <i>J</i> = 3.8)
7-Pt	166.6	142.7	133.0	124.2	121.7	115	110.8	28.5 ( <i>J</i> = 13.4)	18.2	17.5 ( <i>J</i> = 3.6)
8-Pd	171.9	150.2	133.5	123.3	119.7	113.9	110.0	27.6 ( <i>J</i> = 10.8)	19.0	18.4 $(J = 3.7)$
8-Pt	171.1 ( <i>J</i> = 13.7)	150.3	133.6	123.3	119.7	114.1	109.9	28.4 ( <i>J</i> = 13.5)	18.8	18.0 ( <i>J</i> = 2.8)
9-Pd	164.7 ( <i>J</i> = 17.7)	145.8	132.6	125.3	123.9	109.5	102.7	30.2	18	3.2
9-Pt <sup>b</sup>	161.7	144.3	132.5	128.6	126.4	123.9	109.9	22.8	18.7	14.2

NMR spectra collected in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> unless otherwise noted. <sup>a</sup> Spectra collected in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Spectra collected in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>c</sup> Coupling to phosphorus was not seen in all compounds due to signal broadness and low achievable concentration of compounds.

	NH	CHAr	CHAr	CHAr	CHAr	a-CH	CH	$CH(Me)_2$	$CH(Me)_2$
3-Pd <sup>a</sup>	13.60	7.68 (2H)	7.20 (2H)	7.13-7.08 (4H)	-	-	3.04	1.58	1.33
3-Pt <sup>a</sup>	13.66	7.66 (2H)	7.20 (2H)	7.16-7.09 (4H)	-	-	3.23	1.57	1.29
4a-Pd	10.23	6.94 (4H)	6.82 (2H)	6.71 (2H)	-	-	2.56	1.45	1.04
4b-Pd	-	-	-	-	-	5.74 (s)	-	-	-
4a-Pt	9.90	6.95 (4H)	6.83 (2H)	6.73 (2H)	-	-	2.76	1.45	1.03
4b-Pt <sup>a</sup>	-	-	-	-	-	5.51 (J <sub>Pt-P</sub> = 155 Hz).		-	-
5a <sup>a</sup>	12.06	7.95 (2H)	7.17 (6H)	-	-	-	3.12	1.57	1.32

**Table S2.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR Data for Palladium and Platinum Compounds,  $\delta$  ppm.

6-Pd	-	7.39 (2H)	7.16 (2H)	6.86 (4H)	-	-	2.74	1.53	1.18
6-Pt	-	7.22 (4H)	6.9 (4H)	-	-	-	2.57	1.56	1.26
7-Pd	-	7.97 (2H)	6.97 (2H)	6.82 (2H)	6.61 (2H)	-	2.35	1.27	0.86
7-Pt	-	7.95 (2H)	6.97 (2H)	6.83 (2H)	6.63 (2H)	-	2.53	1.26	0.84
8-Pd	-	7.10 (4H)	6.73 (12H)	-	-	-	2.61	1.51	1.10
8-Pt	-	7.08 (4H)	6.74 (12H)	-	-	-	2.79	1.50	1.08
9-Pd	-	8.42 (2H)	7.11 (2H)	6.74 (2H)	6.67 (2H)	-	2.46	1.33	0.78
9-Pt	-	8.44 (2H)	7.12 (2H)	6.70 (4H)	-	-	2.60	1.30	0.75

NMR spectra collected in  $C_6D_6$  unless otherwise noted. <sup>a</sup> Spectra collected in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Some aryl peaks contain overlapping multiplets which accounts for some compounds having fewer signals observed in the arene region.





Figure S5. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 1 in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO.



Figure S6.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (125 MHz) spectrum of 1 in (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO.



Figure S7. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 2 in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S8.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (125 MHz) spectrum of 2 in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S9. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 2 in  $C_6D_6$ .



**Figure S10.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **3-Pd** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The sample contains residuals CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (s, 5.30 ppm), diethyl ether (q, 3.27 ppm; t, 1.12 ppm), pentane (m, 1.27 ppm; t, 0.88 ppm).



Figure S11.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 3-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S12.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  (162 MHz) NMR spectrum of 3-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S13.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **3-Pt** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. The sample contains residuals CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (s, 5.30 ppm), pentane (m, 1.27 ppm; t, 0.88 ppm).



Figure S14. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 3-Pt in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S15**. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of **3-Pt** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Free ligand at 55.95 ppm.



**Figure S16.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **4a-Pd** and **4b-Pd** in  $C_6D_6$ . Both tautomers can be seen upon dissolution with **4a-Pd** being the major tautomer (95%) and **4b-Pd** the minor tautomer (5%). Contains residual THF (t, 3.57), and pentane (m 1.27, t 0.87).



Figure S17.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$  (100 MHz) NMR spectrum of 4a-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S18.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} (100 MHz) NMR spectrum of **4b-Pd** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Sample contains residual toluene (s, 137.9, 129.1, 128.2, 125.3, 21.5 ppm).



Figure S19.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  (202 MHz) NMR spectrum of **4a-Pd** (108.4 ppm, major tautomer, 95%) and **4b-Pd** (91.1 ppm, minor tautomer, 5%) in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S20. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 4-Pt in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. Contains residual toluene (s, 2.11).



**Figure S21.**  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (125 MHz) spectrum of **4-Pt** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. Contains residual toluene (s: 137.9, 129.3, 128.6, 125.7, 21.1) and pentane (s: 34.4, 22.7, 14.3).



Figure S22. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (162 MHz) spectrum of 4-Pt in  $C_6D_6$ .


Figure S23. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz) spectrum of 4-Pt in CDCl<sub>3.</sub>



**Figure S24**. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of **4-Pt** in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. **4a-Pt** (53%) at 100.9 ppm and **4b-Pt** (47%) at 90.3 ppm.



Figure S25. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S26.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$  (100 MHz) NMR spectrum of 5 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



**Figure S27.** <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of **5** CDCl<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S28. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 6-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S29.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 6-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



290 280 270 260 250 240 230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -50 -70 -80 -90 δ (ppm)

--- 99.03

Figure S30.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 6-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S31.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **6-Pt** in  $C_6D_6$ . The sample contains residual  $HN(Me_3Si)_2$  at 0.10 ppm.



Figure S32.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 6-Pt in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



240 220 200 180 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 0 -20 -40 -60 -80 -100 -120 -140 -160 -180 -200 -220 -240 -260 -280 -300 -320 -34( δ (ppm)

Figure S33. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 6-Pt in  $C_6D_6$ .



**Figure S34.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (400 MHz) of **7-Pd** in  $C_6D_6$ . The sample contains residual THF (m, 3.58 ppm; m, 1.42 ppm) and diethyl ether (q, 3.27 ppm; t, 1.11 ppm).



**Figure S35.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of **7-Pd** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. Sample contains residual THF (s: 67.8, 25.8 ppm) and diethyl ether (s: 65.3, 15.6 ppm)



290 280 270 260 250 240 230 220 210 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 130 120 110 100 90 80 70 60 50 40 30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 -40 -50 -60 -70 -80 -90 δ (ppm)

Figure S36. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 7-Pd in  $C_6D_6$ .





Figure S37. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (472 MHz) spectrum of 7-Pd in  $C_6D_{6.}$ 



**Figure S38.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **7-Pt** in  $C_6D_6$ . The sample contains residuals CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (s, 4.27 ppm) and THF (m, 3.56 ppm; m, 1.41 ppm).



**Figure S39.** <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of **7-Pt** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. Sample contains residual THF (s: 67.8, 25.8 ppm)



Figure S40. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 7-Pt in  $C_6D_{6.}$ 



-138.15 -138.23 -138.31 -138.38

Figure S41. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (472 MHz) spectrum of 7-Pt in  $C_6D_6$ 



Figure S42. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 8-Pd in  $C_6D_6$ .



Figure S43.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 8-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S44. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 8-Pd in  $C_6D_6$ .



Figure S45. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of 8-Pt in  $C_6D_6$ .



Figure S46.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 8-Pt in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



165 160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 δ(ppm)

Figure S47.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 8-Pt in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S48.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **9-Pd** in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>. The sample contains residuals  $CH_2Cl_2$  (s, 4.28 ppm), pentane (t, 0.87 ppm) and silicone grease (s, 0.30 ppm).



Figure S49.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 9-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



Figure S50.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 9-Pd in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure S51.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) spectrum of **9-Pt** in  $C_6D_6$ . Sample contains residual toluene (m, 7.1 and overlapping with solvent peak, s 2.11 ppm) and residual pentane (m, 1.23 ppm) and silicone grease (s, 0.3 ppm).



240 220 200 180 160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 0 -20 -40 -60 -80 -100 -120 -140 -160 -180 -200 -220 -240 -260 -280 -300 -320 δ (ppm)

Figure S52.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$  NMR (202 MHz) spectrum of 9-Pt in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>



Figure S53.  ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$  NMR (100 MHz) spectrum of 9-Pt in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

## **IV. X-ray Diffractometry Details**

#### <u>3-Pd (CCDC 2351193)</u>

#### **Data Collection**

A Leica MZ 75 microscope was used to identify a suitable orange block with very well defined faces with dimensions (max, intermediate, and min)  $0.102 \times 0.085 \times 0.074 \text{ mm}^3$  from a representative sample of crystals of the same habit. The crystal mounted on a nylon loop was then placed in a cold nitrogen stream (Oxford) maintained at 110 K.

A BRUKER Quest X-ray (fixed-Chi geometry) diffractometer with a PHOTON II detector was employed for crystal screening, unit cell determination, and data collection. The goniometer was controlled using the APEX3 software suite.<sup>5</sup> The sample was optically centered with the aid of a video camera such that no translations were observed as the crystal was rotated through all positions. The X-ray radiation employed was generated from a Mo-Iµs X-ray tube  $(K_{\alpha} = 0.71073\text{ Å}).$ 

45 data frames were taken at widths of 1°. These reflections were used to determine the unit cell. The unit cell was verified by examination of the  $h \ k \ l$  overlays on several frames of data. No super-cell or erroneous reflections were observed.

After careful examination of the unit cell, an extended data collection procedure (2 sets) was initiated using omega scans.

## Data Reduction, Structure Solution, and Refinement

Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX3.<sup>5</sup> The integration method employed a three dimensional profiling algorithm and all data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, as well as for crystal decay effects. Finally the data was merged and scaled to produce a suitable data set. The

absorption correction program SADABS<sup>6</sup> was employed to correct the data for absorption effects.

Systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests of the data suggested the space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . A solution was obtained readily using XT/XS in APEX3.<sup>5, 7</sup> Three molecules of dichloromethane were found solvated. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and were set riding on the respective parent atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Elongated thermal ellipsoids on one of the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and all the four -CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> groups suggested disorder, which were modeled successfully between two positions each with an occupancy ratio of 0.58:0.42 (the occupancy ratio of the disordered groups were restrained to the same value as the independently refined values were very close to the reported value). Appropriate restraints and / or constraints were used to keep the bond distances, angles, and thermal ellipsoids meaningful. Absence of additional symmetry and voids were confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM).<sup>8</sup> The structure was refined (weighted least squares refinement on  $F^2$ ) to convergence.<sup>7, 9</sup>

#### 7-Pt (CCDC 2351194)

#### **Data Collection**

A Leica MZ 75 microscope was used to identify a suitable colorless block with very well defined faces with dimensions (max, intermediate, and min)  $0.351 \times 0.216 \times 0.172 \text{ mm}^3$  from a representative sample of crystals of the same habit. The crystal mounted on a nylon loop was then placed in a cold nitrogen stream (Oxford) maintained at 110 K.

A BRUKER Quest X-ray (fixed-Chi geometry) diffractometer with a PHOTON II detector was employed for crystal screening, unit cell determination, and data collection. The goniometer was controlled using the APEX3 software suite.<sup>5</sup> The sample was optically centered

with the aid of a video camera such that no translations were observed as the crystal was rotated through all positions. The X-ray radiation employed was generated from a Mo-I $\mu$ s X-ray tube (K<sub>a</sub> = 0.71073Å).

45 data frames were taken at widths of 1°. These reflections were used to determine the unit cell. The unit cell was verified by examination of the  $h \ k \ l$  overlays on several frames of data. No super-cell or erroneous reflections were observed.

After careful examination of the unit cell, an extended data collection procedure (6 sets) was initiated using omega and phi scans.

## Data Reduction, Structure Solution, and Refinement

Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX3.<sup>5</sup> The integration method employed a three dimensional profiling algorithm and all data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, as well as for crystal decay effects. Finally the data was merged and scaled to produce a suitable data set. The absorption correction program SADABS<sup>4</sup> was employed to correct the data for absorption effects.

Systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests of the data suggested the space group *P*-1. A solution was obtained readily (Z=4; Z'=2) using XT/XS in APEX3.<sup>5,7</sup> Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and were set riding on the respective parent atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Residual electron (4.2 e<sup>-</sup>/Å<sup>3</sup>), which could not be attributed to any solvent (reaction was carried out in water free reaction conditions), was MASKed using OLEX2. Assuming the electron density as partially occupied water molecule, the occupancy refines to 0.165 water molecules per Pt complex. Absence of additional symmetry and voids were confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM).<sup>8</sup> The structure was refined (weighted least squares refinement on  $F^2$ ) to convergence.<sup>7,9</sup>

#### 8-Pd (CCDC 2351196)

## **Data Collection**

A Leica MZ 75 microscope was used to identify a suitable red block with very well defined faces with dimensions (max, intermediate, and min)  $0.128 \times 0.054 \times 0.023$  mm<sup>3</sup> from a representative sample of crystals of the same habit. The crystal mounted on a nylon loop was then placed in a cold nitrogen stream (Oxford) maintained at 110 K.

A BRUKER Venture X-ray (kappa geometry) diffractometer was employed for crystal screening, unit cell determination, and data collection. The goniometer was controlled using the APEX3 software suite.<sup>5</sup> The sample was optically centered with the aid of a video camera such that no translations were observed as the crystal was rotated through all positions. The X-ray radiation employed was generated from a Cu-Iµs X-ray tube ( $K_{\alpha} = 1.5418$ Å with a potential of 50 kV and a current of 1.0mA).

45 data frames were taken at widths of 1°. These reflections were used to determine the unit cell. The unit cell was verified by examination of the  $h \ k \ l$  overlays on several frames of data. No super-cell or erroneous reflections were observed.

After careful examination of the unit cell, an extended data collection procedure (17 sets) was initiated using omega and phi scans.

## Data Reduction, Structure Solution, and Refinement

Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX3.<sup>5</sup> The integration method employed a three dimensional profiling algorithm and all data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, as well as for crystal decay effects. Finally the data was merged and scaled to produce a suitable data set. The

absorption correction program  $SADABS^6$  was employed to correct the data for absorption effects.

Systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests of the data suggested the space group  $P2_1/c$ . A solution was obtained readily using XT/XS in APEX3.<sup>5,7</sup> Two molecules of toluene and a molecule of isooctane were found solvated. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and were set riding on the respective parent atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Elongated thermal ellipsoids and residual electron density peaks near the solvent molecules indicated possible disorder. No efforts were made to model this disorder. Absence of additional symmetry or void were confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM).<sup>8</sup> The structure was refined (weighted least squares refinement on  $F^2$ ) to convergence.<sup>7,9</sup>

# <u>9-Pd (CCDC 2351195)</u> Data Collection

A Leica MZ 75 microscope was used to identify a dark brown block with very well defined faces with dimensions (max, intermediate, and min)  $0.452 \times 0.272 \times 0.248$  mm<sup>3</sup> from a representative sample of crystals of the same habit. The crystal mounted on a nylon loop was then placed in a cold nitrogen stream (Oxford) maintained at 110 K.

A BRUKER APEX 2 Duo X-ray (three-circle) diffractometer was employed for crystal screening, unit cell determination, and data collection. The goniometer was controlled using the APEX3 software suite.<sup>5</sup> The sample was optically centered with the aid of a video camera such that no translations were observed as the crystal was rotated through all positions. The detector (Bruker - PHOTON) was set at 6.0 cm from the crystal sample. The X-ray radiation employed was generated from a Mo sealed X-ray tube ( $K_{\alpha} = 0.71073$ Å with a potential of 40 kV and a current of 40 mA).
45 data frames were taken at widths of  $1.0^{\circ}$ . These reflections were used in the autoindexing procedure to determine the unit cell. A suitable cell was found and refined by nonlinear least squares and Bravais lattice procedures. The unit cell was verified by examination of the *h k l* overlays on several frames of data. No super-cell or erroneous reflections were observed.

After careful examination of the unit cell, an extended data collection procedure (6 sets) was initiated using omega scans.

## **Data Reduction, Structure Solution, and Refinement**

Integrated intensity information for each reflection was obtained by reduction of the data frames with the program APEX3.<sup>5</sup> The integration method employed a three dimensional profiling algorithm and all data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, as well as for crystal decay effects. Finally, the data was merged and scaled to produce a suitable data set. The absorption correction program SADABS<sup>4</sup> was employed to correct the data for absorption effects.

Systematic reflection conditions and statistical tests of the data suggested the space group  $P2_1/n$ . A solution was obtained readily using XT/XS in APEX2.<sup>5,7</sup> A molecule of dichloromethane was found solvated. Hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and were set riding on the respective parent atoms. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. Elongated ellipsoids on the solvent atoms suggested disorder which was successfully modeled between two positions which refined to an occupancy ratio very close to 0.5. For the final refinement cycles the occupancy of the disordered solvent molecule were fixed to 0.5. Appropriate restraints were added to keep the bond distances, and thermal ellipsoids meaningful. Absence of additional symmetry and voids were confirmed using PLATON (ADDSYM).<sup>7</sup> The structure was refined (weighted least squares refinement on  $F^2$ ) to convergence.<sup>7,9</sup>

## V. Electrochemical Analysis



**Figure S54.** Cyclic voltammogram of **4-Pd** in  $CH_2Cl_2(1 \text{ mM})$  with  $[Bu_4N][PF_6]$  (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte.  $E_{1/2} = 0.67 \text{ V}$  (**A**), Irreversible redox event = -0.21V (**II**).



**Figure S55.** Cyclic voltammogram of **7-Pd** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) with [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][PF<sub>6</sub>] (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte.  $E_{1/2} = 1.18 \text{ V}$  (**A**), 0.25 V (**B**).



**Figure S56.** Cyclic voltammogram of **8-Pd** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) with [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][PF<sub>6</sub>] (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte.  $E_{1/2} = 0.92 \text{ V} (\mathbf{A}), 0.02 \text{ V} (\mathbf{B}), -0.22 \text{ V} (\mathbf{C}).$ 



**Figure S57.** Cyclic voltammogram of **9-Pd** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) with [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][BArF<sub>24</sub>] (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte. Ligand oxidation = 1.18 V (**A**),  $E_{1/2} = 0.57 \text{ V}$  (**B**), -0.87 V (**C**).



**Figure S58.** Cyclic voltammogram of **4-Pt** in  $CH_2Cl_2(1 \text{ mM})$  with  $[Bu_4N][PF_6](0.1 \text{ M})$  as the supporting electrolyte. Irreversible redox events =  $0.62 \text{ V}(\mathbf{I})$ , - $0.14 \text{ V}(\mathbf{II})$ .



**Figure S59.** Cyclic voltammogram of **7-Pt** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) with [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][PF<sub>6</sub>] (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte.  $E_{1/2} = 1.03 \text{ V}$  (**A**), 0.11 V (**B**).



**Figure S60.** Cyclic voltammogram of **8-Pt** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) with [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][PF<sub>6</sub>] (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte.  $E_{1/2} = 0.82 \text{ V}$  (**A**), -0.09 V (**B**), -0.32 V (**C**).



**Figure S61.** Cyclic voltammogram of **9-Pt** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 mM) with [Bu<sub>4</sub>N][BArF<sub>24</sub>] (0.1 M) as the supporting electrolyte. Ligand oxidation = 1.07 (**A**),  $E_{1/2} = 0.47$  V (**A**), -0.88 V (**B**).



Figure S62. UV-Vis of 4-Pd in toluene (0.05 mM).



Figure S63. UV-Vis of 4-Pd in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (0.25 mM).



Figure S64. UV-Vis of 7-Pd in toluene (0.05 mM).



Figure S65. UV-Vis of 8-Pd in toluene (0.05 mM).



Figure S66. UV-Vis of 9-Pd in toluene (0.025 mM).



Figure S67. UV-Vis of 4-Pt in toluene (0.25 mM).



Figure S 68. UV-Vis of 4-Pt in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (0.28 mM).



Figure S69. UV-Vis of 7-Pt in toluene (0.06 mM).



Figure S70. UV-Vis of 8-Pt in toluene (0.03 mM).



Figure S71. UV-Vis of 9-Pt in toluene (0.09 mM).

## V. References

<sup>1</sup> T. Ono, M. Ohta, K. Sada, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2012, **11**, 1270-1273.

<sup>2</sup> N. G. Connelly, W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **48**, 877–910.

<sup>3</sup> G. Gritzner, J. Kuta, Pure. Appl. Chem., 1984, 56, 461–466.

<sup>4</sup> P. P. Kattimani, R. R. Kamble, G. Y. Meti, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 29447-29455.

<sup>5</sup> APEX3 "Program for Data Collection on Area Detectors" BRUKER AXS Inc., 5465 East Cheryl Parkway, Madison, WI 53711-5373 USA.

<sup>6</sup> G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS "Program for Absorption Correction of Area Detector Frames", BRUKER AXS Inc., 5465 East Cheryl Parkway, Madison, WI 53711-5373 USA

<sup>7</sup> (a) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2008, **A64**, 112-122. (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2015, **A71**, 3-8. (c) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.*, 2015, **C71**, 3-8. (d) XT, XS, BRUKER AXS Inc., 5465 East Cheryl Parkway, Madison, WI 53711-5373 USA.

<sup>8</sup> (a) A. L. Spek, PLATON - A Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool. *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2003, **36**, 7-13. (b) Spek, A. L. Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands 2008.

<sup>9</sup> O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, and H. Puschmann, OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement, and analysis program. *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2009, **42**, 339-341.