# **Supporting Information**

## **Designing Different Carbon Capping Amorphous MoO<sup>2</sup> to Enhance**

## **Electrochemical Performance in Lithium-Ion Batteries**

Gaoyuan Liu<sup>a</sup>, Xinxin Yin<sup>a</sup>, Jing Xie<sup>a</sup>, Jindou Hu<sup>a</sup>, Aize Hao<sup>b</sup>, Zhenjiang Lu<sup>a, \*</sup> and Yali Caoa, \*

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Chemistry and Utilization of Carbon Based Energy Resources, College of Chemistry, Xinjiang University, Urumqi 830017, Xinjiang, PR China.

**b** School of Chemical Engineering, Sichuan University of Science and Engineering, Zigong 643000, China

\*Corresponding author.

E-mails: [caoyali523@163.com](mailto:caoyali523@163.com) (Yali Cao); [luzj@xju.edu.cn](mailto:luzj@xju.edu.cn) (Zhenjiang Lu).

### **Experimental Section**

#### *1.1 Materials characterization*

The morphologies of samples were obtained on a Hitachi S-4800 scanning electron microscope with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, and high-resolution transmission electron microscopes (HRTEM) with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV (JEOL JEM-2010F electron microscope). The element component of MoO2-*x*@C was measured by the energy disperse X-ray spectrum (EDS, EDAXTLS). To acquire the crystal structure of samples, X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) was obtained by Bruker D8 employing Cu-Kα radiation (1.54056 Å) with an operating voltage of 40 kV and a beam current of 40 mA. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) data was tested by the Bruker A300. The g-values for each EPR spectrum were extracted from simulations performed using EasySpin (v5.2.23). The Raman spectra was collected by employing Raman spectroscopy (Bruker Senterra) with excitation light source of 532 nm. The surface components and valence states of samples were characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific ESCALAB250Xi) employing Al Kα (1486.6 eV). Thermal stability of the samples was assessed by thermal gravimetric (TGA, NETZSC-ATA449C) analysis in air at a heating rate of  $10^{\circ}$ C min<sup>-1</sup>. The specific surface area, pore size and pore volume of the samples were obtained by Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) methods through  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms (Quantachrome, Autosorb-iQ2). Before testing, all samples were degassed at 300°C for 9 h to remove the moisture and physical adsorbed gases.

#### *1.2 Electrochemical characterization*

The anode was fabricated by mixing  $MoO<sub>2-x</sub>(Q)$  (70 wt%), acetylene black (20 wt%), and polyvinylidene fluoride (10 wt%) dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, and then coated on the Cu foil and were finally dried in a vacuum oven at 110°C for 12 h. The average mass loading of the whole electrode is≈0.6-1.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>. The CR2025 type cells were assembled in a glove box under Ar atmosphere (< 0.01 ppm of oxygen and water). For lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), it consisted of a prepared electrode, glass

fibers separator (Celgard 2500), and lithium foil as the counter electrode. The electrolyte was 1 M LiPF<sub>6</sub> in ethylene carbonate  $(EC)/$ dimethyl carbonate (DMC)/diethyl carbonate (DEC) (1:1:1 by volume) with 5 vol.% fluoroethylene carbonate (FEC) additive as the electrolyte.

A full cell was assembled using the preactivated  $MoO<sub>2-x</sub>( $\hat{a}$ )C$  anode and lithium cobalt oxide (LCO) cathode with an active materials weight ratio of 1:3 for MoO2-*x*@C-450||LCO. The cathode was prepared by mixing LCO (70 wt%), acetylene black (20 wt%), and polyvinylidene fluoride (10 wt%) dissolved in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone, and then coated on the aluminum foil, which were finally dried in a vacuum oven at 110°C for 12 h.

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was conducted on a CHI760E workstation (CHI760E, Chenhua, China) within the potential range of 0.01–3 V. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was carried out by using electrochemical workstation (CHI760E, Chenhua, China) within the frequency range of 100 kHz to 0.01 Hz under open circuit voltage. The galvanostatic charge/discharge measurements were carried out with a battery testing system (Land CT2001A, Wuhan, China) in the voltage range of 0.01– 3.0 V at room temperature.

#### *1.3 Calculation of the full battery capacity energy and power densities*

The capacity of our full battery is calculated based on the mass of lithium cobalt oxide  $(LiCoO<sub>2</sub>)$  cathode active material. The theoretical capacity of the material is calculated as follows:

$$
\text{LiCoO}_2 = x\text{Li}^+ + \text{Li}_{(1-x)}\text{CoO}_2
$$

$$
\text{C} = \text{nF}/3.8\text{M} \qquad (1)
$$

In this formula n is the number of electrons participating in the reaction F is Faraday's constant M is the molar mass of  $LiCoO<sub>2</sub>$ . 1 mol of electrons is 96485C,

assuming that the material occurs n electron reaction that 1 mol of active material electrochemical reaction generated by the amount of electricity is 96485n C. 1 g electrode material theoretically discharged electricity: 1 mAh =  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  A $\times 3600$  s = 3.6 C, the full battery in the mass of  $LiCoO<sub>2</sub>$  is 2 mg, then theoretically discharged electricity 2×3.6 C = 7.2 C. Assuming that Li in LiCoO<sub>2</sub> can be completely removed then the number of transferred electrons n is 1 and according to the above formula 1, the specific process can be calculated as  $96485 \times 1/(7.2 \times 98) = 136.7 \text{ mA} \text{h} \text{g}^{-1}$ . However, the actual capacity of the full-battery discharged for the first time is 121.3 mAh  $g^-1$ , so the number of electrons involved in the reaction is  $n=(121.3\times7.2\times98)/96485=0.9$ . From the fact that the number of electrons participating in the reaction is 0.9, it can be deduced that there are 0.9 mol of  $Li^+$  embedded in  $LiCoO<sub>2</sub>$  in the first discharge.

In full cell tests, the calculations of energy  $(E, Wh kg^{-1})$  and power densities  $(P,$ W kg−1) based on the total mass of both anode and cathode materials were performed.

$$
P = \Delta V \times i/m
$$
 (2)  

$$
E = P \times t/3600
$$
 (3)  

$$
\Delta V = V_{\text{max}} - V_{\text{min}}
$$
 (4)

In which t (s) is the discharge time, i (A) is the charge/discharge current, m  $(Kg)$  is the total mass of active materials in both anode and cathode,  $V_{max}$  (V) is the discharge potential excluding the IR drop and  $V_{min}$  (V) is the potential at the end of discharge voltages. where  $i(A)$  is 54.64  $\mu A$ , m is 2 mg, Vmax is 4.0833 V, Vmin is 1 V, and the energy density E is 421.0 Wh kg<sup>-1</sup> according to Equation 2-4.

## **Results and discuss**



**Fig.** S1 Pore size distribution of (a)  $MoO_{2-x}@C-450$ , (b)  $MoO_{2-x}@C-CNF-450$  and (c)  $MoO_{2-x}$ *<sup>x</sup>*@C-PDA-450.



Fig. S2 Wide-angle SEM image of (a) Mo-MI precursor. (b) SEM images of MoO<sub>2</sub>. *<sup>x</sup>*@C-CNF. (c) TEM images of MoO2-*x*@C-CNF. (d) SEM images of MoO2-*x*@C-PDA. (e) TEM images of MoO<sub>2-x</sub>@C-PDA (f) the HRSEM image of MoO<sub>2</sub>@C



**Fig.** S3 (a) TGA curve of prepared  $MoO<sub>2-x</sub>(QC-450)$  in air. (b) XRD pattern of the sample after TGA text of MoO<sub>2-x</sub>@C-450.

In terms of the TGA curve, the residual weight of the sample after heating to 600  $\degree$ C is approximately 65.59 wt.%, which can be attributed to MoO3. Therefore, the carbon content can be calculated using the following equation:

m (carbon) = 1 – 65.59 ωt.%\*M(MoO<sub>2</sub>)/M(MoO<sub>3</sub>) = 1 – 65.59 ωt.%\*128/144  $\approx$  41.69ωt.%



**Fig. S4** XPS spectra of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>(Q)C$  and  $MoO<sub>2-x</sub>(Q)C-350/450/550$ .



**Fig. S5** XPS spectra of (a)Mo 3d, (b) O 1s, (c) C 1s (MoO2-*x*@C-350), (d) Mo 3d, (e) O 1s, (f) C 1s (MoO2-*x*@C-550).



**Fig S6** Cyclic voltammetry profiles of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>(Q)C$ .



**Fig. S7** Tafel plots of (a) oxidation and (b) reduction processes for MoO2-*x*@C-450 and  $MoO<sub>2</sub>@C.$ 



**Fig. S8** Selected discharge-charge profiles of (a) MoO2-*x*@C and (b) MoO<sup>2</sup>



**Fig. S9** The cycling performance of  $MoO_{2-x}@C-350/450/550$  and  $MoO_{2}@C$ .



**Fig. S10** The cycling performance of MoO2-*x*@C-450, MoO2-*x*-450 and C-450.



**Fig.** S11 (a) Comparative properties of  $MoO_{2-x}(QC-450)$  and  $MoO_{2}(QC.$  (b) Comparative specific capacity of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>(Q)C$  and the modified materials in other references.



**Fig.** S12 Partial discharge-charge curves for (a)  $MoO_{2-x}QC-350$ , (b)  $MoO_{2-x}QC-450$ , (c)  $MoO_{2-x}$  (*a*)C-550 and (d)  $MoO_{2}$  (*a*)C.



**Fig. S13** The b-values calculated from CV curves.



**Fig. S14** (a) GITT curves for  $MoO_{2-x}QC-450$  and  $MoO_{2-x}$ . (b) The log( $\mu^+$ ) values in  $D_{Li^{+}}$ discharge state and charge states for  $MoO_{2-x}$  (@C-450 and  $MoO_{2-x}$ ).

<b>Electrode Materials</b>	<b>Current Rate</b> $(A g^{-1})$	Remaining Capacity $(mAh g^{-1})$ /Number of Cycle (n)	Ref.
$MoO_{2-x}$ <sub><math>Q</math></sub> $C$	5.0	601.4(800)	This work
$MoO2$ nanotextiles	0.3	860.4(160)	$\mathbf{1}$
$MoO2/N-C$	0.1	708.0(100)	$\overline{2}$
MoO <sub>2</sub> /C	1.0	480.0(1000)	3
MoO <sub>2</sub> (Q)C	1.0	669.1(1000)	4
$MoO2/N$ -doped carbon	5.0	531.0(300)	5
MoO <sub>2</sub> /NPC@rGO	10.0	249.5(1000)	6
MoO <sub>2</sub> (Q)MoS <sub>2</sub>	0.5	650.0(500)	$\tau$
$Meso-MoO2/MoP-NBs$	1.0	515.0(1000)	8
$MoO2-Mo2C-C$	0.1	1188.0(250)	9
Sb/MoO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>a</i> )CNFs	1.0	558.0(500)	10
MoO <sub>2</sub> /Sn/NC@NC	2.0	620.1(1000)	11
$Li_4Ti_5O_{12} - TiO_2/M_0O_2$	1.0	413.0(500)	12
$Mo_2C-MXene@MoO_2@C$	0.5	854.5(500)	13

**Table S1.** Comparison of electrochemical performance of MoO<sub>2</sub>-based anodes.

<b>Electrode Materials</b>	<b>Current Rate</b> $(A g^{-1})$	Remaining Capacity	
		$(mAh g^{-1})$ /Number	Ref.
		of Cycle (n)	
$MoO_{2-x}$ @C	5.0	601.4(800)	This work
Ni/Mo <sub>2</sub> C/NC	2.0	412.7(1800)	14
$MoS_2-Mo_2C@C$	2.0	598.1	15
MoS <sub>2</sub> /Graphite	1.0C	58.3(300)	16
$Mo3Se4(QTi3C2Tx)$	1.5C (1C equals	790.8	17
	to 670 A $g^{-1}$ )		
$Mo2CTx/Mo2C$	1.0	340.0(1000)	18
$\beta$ -Mo <sub>2</sub> C	2.0	72.2(1500)	19
MON-QD/NG	5.0	297.2(300)	20
MoO <sub>3</sub> /C	0.5	621.0(200)	21
MoO <sub>2</sub> (Q)Mo <sub>2</sub> N(Q)C	1.0	652.0(1000)	22
$PPy@h-MoO3$	0.1	289.0(50)	23
$MoS_2/SnS$	2.0	872.7(300)	24
Mo <sub>6</sub> Te <sub>8</sub>	1.0	436.0	25
Ni <sub>3</sub> Se <sub>4</sub> /MoSe <sub>2</sub> /rGO	2.0	573.3(600)	26
$SnO_2/MoO_{3-x}/rGO$	5.0	450.6(1000)	27
Fe <sub>2</sub> Mo <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> /MoO <sub>2</sub> @C	5.0	460.6(1000)	28
$MoO2-Li2MoO4$	0.5	494.1(200)	29

**Table S2.** Comparison of electrochemical performance of Mo-based anodes.

### **Reference**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1 G. Q. Xu, P. Liu, Y. R. Ren, X. B. Huang, Z. G. Peng, Y. G. Tang, H. Y. Wang, Three-dimensional  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  nanotextiles assembled from elongated nanowires as advanced anode for Li ion batteries, *J. Power Sources*, 2017, **361**, 1.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2 H. H. Sun, Y. Zhang, H. Y. Liu, X. Y. Zhang, J. G. Wang, Constructing hierarchical MoO2/N-doped carbon hydrangea-like spheres with superior lithium storage properties, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, 2019, **787**, 45.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3 Y. Yao, Z. A. Chen, R. H. Yu, Q. Chen, J. X. Zhu, X. F. Hong, L. Zhou, J. S. Wu, L. Q. Mai, Confining ultrafine  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  in a carbon matrix enables hybrid Li ion and Li metal storage, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 40648.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4 H. Z. Xu, C. Gao, Z. Y. Cheng, L. H. Kong, W. Li, X. C. Dong, J. J. Lin, Metal oxyacid salts-confined pyrolysis towards hierarchical porous metal oxide@carbon (MO@C) composites as lithium-ion battery anodes, *Nano Res.*, 2023, **16**, 6903.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5 C. Zheng, W. X. Wu, Q. X. Deng, Y. F. Li, M. D. Wei, Nanocomposite of ultra-small  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  embedded in nitrogen-doped carbon: In situ derivation from an organic molybdenum complex and its superior Li-Ion storage performance, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2021, **592**, 33.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>6 J. Li, H. Wei, F. Hu, Z. Xie, J. P. Hei, Y. Q. Kong, X. J. Yin, N. N. Wang, H. H. Wei, In-situ synthesis of two-dimensional sheet-like  $MoO<sub>2</sub>/NPC@rGO$  as advanced anode for alkali metal ion batteries, *Int. J. Hydrog. Energy*, 2022, **47**, 32594.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>7 H. Sun, J. L. Xu, J. D. Huang, G. H. Li, J. Luo, M. J. Rao, Z. W. Peng, T. Jiang, Facile synthesis of hetero-structured few-layer  $MoS<sub>2</sub>$ -coated  $MoO<sub>2</sub>$  as superior anode materials of lithium ion batteries, *J. Alloy. Compd.*, 2021, **851**, 156726.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>8 Y. H. Shen, Y. L. Jiang, Z. Z. Yang, J. Dong, W. Yang, Q. Y. An, L. Q. Mai, Electronic structure modulation in  $MoO<sub>2</sub>/MoP$  heterostructure to induce fast electronic/ionic diffusion kinetics for lithium storage, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, 2104504.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>9 X. Yang, Q. Li, H. J. Wang, J. Feng, M. Zhang, R. Yuan, Y. Q. Chai, In-situ carbonization for template-free synthesis of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>-Mo<sub>2</sub>CC$  microspheres as highperformance lithium battery anode, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **337**, 74.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>10 X. Lu, P. Wang, K. Liu, C. M. Niu, H. K. Wang, Encapsulating nanoparticulate Sb/MoO*<sup>x</sup>* into porous carbon nanofibers via electrospinning for efficient lithium

storage, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **336**, 701.

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>11 H. J. Wang, H. Wang, D. X. Zhang, G. Chen, L. Chen, N. Zhang, R. Z. Ma, X. H. Liu, Double confined  $MoO<sub>2</sub>/Sn/NC@NC$  nanotubes: solid–liquid synthesis, conformal transformation, and excellent lithium-ion storage, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 19836.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>12 Y. Yang, S. T. Wang, M. C. Luo, W. Wang, F. Lv, Z. L. Tang, S. J. Guo, Li<sub>4</sub>Ti<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>-TiO<sub>2</sub>/MoO<sub>2</sub> nanoclusters-embedded into carbon nanosheets core/shell porous superstructures boost lithium ion storage, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 12096.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>13 G. L. Liu, F. Y. Xiao, T. Zhang, Y. Y. Gu, J. Li, D. L. Guo, M. W. Xu, N. T. Wu, A. Cao, X. M. Liu, In-situ growth of  $MoO<sub>2</sub>(a)N$  doped carbon on  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C-MX$ ene for superior lithium storage, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2022, **597**, 153688.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>14 D. L. Guo, M. K. Yang, S. Xu, S. P. Zhu, G. L. Liu, N. T. Wu, A. Cao, H. Y. Mi, X. M. Liu, Ni activated  $Mo<sub>2</sub>C$  by regulating the interfacial electronic structure for highly efficient lithium-ion storage, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 14575-14584.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>15 L. Q. Wu, L. Feng, X. X. Mao, J. Y. Niu, W. H. Xin, D. Wang, Construction of porous MoS2-Mo2C@C aerogel for use as superior lithium-ion battery anode, *J. Energy Storage*, 2023, **70**, 108011.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>16 B. S. Liu, F. Li, H. D. Li, S. H. Zhang, J. H. Liu, X. He, Z. J. Sun, Z. Q. Yu, Y. J. Zhang, X. Q. Huang, F. Guo, G. F. Wang, X. B. Jia, Monodisperse  $MoS<sub>2</sub>/Graphite$ composite anode materials for advanced lithium ion batteries, *Molecules*, 2023, **28**, 2775.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>17 R. S. Kamat, C. Padwal, H. D. Pham, X. j. Wang, L. D. Jadhav, D. P. Dubal, Selenium enriched over-oxidized  $Mo<sub>3</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>$  decorated MXene as a high-performance Li-ion battery anode material, *J. Energy Storage*, 2023, **73**, 108916.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>18 Z. Bayhan, J. K. El-Demellawi, J. Yin, Y. Khan, Y. J. Lei, E. Alhajji, Q. X. Wang, M. N. Hedhili, H. N. Alshareef, A laser-induced Mo<sub>2</sub>CT<sub>x</sub> MXene hybrid anode for high-performance Li-ion batteries, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2208253.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>19 R. A. Shi, N. P. Chen, B. Li, L. X. Zhang, T. T. Gao, W. H. Lian, Z. P. Wang, H. R. Cui, W. Song, Design of β-Mo<sub>2</sub>C with an appropriate intercalation potential as an anode material toward lithium ion batteries, *Solid State Ion.*, 2024, **405**, 116438.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>20 L. X. Wang, T. B. Zhao, R. P. Chen, H. Fang, Y. H. Yang, Y. Cao, L. S. Zhang, Molybdenum nitride and oxide quantum dot  $\omega$  nitrogen-doped graphene nanocomposite material for rechargeable lithium ion batteries, *Batteries-Basel*, 2023, **9**, 32.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>21 M. Guo, L. Y. Huang, C. K. Zhao, L. M. He, Y. Q. Wang, G. Dou, G. X. Zhang, X. M. Sun, Atomic Mo-NC-sourced robust  $MoO<sub>3</sub>/C$  nanocomposite for highperformance Li-ion storage, *Sci. China-Mater.*, 2023, **66**, 3054-3064.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>22 H. Z. Xu, C. Gao, L. H. Kong, D. Li, J. J. Lin, Constructing hierarchical porous MoO2@Mo2N@C composite via a confined pyrolysis synthetic strategy towards lithium-ion battery anodes, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202301565.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>23 R. Nadimicherla, L. Chen, S. D. Raut, W. C. Cho,  $PPy@h-MoO<sub>3</sub>$  nanorods as the cathode material for high-efficiency lithium-ion batteries, *New J. Chem*, 2024, **48**, 12315-12322.
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>24 Y. W. Guo, K. Liu, W. L. Liu, N. Zhang, X. D. Sun, S. Li, Z. S. Wen, J. C. Sun, MoS<sub>2</sub>/SnS heterostructure composite for high-performance lithium-ion battery anodes, *Solid State Sci.*, 2024, **156**, 107660.
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>25 Y. P. Leng, J. Xu, Y. J. Zhang, Z. Q. Ran, X. G. Wang, F. C. Tsai, N. Ma, Cheverl phase  $Mo<sub>6</sub>Te<sub>8</sub>$  nanoparticles as new anode materials for lithium-ion batteries, *J*. *Energy Storage*, 2024, **80**, 110298.
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>26 S. Jiang, R. X. Zhang, M. J. Pang, Z. Y. Song, Z. Y. Wu, Y. L. Jiao, J. G. Zhao, Carbon-coated  $Ni<sub>3</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>/MoSe<sub>2</sub>/rGO$  nanosheet composites for lithium-ion batteries, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2024, **20**, 23443–23453.
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>27 J. H. Li, L. Wei, X. K. Cui, G. X. Han, S. Y. Hou, W. C. Shen, F. Y. Kang, R. T. Lv, L. Q. Ma, Z. H. Huang, Hydrothermal synthesis of SnO<sub>2</sub>/MoO<sub>3-x</sub>/rGO ternary nanocomposites as a high-performance anode for lithium ion batteries, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2024, **503**, 144907.
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>28 J. Ding, Y. D. Huang, Z. J. Liu, X. C. Wang, Y. Zhang, Y. Guo, R. Sheng, D. Z. Jia, X. C. Tang, L. Wang,  $Fe<sub>2</sub>Mo<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>/MoO<sub>2</sub>(Q)$  composites with pseudocapacitive properties and fast diffusion kinetics for the anode of lithium-ion batteries, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **431**, 133984.

<span id="page-16-0"></span>29 Y. X. Jia, S. L. Jiang, X. Y. Li, Q. L. Mao, T. Bashir, L. J. Gao, Exploring lithium storage mechanism and cycling stability of MoO<sub>2</sub> metal oxide anode composited with Li2MoO4, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **159**, 111827.