# **Supporting Information**

# Structural Disproportionation of Ag<sub>20</sub>Cu<sub>10</sub> Highlights the Impact of

### **Cluster Structure on Electrocatalytic Properties for CO<sub>2</sub> reduction**

Yujiao Wang,<sup>≠,a</sup>Lin Xiong,<sup>≠,b</sup> Qian Cheng,<sup>a</sup> Daqiao Hu,<sup>a</sup> Shan Jin,<sup>\*,a</sup> and Manzhou Zhu<sup>a</sup> a. Key Laboratory of Structure and Functional Regulation of Hybrid Materials, Anhui University, Ministry of Education, Institutes of Physical Science and Information Technology, Anhui University, Department of Chemistry and Center for Atomic Engineering of Advanced Materials, Anhui University, Anhui 230601, P. R. China

b. School of Food and Chemical Engineering, Shaoyang University, Shaoyang 422000, P. R. China  $\neq$  These authors contributed equally to this work.

Email: hudaqiao@ahu.edu.cn; jinshan@ahu.edu.cn



**Figure S1.** The overall structure and the ORTEP drawing (50% probability) of  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}(Dppm)_2(SAdm)_{14}Cl_8$ . Atom colours: Cu = brown, S = yellow, P = purple, Cl = green; C = grey. H atoms are omitted.



**Figure S2.** The framework of  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}(Dppm)_2(SAdm)_{14}Cl_8$  consisting of a  $Ag_{17}$  core and the big peripheral motif and two terminal Cl ligands.



**Figure S3.** The  $Ag_{17}$  core can be regarded as a decahedral  $Ag_7$  unit, with each side protected by an  $Ag_5$  face.



Figure S4. The bond lengths of the  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}(Dppm)_2(SAdm)_{14}Cl_8$  clusters.



**Figure S5.** The overall structure and the ORTEP drawing (50% probability) of  $[Ag_8Cu_{12}(Dppm)_4(SAdm)_8Cl_8]^{2+}$ . Atom colours: Cu = brown, S= yellow, P = purple, Cl = green; C = grey. H atoms are omitted.



**Figure S6.** The overall structure and the ORTEP drawing (50% probability) of  $[Ag_{17}Cu_{15}(SAdm)_{13}(Dppm)_3Cl_9]^{2+}$ . Atom colours: Cu = brown, S= yellow, P = purple, Cl = green; C = grey. H atoms are omitted.



**Figure S7.** The  $[Ag_8Cu_{12}(Dppm)_4(SAdm)_8Cl_8]^{2+}$  consists of a  $Ag_8$  structure, surrounding by a complex shell.



**Figure S8.** The  $[Ag_{17}Cu_{15}(SAdm)_{13}(Dppm)_3Cl_9]^{2+}$  consists of a icosahedral  $Ag_{13}$  core, surrounding by a complex shell.



Figure S9. The  $Ag_8$  structure in  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$  can be regarded as a combination of two  $Ag_4$  tetrahedrons.



Figure S10. The bond lengths of the  $Ag_8Cu_{12}(Dppm)_4(SAdm)_8Cl_8$  clusters.



Figure S11. The bond lengths of the  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}(Dppm)_3(SAdm)_{13}Cl_9$  clusters.



Figure S12. Energy minimum structures of  $Ag_8Cu_{12}(a)$ ,  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}(b)$  and  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}(c)$  after geometric optimization.

## **Electronic configuration**



Figure S13. Kohn-sham molecular orbital energy level diagram of  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$ . As an illustration, only the isosurface diagrams of two frontier MOs (HOMO and LUMO) are shown here, with an isosurface value of 0.02.



Figure S14. Kohn-sham molecular orbital energy level diagram of  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$ , with an isosurface value of 0.02.



Figure S15. Kohn-sham molecular orbital energy level diagram of  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$ , with an isosurface value of 0.02.



Figure S16. The hole-electron distribution of the lowest excited state allowed by transitions for  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$ ,  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$  and  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$  clusters. The green and cyan isosurfaces represent the distribution of electrons and holes, respectively. The value of the hole-electron isosurface is 0.002, and the value of the Chole and Cele isosurface is 0.0005.



Figure S17. The Faradaic efficiency for the  $CO_2$  reduction products of the series of Ag-Cu alloy nanoclusters.



Figure S18. HNMR spectra of electrolyte after 10 minutes of electrocatalytic  $CO_2$  reduction, with deuterated  $D_2O$  as the deuterated solvent and deuterated DMSO as the internal standard.



Figure S19. The EIS of three nanoclsuters catalyst.



Figure S20. A) The CV of the  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}@C$ ; B) The CV of the  $Ag_8Cu_{12}@C$ ; C) The CV of the  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}@C$ .



Figure S21. TEM of Ag<sub>20</sub>Cu<sub>10</sub> and Ag<sub>20</sub>Cu<sub>10</sub>@C; B) EDS of Ag<sub>20</sub>Cu<sub>10</sub>@C.



Figure S22. TEM of  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$  and  $Ag_8Cu_{12}@C$ ; B) EDS of  $Ag_8Cu_{12}@C$ .



Figure S23. TEM of  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$  and  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$  (B) EDS of  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$ (C).



Figure S24. XPS spectra of pure clusters, catalysts and catalysts after catalysis of Ag<sub>20</sub>Cu<sub>10</sub>.



Figure S25. XPS spectra of pure clusters, catalysts and catalysts after catalysis of Ag<sub>8</sub>Cu<sub>12</sub>.



Figure S26. XPS spextra of pure clusters, catalysts and catalysts after catalysis of Ag<sub>17</sub>Cu<sub>15</sub>.



Figure S27. The XPS data of Ag and Cu for the  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$  catalysts.



Figure S28. The XPS data of Ag and Cu for the  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$  catalysts.



Figure S29. The XPS data of Ag and Cu for the  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$  catalysts.



Figure S30. The PXRD of the  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$  as well as  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$  (a) before and after the electrocatalytic reaction



Figure S31. The PXRD of the  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$  clusters as well as  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$  @C before and after the electrocatalytic reaction.



Figure S32. The PXRD of the  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$  clusters as well as  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}@C$  before and after the electrocatalytic reaction.



Figure S33. A comparison of the steric hindrance at  $CO_2$  adsorption sites in three different Ag@Cu alloy clusters.



Figure S34. Faradaic efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub> for these three Ag-Cu alloy nanoclusters at -0.9 V vs. RHE, with and without additional illumination. Values are means, and error bars represent the standard deviation from several independent measurements ( $n \ge 3$ ).



#### Ag<sub>17</sub>Cu<sub>15</sub>(Adm)<sub>13</sub>(L<sub>1</sub>)<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>9</sub>

Figure S35. The synthesis of the [Ag<sub>8</sub>Cu<sub>12</sub>(Dppm)<sub>4</sub>(SAdm)<sub>8</sub>Cl<sub>8</sub>](SbF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and  $[Ag_{17}Cu_{15}(Dppm)_3(SAdm)_{13}Cl_9](SbF_6)_2$  nanocluster. The transformation of  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$  into  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$  and  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$  upon introducing  $NaSbF_6$  likely results from ion-induced structural rearrangement.  $SbF_6^-$  ions interact with the metal-ligand framework, destabilizing Ag20Cu10 and facilitating the formation of new, thermodynamically or kinetically favored clusters (Ag<sub>8</sub>Cu<sub>12</sub> and Ag<sub>17</sub>Cu<sub>15</sub>). This process may involve ligand removal or replacement, metal atom redistribution, or changes in the oxidation states of Ag and Cu, influenced by the coordination preferences of SbF<sub>6</sub>. And experimental results indicate that varying NaSbF<sub>6</sub> concentration, reaction temperature, solvent polarity, and reaction time does not yield a single product. Limited studies on NaSbF<sub>6</sub>-induced transformations highlight the need for further investigation into its mechanism, offering new strategies to control cluster structures.

Identification code	AgCu) <sub>30</sub>
Empirical formula	$C_{191}H_{256}Ag_{20}Cl_{10}Cu_{10}P_4S_{14}$
Formula weight	6271.96
Temperature/K	120(2)
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	P21
a/Å	21.403(2)
b/Å	20.1937(18)
c/Å	25.418(2)
α/°	90
β/°	94.635(7)
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	10949.9(17)
Z	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.902
Radiation	$CuK\alpha (\lambda = 1.54186)$
$2\theta$ range for data collection/°	11.206 to 139.512
Index ranges	$-25 \le h \le 25,  -18 \le k \le 24,  -30 \le l \le 26$
Reflections collected	55554
Independent reflections	27000 [ $R_{int} = 0.0288, R_{sigma} = 0.0241$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	27000/2895/2170
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.022
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0551, wR_2 = 0.1491$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0578, wR_2 = 0.1524$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.24/-1.44
Flack parameter	0.449(5)

Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement for  $AgCu)_{30}$ .

Identification code	AgCu) <sub>20</sub>
Empirical formula	$C_{193.6}H_{237.2}Ag_8Cl_{19.2}Cu_{12}F_{12}O_2P_8S_8Sb_2$
Formula weight	5878.04
Temperature/K	120(2)
Crystal system	orthorhombic
Space group	Pnna
a/Å	28.677(2)
b/Å	27.162(2)
c/Å	27.948(2)
α/°	90
β/°	90
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	21770(3)
Z	4
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.793
Radiation	$CuK\alpha (\lambda = 1.54186)$
$2\theta$ range for data collection/°	11.68 to 140.478
Index ranges	$-34 \le h \le 23,  -24 \le k \le 32,  -34 \le l \le 30$
Reflections collected	127801
Independent reflections	20348 [ $R_{int} = 0.0636, R_{sigma} = 0.0435$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	20348/664/1220
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.080
Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0700,  wR_2 = 0.2052$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0858, wR_2 = 0.2196$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	4.21/-1.31

Table S2. Crystal data and structure refinement for AgCu)<sub>20</sub>.

Table S3.	Crystal	data	and structure	refinement	for	AgCu)32.
-----------	---------	------	---------------	------------	-----	----------

Identification code	AgCu) <sub>32</sub>
Empirical formula	$C_{205}H_{261}Ag_{17.11}Cl_9Cu_{14.89}F_{12.06}P_6S_{13}Sb_{2.01}$
Formula weight	6912.46
Temperature/K	120(2)
Crystal system	trigonal
Space group	R-3
a/Å	26.715(4)
b/Å	26.715(4)
c/Å	68.924(9)
α/°	90
β/°	90
γ/°	120
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	42602(14)
Z	5.99994
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.617
Radiation	$CuK\alpha \ (\lambda = 1.54186)$
2θ range for data collection/°	7.656 to 129.986
Index ranges	$-31 \le h \le 23, -31 \le k \le 30, -73 \le l \le 80$
Reflections collected	76725
Independent reflections	15477 [ $R_{int} = 0.0977, R_{sigma} = 0.0955$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	15477/1127/820
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.233
Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.1507, wR_2 = 0.3664$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1839, wR_2 = 0.3850$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	4.30/-2.09

Peak	Excited	Excited	C C	Contribution	Transition
(nm)	state (S <sub>n</sub> )	energy (eV)	J	for Peak*	mode/contribution for S <sub>n</sub>
551	1	2.14	0.0616	11.74%	$H-1 \rightarrow L 48.7\%$
					$H \rightarrow L 43.7\%$
	2	2.166	0.10596	24.14%	$H \rightarrow L 44.4\%$
					$H-1 \rightarrow L 42.0\%$
	3	2.25	0.01681	24.14%	H-2 → L 88.1%
	4	2.273	0.10177	28.39%	$H \rightarrow L+1 \ 63.9\%$
					$H-5 \rightarrow L \ 12.0\%$
					$\text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+1 6.9\%}$
					$H-4 \rightarrow L 6.8\%$
	5	2.324	0.05253	12.60%	$H-3 \rightarrow L \ 61.1\%$
					$\text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+1 } 22.7\%$
	6	2.337	0.03765	8.46%	H-4 → L 35.7%
					$H-5 \rightarrow L 25.6\%$
					$H \rightarrow L+1 \ 13.2\%$
					$H-3 \rightarrow L \ 13.2\%$
	7	2.339	0.03401	7.56%	$\text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+1 } 60.1\%$
					$H-3 \rightarrow L \ 18.2\%$
					$H \rightarrow L+1 \ 10.2\%$
382	50	3.206	0.01343	5.40%	H-26 → L 72.0%
					$\text{H-20} \rightarrow \text{L+1 7.2\%}$
					$H-22 \rightarrow L 5.9\%$
	52	3.245	0.09616	40.60%	$H \rightarrow L+2 71.6\%$
					$\text{H-21} \rightarrow \text{L+1 6.1\%}$
	54	3.277	0.0173	7.08%	$\text{H-23} \rightarrow \text{L+1 41.3\%}$
					$\text{H-24} \rightarrow \text{L+1 28.6\%}$
					$\text{H-25} \rightarrow \text{L+1 8.1\%}$
					$\text{H-22} \rightarrow \text{L+1 7.3\%}$
	55	3.3	0.02779	10.72%	$\text{H-24} \rightarrow \text{L+1 42.0\%}$
					$\text{H-25} \rightarrow \text{L+1 29.7\%}$
					$\text{H-23} \rightarrow \text{L+1 6.6\%}$
					$H-9 \rightarrow L+2$ 31.7%, $H-8 \rightarrow$
					L+2 11.9%, H-42 → L 9.9%,
324*	93	3.787	0.02604	7.33%	$\text{H-41} \rightarrow \text{L 6.7\%, H-46} \rightarrow \text{L}$
					$6.1\%, \text{H-}37 \rightarrow \text{L+}1 5.8\%, \text{H-}$
					$40 \rightarrow L 5.6\%$
	95	3.802	0.02123	6.16%	H-38 → L+1 55.5%
					$\text{H-10} \rightarrow \text{L+2 33.9\%, H-12} \rightarrow$
	102	3.894	0.02519	6.48%	L+2 9.8%, H-47 $\rightarrow$ L 6.1%, H-
					$52 \rightarrow L 6.1\%$

Table S4. Attribution of absorption peaks in the computed spectrum of  $Ag_8Cu_{12}$ .

\* refers to the contribution of transition mode  $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$  to the absorption peak.

Peak	Excited	Excited	ſ	Contribution	Transition mode/contribution
(nm)	state (S <sub>n</sub> )	energy (eV)	J	for Peak*	for S <sub>n</sub>
					$H-3 \rightarrow L+1$ 30.8%, $H-2 \rightarrow L+3$
186	15	2.46	0.0681	7.13%	$30.6\%, \text{H-2} \rightarrow \text{L+2} 6.9\%, \text{H-3} \rightarrow$
480	15	2.40	0.0081	7.1370	L+2 6.8%, H-1 → L+4 6.5%, H
					→ L+4 5.3%
					$\text{H-5} \rightarrow \text{L 56.0\%, H-2} \rightarrow \text{L 6.0\%,}$
	17	2.484	0.12093	14.63%	$\text{H-4} \rightarrow \text{L+2 5.5\%, H-4} \rightarrow \text{L+1}$
					5.3%
					$\text{H-5} \rightarrow \text{L}$ 29.1%, $\text{H-4} \rightarrow \text{L+1}$
	18	2.501	0.17217	22.10%	10.6%, H-2 $\rightarrow$ L 9.6%, H-4 $\rightarrow$
					L+2 6.8%
					$H-4 \rightarrow L+2$ 39.2%, $H-4 \rightarrow L+1$
	19	2.522	0.10913	14.76%	$22.3\%, \text{H-6} \rightarrow \text{L } 6.3\%, \text{H-2} \rightarrow \text{L}$
					5.6%
	20	2.560	0.04495	6 160/	$\text{H-6} \rightarrow \text{L 64.5\%},$
	20	2.309	0.04465	0.1076	$H-5 \rightarrow L+1 7.4\%$
					$\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}{+}4 \ 15.3\%,  \mathrm{H}{-}1 \rightarrow \mathrm{L}{+}4$
	29	2.71	0.0821	5.21%	11.3%, H-3 $\rightarrow$ L+3 8.9%, H-7 $\rightarrow$
					L+1 7.3%, H-11 → L 6.5%
					$\text{H-7} \rightarrow \text{L+3 25.9\%, H-10} \rightarrow \text{L+2}$
406	47	2.966	0.03588	5.49%	$10.3\%, \text{H-2} \rightarrow \text{L+4} 9.6\%, \text{H-13}$
					$\rightarrow$ L 7.5%, H-5 $\rightarrow$ L+3 5.1%
					$\text{H-2} \rightarrow \text{L+4 20.4\%, H-14} \rightarrow \text{L}$
	48	2.97	0.04559	7.15%	19.9%, H-6 $\rightarrow$ L+3 6.7%, H-7 $\rightarrow$
					L+3 5.2%, H-10 → L+2 5.0%
					$\text{H-6} \rightarrow \text{L+3 15.5\%, H-15} \rightarrow \text{L}$
					13.2%, H-7 → L+3 10.3%, H-2
	51	2.999	0.05034	8.92%	$\rightarrow$ L+4 8.6%, H-12 $\rightarrow$ L+1 6.1%,
					$\text{H-16} \rightarrow \text{L 5.7\%, H-10} \rightarrow \text{L+2}$
					5.5%
					$\text{H-12} \rightarrow \text{L+2 10.7\%, H-13} \rightarrow \text{L+1}$
	57	3.068	0.03819	7.38%	$10.3\%, \text{H-15} \rightarrow \text{L+2} 9.8\%, \text{H-15}$
					$\rightarrow$ L+1 8.7%, H-20 $\rightarrow$ L 5.9%
					$\text{H-19} \rightarrow \text{L+3 24.0\%, H-30} \rightarrow \text{L}$
357	107	3.439	0.05654	5.77%	$17.1\%, \text{H-}21 \rightarrow \text{L+}3 \ 9.8\%, \text{H-}20$
					$\rightarrow$ L+2 6.3%, H-17 $\rightarrow$ L+3 5.2%
					$H-19 \rightarrow L+3 \ 10.4\%, H-24 \rightarrow L+1$
					$9.8\%, \text{H-}25 \rightarrow \text{L+}1 \ 8.8\%, \text{H-}16$
	110	3.463	0.14526	15.30%	$\rightarrow$ L+3 7.2%, H $\rightarrow$ L+5 5.5%, H-
					$30 \rightarrow L 5.4\%, H-1 \rightarrow L+5 5.2\%,$
					$\text{H-20} \rightarrow \text{L+2 5.0\%}$

Table S5. Attribution of absorption peaks in the computed spectrum of  $Ag_{20}Cu_{10}$ .

\* refers to the contribution of transition mode  $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$  to the absorption peak.

Peak	Excited	Excited	C	Contribution	Transition mode/contribution
(nm)	state (S <sub>n</sub> )	energy (eV)	J	for Peak*	for S <sub>n</sub>
					$\text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+1 28.3\%, H-2} \rightarrow \text{L}$
625	1	1 866	0.02307	6 03%	$17.7\%, \text{H-4} \rightarrow \text{L+1}\ 15.0\%, \text{H}$
025	4	1.800	0.02307	0.9370	$\rightarrow$ L 10.3%, H-1 $\rightarrow$ L 7.9%, H
					$\rightarrow$ L+2 5.0%
					$\text{H-2} \rightarrow \text{L+1 27.5\%, H-2} \rightarrow \text{L}$
	5	1.878	0.02733	8.93%	$20.2\%, \text{H-}3 \rightarrow \text{L}\ 18.3\%, \text{H} \rightarrow \text{L}$
					14.2%
					$\text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+1 35.3\%, H-2} \rightarrow \text{L}$
	6	1.888	0.03503	12.16%	$23.2\%, \text{H-3} \rightarrow \text{L } 9.1\%, \text{H} \rightarrow$
					L+1 7.6%, H-3 $\rightarrow$ L+1 5.3%
	8	1 986	0.05001	23.07%	$\text{H-4} \rightarrow \text{L 36.1\%, H-3} \rightarrow \text{L+1}$
		1.900	0.00001	23.0770	$26.5\%, \text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+1} \ 6.7\%$
					$\text{H-3} \rightarrow \text{L 27.9\%, H-4} \rightarrow \text{L+1}$
	9	1.997	0.06928	31.80%	$27.8\%, H \rightarrow L 7.1\%, H-3 \rightarrow$
					L+1 6.6%, H $\rightarrow$ L+1 6.1%
					$\text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+3 22.5\%, H} \rightarrow \text{L+5}$
451	27	2.588	0.08123	5.41%	$15.6\%, \text{H-8} \rightarrow \text{L+1} \ 13.6\%, \text{H-2}$
					$\rightarrow$ L+4 8.5%, H $\rightarrow$ L+2 6.7%,
					$H \rightarrow L+3 \ 6.6\%$
					$\text{H-2} \rightarrow \text{L+3 24.3\%, H-4} \rightarrow \text{L+3}$
	28	2.619	0.06645	5.59%	$21.7\%, \text{H-1} \rightarrow \text{L+4} \ 18.5\%, \text{H-8}$
					$\rightarrow$ L 13.0%
	•	a (a -			$H-10 \rightarrow L \ 21.4\%, \ H-2 \rightarrow L+4$
	30	2.635	0.05595	5.57%	$18.0\%, H \rightarrow L+4 \ 8.0\%, H-13$
					$\rightarrow$ L 7.5%, H-12 $\rightarrow$ L+1 5.3%
	33	2.662	0.05873	6.90%	$H-4 \rightarrow L+3 \ 55.1\%, H-1 \rightarrow L+4$
					/.9%
	15	2.016	0 20127	26 100/	$H \rightarrow L+3 13.1\%, H \rightarrow L+2$
	43	2.810	0.20137	20.1076	$0.5\%, \Pi - 15 \rightarrow L^{+1} 5.6\%, \Pi - 15$
					$ \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow L + 1 \ 3.8\% \\ H_{-} 15 \rightarrow L + 2 \ 10 \ 8\% \\ H_{-} 2 \rightarrow \end{array} $
					$I + 6.89\% H - 10 \rightarrow I + 4.73\%$
353	109	3.418	0.05569	5.37%	$H-29 \rightarrow I_{*}7.0\% H-11 \rightarrow I+3$
					5.6%
					$H-4 \rightarrow L+6 33.0\% H-32 \rightarrow L$
	120	3.481	0.05661	6.95%	12.5%
					H-13 → L+3 25.8%. H-4 →
					L+6 11.7%, H-3 → L+6 8.7%.
	122	3.487	0.04112	5.10%	H-31 → L+1 7.1%, H-11 →
					L+3 6.3%

Table S6. Attribution of absorption peaks in the computed spectrum of  $Ag_{17}Cu_{15}$ .

\* refers to the contribution of transition mode  $S_0 \rightarrow S_n$  to the absorption peak.

AgCu) <sub>30</sub> @C	FE <sub>CO</sub>	$\overline{FE_{H2}}$	FE <sub>total</sub>
-0.7V	0	0	0
-0.8V	54.85	41.03	95.88
-0.9V	70.80	26.09	96.17
-1.0V	58.74	37.06	95.80
-1.1V	54.09	44.05	98.14
AgCu) <sub>20</sub> @C	$\overline{FE_{CO}}$	$\overline{FE}_{H2}$	FE <sub>total</sub>
-0.7V	16.27	0	16.27
-0.8V	40.54	0	40.54
-0.9V	52.70	42.90	95.60
-1.0V	43.19	54.10	97.29
-1.1V	38.05	58.19	96.24
AgCu) <sub>32</sub> @C	FE <sub>CO</sub>	$\overline{FE_{H2}}$	FE <sub>total</sub>
-0.7V	14.22	0	14.22
-0.8V	34.49	65.12	99.61
-0.9V	55.1	43.51	98.61
-1.0V	59.83	39.03	98.86
-1.1V	41.21	58.86	100.07

Table S7. Summary of Faradaic Efficiency Data for Electrocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction.