## **Supporting Information:**

### Understanding the Decomposition Process of Pt1Ag24(SPhCl2)18

#### Nanocluster at Atomic Level

Kefan Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Along Ma,<sup>a,b</sup> Yuansheng Li,<sup>a</sup> Jiawei Wang,<sup>a</sup> Zhengmao Yin,<sup>\*a</sup> and Shuxin Wang<sup>\*a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Materials Science and Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Optic-electric Sensing and Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, MOE, Shandong Key Laboratory of Biochemical Analysis, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266042, China.

\*Corresponding authors. E-mail: <u>shuxin\_wang@qust.edu.cn</u> (Shuxin Wang); <u>yzm198752@163.com</u> (Zhengmao Yin).

Notes: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## **Table of Contents**

#### **Section 1. Supporting Figures**

Fig. S1 The color change of the solution during the conversion from  $Pt_1Ag_{24}(SPhCl_2)_{18}$  to  $Pt_1Ag_4(SR)_8$ .

Fig. S2 The optical microscopic image of the single crystals of the NC-I, NC-II and Pt<sub>1</sub>Ag<sub>4</sub>.

Fig. S3 The overall structure of the NC-I, NC-II nanoclusters and Pt<sub>1</sub>Ag<sub>4</sub> complex.

Fig. S4 The UV-vis absorption spectra of the NC-I and NC-II crystals in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.

Fig. S5 The UV-vis absorption spectrum of the  $Pt_1Ag_4$  crystals in  $CH_2Cl_2$ .

Fig. S6 X-ray structures of the two  $Pt_1Ag_4$  enantiomers viewed from the top.

Fig. S7 A unit cell in the NC-I and NC-II single crystals.

Fig. S8 Packing mode of NC-I and NC-II in the crystals shown.

#### Section 2. Supporting Tables

Table S1. The crystal structure parameters for NC-I.

Table S2. The crystal structure parameters for NC-II.

Table S3. The crystal structure parameters for Pt<sub>1</sub>Ag<sub>4</sub>.

Table S4. The occupancy ratio of 2,4-SPhCl<sub>2</sub> and 4-SPhBr units in NC-I, NC-II and Pt<sub>1</sub>Ag<sub>4</sub>.

Table S5. S-Ag bond lengths associated with the ligand in NC-I and NC-II.

## **Section 1. Supporting Figures**



Fig. S1 The color change of the solution during the conversion from  $Pt_1Ag_{24}(SPhCl_2)_{18}$  to  $Pt_1Ag_4(SR)_8$ .



Fig. S2 The optical microscopic image of the single crystals of the NC-I, NC-II and  $Pt_1Ag_4$ . (a) NC-I; (b) NC-II; (c)  $Pt_1Ag_4$ .



Fig. S3 The overall structure of the NC-I, NC-II nanoclusters and  $Pt_1Ag_4$  complex. (a) NC-I; (b) NC-II; (c)  $Pt_1Ag_4$ . Color labels: bright green = Pt; pink = Ag; yellow = S; blue = Cl; brown = Br; grey = C; white = H.



Fig. S4 The UV-vis absorption spectra of the NC-I and NC-II crystals in  $CH_2Cl_2$ . (a) NC-I; (b) NC-II.



Fig. S5 The UV-vis absorption spectrum of the  $Pt_1Ag_4$  crystals in  $CH_2Cl_2$ .



Fig. S6 X-ray structures of the two  $Pt_1Ag_4$  enantiomers viewed from the top. All H, C, Br and Cl atoms are omitted for clarity. Color labels: bright green = Pt; pink = Ag; yellow = S; grey = C.



**Fig. S7** A unit cell in the NC-I and NC-II single crystals. (a) NC-I; (b) NC-II. All H atoms, C atoms, Br atoms, Cl atoms and  $PPh_{4^+}$  are omitted for clarity. Color labels: bright green = Pt; pink = Ag; red = 4-SPhBr; turquois = 2,4-SPhCl<sub>2</sub> and 4-SPhBr.



**Fig. S8** Packing mode of **NC-I** and **NC-II** in the crystals shown. (a) and (d) along the a axis; (b) and (e) along the b axis; (c) and (f) along the c axis. All H atoms, C atoms and  $PPh_4^+$  are omitted for clarity. The cluster molecules arranged in different directions show different colors.

# Section 2. Supporting Tables

Empirical formula	$C_{184}H_{120.67}Ag_{24}Br_{12.49}Cl_{15.03}P_{2.67}PtS_{20}$
Formula weight	7369.73
Temperature/K	120
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1
a/Å	26.638
b/Å	29.956
c/Å	31.053
α/°	90.09
β/°	90.67
γ/°	90.79
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	24739.4
Z	3
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.484
µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	16.342
F(000)	10449.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.11 imes 0.08 imes 0.07
Radiation	Cu Ka ( $\lambda = 1.54186$ )
$2\Theta$ range for data collection/°	6.638 to 139.518
Index ranges	$-32 \le h \le 29, -36 \le k \le 19, -33 \le l \le 37$
Reflections collected	165059
Independent reflections	90081 [ $R_{int} = 0.0487$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.0557$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	90081/3301/3334
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.041
Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0607, wR_2 = 0.1650$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0909, wR_2 = 0.1850$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.49/-2.32

Table S1. The crystal structure parameters for NC-I.

Empirical formula	$C_{216}H_{134}Ag_{24}Br_{17.72}Cl_{4.56}P_4PtS_{20}$
Formula weight	7855.93
Temperature/K	120.15
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	C2/c
a/Å	32.937
b/Å	28.819
c/Å	28.779
α/°	90
β/°	93.52
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	27265.8
Ζ	4
$ ho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.914
µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	19.837
F(000)	14855.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.1 imes 0.08 imes 0.06
Radiation	Cu Ka ( $\lambda = 1.54186$ )
$2\Theta$ range for data collection/°	8.604 to 139.508
Index ranges	$-39 \le h \le 38, -31 \le k \le 35, -14 \le l \le 34$
Reflections collected	91043
Independent reflections	25046 [ $R_{int} = 0.0827$ , $R_{sigma} = 0.0770$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	25046/181/1342
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	0.946
Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0561, wR_2 = 0.1403$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0851, wR_2 = 0.1526$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	1.85/-1.82

Table S2. The crystal structure parameters for NC-II.

Empirical formula	$C_{96}H_{64}Ag_4Br_{3.69}Cl_{8.62}P_2PtS_8$
Formula weight	2762.91
Temperature/K	170
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> -1
a/Å	17.7833(12)
b/Å	17.8696(12)
c/Å	18.2588(12)
α/°	110.766(2)
β/°	112.206(2)
γ/°	90.922(2)
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	4946.9(6)
Ζ	2
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	1.855
µ/mm <sup>-1</sup>	4.156
F(000)	2679.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.12  imes 0.11  imes 0.09
Radiation	MoKα ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
20 range for data collection/°	3.82 to 49.998
Index ranges	$-21 \le h \le 19, -21 \le k \le 19, 0 \le l \le 21$
Reflections collected	17189
Independent reflections	17189 [ $R_{sigma} = 0.0547$ ]
Data/restraints/parameters	17189/1658/952
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.058
Final R indexes [I>=2σ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0994, wR_2 = 0.2393$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.1436, wR_2 = 0.2635$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	4.86/-1.72

Table S3. The crystal structure parameters for  $Pt_1Ag_4$ .

Nanoclusters	Sites	2,4-SPhCl <sub>2</sub> (occupancy ratio)	4-SPhBr (occupancy ratio)
NC-I	ligand-1	50%	50%
NC-II	ligand-1	14%	86%
NC-I	ligand-2	42%	58%
NC-II	ligand-2	24%	76%
NC-I	ligand-3	79%	21%
NC-II	ligand-3	25%	75%
NC-I	ligand-4	71.6%	28.4%
NC-II	ligand-4	0%	100%
NC-I	ligand-5	60.7%	39.3%
NC-II	ligand-5	22%	78%
NC-I	ligand-6	62.3%	37.7%
NC-II	ligand-6	29%	71%
NC-I	ligand-7	0%	100%
NC-II	ligand-7	0%	100%
NC-I	ligand-8	0%	100%
NC-II	ligand-8	0%	100%
NC-I	ligand-9	0%	100%
NC-II	ligand-9	0%	100%
NC-I	ligand-10	10%	90%
NC-II	ligand-10	0%	100%
Pt <sub>1</sub> Ag <sub>4</sub>	$\land$	46%	54%
		47%	53%
		67%	33%
		45%	55%
		55%	45%
		59%	41%
		53%	47%
		59%	41%

**Table S4.** The occupancy ratio of 2,4-SPhCl<sub>2</sub> and 4-SPhBr units in NC-I, NC-II and  $Pt_1Ag_4$ . (Notably, the occupancy ratios of NC-I are the average occupancy ratios among the three cluster molecules in the single crystal).

S-Ag Bonds in NC-I	Average bond lengths (Å) in NC-I	S-Ag Bonds in NC-II	bond lengths (Å) in NC-II	
S <sub>ligand-1</sub> -Ag	2.433	S <sub>ligand-1</sub> -Ag	2.486	
S <sub>ligand-1</sub> -Ag	2.516	S <sub>ligand-1</sub> -Ag	2.411	
S <sub>ligand-2</sub> -Ag	2.434	S <sub>ligand-2</sub> -Ag	2.445	
S <sub>ligand-2</sub> -Ag	2.499	S <sub>ligand-2</sub> -Ag	2.488	
S <sub>ligand-3</sub> -Ag	2.436	S <sub>ligand-3</sub> -Ag	2.438	
S <sub>ligand-3</sub> -Ag	2.491	S <sub>ligand-3</sub> -Ag	2.489	
S <sub>ligand-4</sub> -Ag	2.481	S <sub>ligand-4</sub> -Ag	2.482	
S <sub>ligand-4</sub> -Ag	2.45	S <sub>ligand-4</sub> -Ag	2.444	
S <sub>ligand-5</sub> -Ag	2.458	S <sub>ligand-5</sub> -Ag	2.459	
S <sub>ligand-5</sub> -Ag	2.454	S <sub>ligand-5</sub> -Ag	2.468	
S <sub>ligand-6</sub> -Ag	2.448	S <sub>ligand-6</sub> -Ag	2.465	
S <sub>ligand-6</sub> -Ag	2.446	S <sub>ligand-6</sub> -Ag	2.459	
S <sub>ligand-7</sub> -Ag	2.489	S <sub>ligand-7</sub> -Ag	2.488	
S <sub>ligand-7</sub> -Ag	2.494	S <sub>ligand-7</sub> -Ag	2.490	
S <sub>ligand-7</sub> -Ag	2.567	S <sub>ligand-7</sub> -Ag	2.579	
S <sub>ligand-8</sub> -Ag	2.477	S <sub>ligand-8</sub> -Ag	2.499	
S <sub>ligand-8</sub> -Ag	2.470	S <sub>ligand-8</sub> -Ag	2.469	
S <sub>ligand-8</sub> -Ag	2.629	S <sub>ligand-8</sub> -Ag	2.604	
S <sub>ligand-9</sub> -Ag	2.515	S <sub>ligand-9</sub> -Ag	2.539	
S <sub>ligand-9</sub> -Ag	2.483	S <sub>ligand-9</sub> -Ag	2.467	
S <sub>ligand-9</sub> -Ag	2.596	S <sub>ligand-9</sub> -Ag	2.612	
S <sub>ligand-10</sub> -Ag	2.552	S <sub>ligand-10</sub> -Ag	2.542	
S <sub>ligand-10</sub> -Ag	2.552	S <sub>ligand-10</sub> -Ag	2.557	
S <sub>ligand-10</sub> -Ag	2.505	S <sub>ligand-10</sub> -Ag	2.543	

**Table S5.** S-Ag bond lengths associated with the ligand in **NC-I** and **NC-II**. (Notably, the bond lengths of **NC-I** are the average bond lengths among the three cluster molecules in the single crystal).