Supplementary Material for

# Synergistic removal mechanism of tetracycline by ethylenediamine

modified magnetic chitosan based Fenton-like catalyst

## Supplementary data

Text S1. Materials

Text S2. Characterization methods

Text S3. Batch experiment

Text S4. Functional theory calculation analysis

Fig. S1. Preparation of modified magnetic chitosan

Fig. S2. LC-MS spectra of intermediate products

Fig. S3. Tetracycline molecular atomic labeling

Table S1. Hirshfeld charges and calculated f<sup>-</sup>, f<sup>+</sup> and f0 of tetracycline .

 Table S2. The structural information of the possible intermediates products in EMMCS/PS-TC

 system.

**Table S3.** Toxicity of tetracycline and its photodegradation intermediates determined by Toxicity

 Estimation Software Tool (TEST).

## Text S1 Materials

Chitosan (85%-90%deacetylated) was purchased from Chemical Reagents Co.,Ltd. of China Pharmaceutical Group. Glutaraldehyde(25%) was from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Epichlorohydrin(AR) and TC( $\geq$ 99%) were supplied by Aladdin Reagent (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O ,FeSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>COOH, NaOH as well as ethylenediamine were of analytical grade and obtained from Beijing Chemical Works.

### Text S2 Characterization methods

Morphology and structure of modified magnetic chitosan beads (EMMCS) were observed by emission scanning electron microscopy (SU-8010, Hitachi, Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (HT7700, Japan Hi-Tech). Functional groups of EMMCS was analyzed by Fourier transflorm infrared(FTIR) spectrophotometry (VERTEX70,Bruker,Germany) in the range of 500-4000cm<sup>-1</sup>.Vibrating sample magnetometer(VSM)( BHV-50HTI, Japan Riken Electronics Co., Ltd.) was taken to measure magntic property of adsorbent; x-ray diffraction(XRD) patterns were recorded using x-ray diffractometer (D8 Advance,Bruker,Germany)with 2  $\theta$  of 10°-90°.The thermal stability was tested by Thermogravimetric differential thermal-mass spectrometry on-line instrument in the TGA experiment(TG/DTA6300/ Seiko,Japan).

### Text S3 Batch experiment

In the effect of PS concentration experiment, 15mg catalyst was added into 20mL TC solution with initial concentration of 50mg/L shaking well for several hours at different PS concentration (1-40mmol/L). The initial concentration of TC is in the range of 20-200mg/L and the catalyst dosage is 5mg,10mg,15mg,20mg,respectively. In the influence of solution pH,15mg catalysts were added into TC solution with PS concentration of 20mmol/L and the pH was adjusted to 3, 5, 6.5 and 8, respectively. After different time intervals, all the samples were filtered by 0.45 um membranes and determined by Uv-vis spectrophotometer at 358 nm. The removal rate  $\eta_t$  (%) was calculated according to equation:

$$\eta_t = \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \times 100\%$$
(1)

Where  $C_0$  (mg/L) is initial concentration of TC,  $C_t$  (mg/L) is the concentration of TC at any time t.

Text S4 Functional theory calculation analysis

The Gaussian 16 software was used to carry out geometry optimization and single-point energy at the B3LYP/6-311 + G(d, p) level of theory. Electron spin densities based on Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis were used to measure the degree of unpaired spin and charge at different sites in the RhB molecule and radical. The Fukui index was used to explain the regioselectivity for reactive oxidative species (ROS) attacks on the RhB molecule. Particularly, the Fukui function was crucial to DFT, which was frequently used to forecast the reactive sites of electrophilic, nucleophilic, and radical attacks. Fukui function was described as:

$$f(r) = \left\lfloor \frac{\partial \rho(r)}{\partial N} \right\rfloor_{v} \qquad \qquad \forall \text{MERGEFORMAT} (1)$$

where  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$  was the electron density at a point r in space, N was the electron number in the present system, the constant term v in the partial derivative was external potential. The amount of electron density distribution around an atom was represented by the atomic population number in the condensed Fukui function (CFF). One method for calculating the Fukui index was as follows:

Nucleophilic attack: 
$$f_k^+ = q_N^k - q_{N+1}^k$$
 \\* MERGEFORMAT (2)

Electrophilic attack : 
$$f_k^- = q_{N-1}^k - q_N^k$$
 \\* MERGEFORMAT (3)

Radical attack: 
$$f_k^0 = \frac{(q_{N-1}^k - q_{N+1}^k)}{2}$$
 \\* MERGEFORMAT (4)

where  $q_k$  was the atom charge of atom k at corresponding state and the values of Fukui index of the reactive sites were usually larger than other regions.



Fig. S1. Preparation of modified magnetic chitosan





Fig. S2 (a) LC-MS spectra of intermediate products (b) 10min (c) 2h.



Fig. S3. Tetracycline molecular atomic labeling

Atom	Ν	N-1	N+1	f-	f0	f+
1(C)	-0.0275205	-0.0085272	-0.0434532	0.0189933	0.01746304	0.01593277
2(C)	-0.066003	-0.0422778	-0.097928	0.02372521	0.02782512	0.03192503
3(C)	0.09262931	0.11756706	0.06775358	0.02493775	0.02490674	0.02487573
4(O)	-0.1626767	-0.1264937	-0.1812622	0.03618305	0.02738428	0.0185855
5(C)	-0.0263733	0.00179939	-0.0823459	0.02817269	0.04207266	0.05597263
6(H)	0.04055567	0.05783642	0.0176824	0.01728075	0.02007701	0.02287327
7(H)	0.17662513	0.19464476	0.15851753	0.01801963	0.01806362	0.0181076
8(H)	0.04743944	0.06578739	0.01820498	0.01834795	0.02379121	0.02923446
9(C)	0.01139523	0.01676207	-0.0146964	0.00536684	0.01572924	0.02609163
10(C)	-0.0532653	-0.0207678	-0.0764041	0.03249758	0.02781815	0.02313871
11(H)	0.03930252	0.05430786	0.02221618	0.01500534	0.01604584	0.01708634
12(C)	-0.0622178	-0.0287956	-0.0758442	0.03342224	0.02352431	0.01362638
13(C)	-0.0249827	-0.0203287	-0.0272259	0.00465402	0.0034486	0.00224318
14(C)	-0.047906	-0.0446139	-0.05157	0.00329214	0.00347804	0.00366393
15(C)	-0.0203418	-0.0154432	-0.0223289	0.00489857	0.00344287	0.00198716
16(C)	0.06856246	0.07147048	0.06093707	0.00290802	0.00526671	0.00762539
17(C)	0.0969892	0.11368417	0.05961054	0.01669497	0.02703682	0.03737866
18(C)	0.02777887	0.03852101	0.02183735	0.01074214	0.00834183	0.00594152
19(C)	0.1297174	0.13246881	0.08227781	0.00275141	0.0250955	0.04743959
20(C)	-0.0819613	-0.0622735	-0.0974332	0.01968779	0.01757983	0.01547187
21(C)	0.12739542	0.13443482	0.09374104	0.0070394	0.02034689	0.03365438
22(C)	0.135434	0.14345401	0.07124948	0.00802001	0.03610227	0.06418452
23(C)	0.08849765	0.09223114	0.08630925	0.00373349	0.00296095	0.0021884

Table S1. Hirshfeld charges and calculated f<sup>-</sup>, f<sup>+</sup> and f0 of tetracycline

24(O)	-0.1609629	-0.1261567	-0.1948567	0.03480626	0.03435004	0.03389382
25(C)	0.16125675	0.1711576	0.15083716	0.00990085	0.01016022	0.01041959
26(N)	-0.1306341	-0.1135241	-0.1457334	0.01710997	0.01610464	0.0150993
27(O)	-0.1448699	-0.135956	-0.1840213	0.00891394	0.02403263	0.03915132
28(O)	-0.223413	-0.1991877	-0.2936434	0.02422533	0.04722783	0.07023033
29(O)	-0.2372861	-0.2081533	-0.2804634	0.02913277	0.03615504	0.0431773
30(O)	-0.2762685	-0.2484052	-0.3023396	0.02786328	0.02696717	0.02607106
31(O)	-0.1983496	-0.1891109	-0.2195437	0.00923875	0.01521642	0.02119408
32(H)	0.03633268	0.04243645	0.02665639	0.00610377	0.00789003	0.00967629
33(N)	-0.0881158	0.03163056	-0.0920413	0.11974638	0.06183594	0.0039255
34(H)	0.02818504	0.03902232	0.01864446	0.01083728	0.01018893	0.00954058
35(C)	-0.0918929	-0.0867285	-0.0982723	0.0051644	0.00577189	0.00637938
36(O)	-0.2243675	-0.215422	-0.231475	0.0089455	0.00802651	0.00710751
37(C)	-0.0445716	-0.0171611	-0.0507571	0.02741049	0.01679799	0.00618548
38(C)	-0.0535164	-0.027541	-0.0577751	0.02597545	0.01511708	0.00425871
39(H)	0.02144944	0.02826681	0.01703188	0.00681737	0.00561747	0.00441756
40(H)	0.03302803	0.04037227	0.01978855	0.00734424	0.01029186	0.01323948
41(H)	0.04078224	0.05257139	0.02637158	0.01178915	0.01309991	0.01441066
42(H)	0.13237444	0.14337366	0.11914821	0.01099922	0.01211273	0.01322623
43(H)	0.1357307	0.15143954	0.11865307	0.01570884	0.01639324	0.01707763
44(H)	0.10698437	0.1141283	0.10051856	0.00714393	0.00680487	0.00646581
45(H)	0.12651543	0.13514551	0.11487357	0.00863008	0.01013597	0.01164186
46(H)	0.14987527	0.16040112	0.13882971	0.01052585	0.01078571	0.01104556
47(H)	0.03492406	0.04566574	0.02396156	0.01074168	0.01085209	0.0109625
48(H)	0.03285899	0.03850019	0.0245935	0.0056412	0.00695335	0.00826549
49(H)	0.03009258	0.03553897	0.02103317	0.00544639	0.0072529	0.00905941

50(H)	0.14684424	0.15187144	0.13902514	0.0050272	0.00642315	0.0078191
51(H)	0.03178184	0.05879983	0.01933014	0.02701799	0.01973485	0.0124517
52(H)	0.02960883	0.05202368	0.02343843	0.02241485	0.01429263	0.0061704
53(H)	0.01769438	0.05821366	0.00988629	0.04051928	0.02416369	0.00780809
54(H)	0.03058741	0.05687069	0.01743343	0.02628328	0.01971863	0.01315398
55(H)	0.02405944	0.04001823	0.02362126	0.01595879	0.00819849	0.00043818
56(H)	0.01420846	0.05445043	0.00740099	0.04024197	0.02352472	0.00680747

Reaction time	Compoun ds	Formula	m/z	Proposed structure
0	TC	$C_{22}H_{24}N_2O_8$	445	$\begin{array}{c} H_3C \\ H_$
10min	B1	$C_{21}H_{22}N_2O_8$	431	$\begin{array}{c} OH \\ CH_3 \\ HN \\ OH \\ OH \\ OH \\ OH \\ OH \\ OH \\ OH$
10min	B2	$C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_{10}$	475	OH OH OH OH OH OH
10min	B4	$C_{19}H_{19}N_1O_{14}$	498	$HO \longrightarrow OH CH_3 OH $
10min	B5	$C_{19}H_{20}N_2O_{12}$	475	$HO \qquad OH \qquad$
10min	B6	$C_{22}H_{22}N_2O_7$	427	$\begin{array}{c} H_3C \\ H_$
10min	B8	$C_{19}H_{15}O_{13}$	453	HO CH <sub>3</sub> OH OH CH <sub>3</sub> OH OH OH OH OH OH OH
10min	В9	$C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_{10}$	391	$O + CH_3 + OH_2 OH_1 OH_1 OH_1 OH_1 OH_1 OH_1 OH_1 OH_1$
10min	B10	$C_{20}H_{14}N_1O_7$	382	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> OH O OH OH O
10min	B11	$C_{13}H_{18}N_2O_6$	301	H <sub>3</sub> C <sub>N</sub> , CH <sub>3</sub> HO HOHON

 Table S2. The structural information of the possible intermediates products in EMMCS/PS-TC

 system obtained from LC-MS

10min	B12	$C_{15}H_{12}O_{11}$	369	
12h	B3	$C_{21}H_{23}N_1O_7$	402	OH CH3 OH OH OH OH OH OH OH
12h	Β7	$C_{19}H_{19}N_1O_7$	374	OH OH CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> OH OH OH OH
12h	B13	$C_{15}H_{10}O_{10}$	351	
12h	B14	$C_{19}H_{16}N_1O_5$	340	CH <sub>3</sub> NH <sub>2</sub> OH OHOHO
12h	B15	$C_{12}H_{19}N_1O_6$	274	H <sub>3</sub> C <sub>N</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> OH OH OH OH

Products m/z	,	Fathead mimmow LC <sub>50</sub> (96 hr) mg/L	Daphnia minnow LC <sub>50</sub> (48 hr) mg/L	Oral rat LD <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg)	<b>Developmental Toxicity</b>		Mutagenicity	
	m/z	Predicted value	Predicted value	Predicted value	Developmental Toxicity value	Developmental Toxicity result	Mutagenicity value	Mutagenicity result
TC	445	0.2500	5.44	1524.04	0.86	Developmental toxicant	0.6	Mutagenicity Positive
$\mathbf{B}_1$	431	0.2500	6.99	1530.04	0.85	Developmental toxicant	0.65	Mutagenicity Positive
$B_2$	475	1.0100	60.54	1485.97	0.85	Developmental toxicant	0.7	Mutagenicity Positive
$B_4$	498	N/A	320.08	3099.29	0.52	Developmental toxicant	0.63	Mutagenicity Positive
$B_5$	475	N/A	81.03	1675.92	0.65	Developmental toxicant	0.6	Mutagenicity Positive
$B_6$	427	0.2800	1.26	1942.14	0.92	Developmental toxicant	N/A	N/A
$\mathbf{B}_8$	453	0.0231	617.1	3222.48	0.67	Developmental toxicant	0.64	Mutagenicity Positive
<b>B</b> <sub>9</sub>	391	0.0191	132.13	1242.84	0.84	Developmental toxicant	0.59	Mutagenicity Positive
B <sub>10</sub>	382	0.2800	4.17	2916.47	0.96	Developmental toxicant	N/A	N/A
<b>B</b> <sub>11</sub>	301	39.4500	24.96	N/A	0.8	Developmental toxicant	N/A	N/A

Table S3. Toxicity of tetracycline and its photodegradation intermediates determined by Toxicity Estimation Software Tool (TEST).

<b>B</b>	360	0.0242	1000 42	458.55	0.72	Developmental	0.33	Mutagenicity
$D_{12}$	309	0.0242	0.0242	430.35	0.72	toxicant	0.55	Negative
P	402	2 2700	8.67	1320.07	0.77	Developmental	0.72	Mutagenicity
<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	402	5.5700			0.77	toxicant		Positive
P	374	1 1 ( 0 0	5.00	1321.39	0.79	Developmental	0.78	Mutagenicity
$\mathbf{D}_7$	574	1.1000	5.62			toxicant	0.78	Positive
D	251	0.0206	201.62	956 49	0.78	Developmental	0.33	Mutagenicity
<b>D</b> <sub>13</sub>	551	0.0370	501.05	050.40		toxicant		Negative
D	340	0.1200	2.1	1160.16	0.88	Developmental	0.67	Mutagenicity
$\mathbf{D}_{14}$	540	0.1300	2.1			toxicant		Negative
<b>B</b>	D 274	507.5300	53.45	1958.34	0.63	Developmental	0.37	Mutagenicity
<b>D</b> <sub>15</sub>	274					toxicant		Negative
B.	200	2 0000	10.17	952.02	0.88	Developmental	0.66	Mutagenicity
<b>D</b> <sub>16</sub>	299	2.0000	10.17	952.02	0.00	toxicant	0.00	Positive
B.,	- 246	3.6800	84 14	995.5	0.85	Developmental	0.44	Mutagenicity
DI	240		07.17			toxicant		Negative
<b>B</b>	222	20 6000	12 /0	1370 56	0.89	Developmental	0.12	Mutagenicity
<b>D</b> <sub>18</sub>		29.0900	12.49	1370.30	0.89	toxicant	0.12	Negative
B	173	2 4200	10.59	806.75	0.62	Developmental	0.4	Mutagenicity
<b>D</b> 19	<b>D</b> <sub>19</sub> 1/3	2.4200	10.39	800.75	0.02	toxicant	0.4	Negative
Bas	227	114 4600	126 53	N/A	0.72	Developmental	0.37	Mutagenicity
$D_{20}$	$\mathbf{D}_{20}$ $22/$	114.4000	120.35	11/21	0.72	toxicant	0.37	Negative
Ba	219	105 2000	157.4	942 43	0.43	Developmental	0.21	Mutagenicity
D <sub>21</sub> 219	103.2000	105.2000 157.4	742.43	0.43	NON-toxicant	0.21	Negative	

\*The green, blue, pink and red fillings in the table indicate not harmful, harmful, toxic and very toxic respectively. Yellow padding means unpredictable.