# Quality-by-Design-engineered mitochondrial targeted nanoparticles for glioblastoma therapy

## Akanksha Dahifale<sup>a#</sup>, Tejas Girish Agnihotri<sup>a#</sup>, Ankit Jain\*<sup>b</sup>, Aakanchha Jain\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER)-Ahmedabad, Palaj, Gandhinagar-382355, Gujarat, India

<sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Birla Institute of Technology & Science, Pilani-333031,

Rajasthan, India

# These authors contributed equally

#### \*Corresponding authors

Dr. Aakanchha Jain (jainaakanchha83@gmail.com; aakanchha.jain@niperahm.res.in) Dr. Ankit Jain (ankit.j@pilani.bits-pilani@ac.in)

## **Supplementary Figures:**



**Fig. S1(a)** HPLC chromatogram of Temozolomide (TMZ) with detection wavelength at 330 nm and retention time of 4.3 min and run time of 7 min



Fig. S1(b) Calibration curve and regression equation of TMZ in Milli-Q water with linearity ranging from  $1 \mu g/mL$  to 250  $\mu g/mL$  and R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.9993







**Fig. S2(a)** HPLC chromatogram of TPP with detection wavelength at 267 nm and retention time of 9.4 min and run time of 15 min



**Fig. S2(b)** Calibration curve and regression equation of TPP with linearity ranging from 25 ppm to 800 ppm and R<sup>2</sup> value of 0.999



Fig. S3 Stability data of TMZ-loaded CSNPs (a) Particle size stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C; <u>no statistically</u> significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 at p=0.0786 (b) Particle size stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; statistically significant difference (\*\*p<0.01; p=0.0091) between day 0 and day 28 (c) PDI stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 at p=0.0672 (d) PDI stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; statistically significant difference (\*\*p<0.01; p=0.0033) between day 0 and day 28 (e) Zeta potential stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $4\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically significant difference (ns) between day 0 and day 28 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically 25\pm1^{\circ}C; no statistically 26 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^{\circ}$ C; no statistically 26 (c) Zeta potential stored at  $25\pm1^$ 

represented as mean  $\pm$  S.D., n=3, <u>multigroup analysis was made by Ordinary one Way-ANOVA</u> (<u>Parametric test</u>) followed by Tukey's post hoc test; \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, ns-no statistical significant difference)



**Fig. S4:** Cellular uptake images with rhodamine B staining and DAPI as counterstain (Nuclei staining) at 2h and 4h of formulation treatment, viz., control (without treatment, TMZ solution, TMZ-loaded CSNPs and TPP-conjugated TMZ-loaded CSNPs) confirming greater cellular uptake by U87 cells for conjugated NPs than TMZ solution

# Supplementary Tables

QTPP	Target	Justification
Administration	Intranasal	It allows the fast drug passage directly to the
Route		brain, avoiding the need of crossingto cross
		the BBB.
Clinical use	Glioblastoma	Temozolomide is the first-line agent for
	treatment	treating GBM, by alkylation of
		adenine/guanine residues, leading to DNA
		damage through futile repair cycles and
		eventual cell death of cancerous cells.
Drug Delivery	TPP <sup>+</sup> -Conjugated	Conjugated nanoparticles selectively target
system	Nanoparticles	the mitochondria of cancerous cells by
		differentiating the mitochondrial potential of
		cancerous cells and -have sizes < 200 nm,
		and polydispersity index of less than 0.25
		(homogeneity) promoting the direct passage
		to the brain through the trigeminal and
		olfactory nerves.
Pharmaceutical	Aqueous	Facilitates nasal application through a spray
Dosage Form	Dispersion	device.

 Table S1: Quality target profile (QTPP) of TPP+-conjugated TMZ-loaded CSNPs

Factor	DOF	Sum of squares	F ratio	P value
TMZ Conc	1	4.945E+06	19.60	0.0068
Stirring speed-2	1	3.017E+06	11.96	0.0181
Residual	5	1.261E+06	-	-
Cor total	7	9.223E+06	-	-

 Table S21(a) ANOVA analysis of particle size in Taguchi design

Table S21(b) ANOVA analysis of PDI in Taguchi design

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value		
Model	7.34	3	2.45	16.25	0.0105	significant	]
A-Chitosan conc	2.71	1	2.71	17.99	0.0133		1
F-Stirring speed-2	1.60	1	1.60	10.60	0.0312		
G-Time-2	3.03	1	3.03	20.15	0.0109		
-							
Residual	0.6020	4	0.1505				Tab
Cor Total	7.94	7					e
	1	1	1	1	1	1	' S <u>Z</u> +

c) ANOVA analysis of % entrapment efficiency in Taguchi design

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
Model	0.2713	1	0.2713	8.52	0.0267	significant
B-TMZ conc	0.2713	1	0.2713	8.52	0.0267	
Residual	0.1911	6	0.0318			
Cor Total	0.4624	7				

 Table S<u>3</u>2(a) ANOVA Table of particle size in BBD

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
Model	59658.75	4	14914.69	3.01	0.0401	significant
A-Chitosan conc	21204.67	1	21204.67	4.28	0.0505	
B-TMZ Conc	1845.39	1	1845.39	0.3727	0.5478	
C-Stirring Speed-2	2278.28	1	2278.28	0.4601	0.5047	
D-Time-2	37139.20	1	37139.20	7.50	0.0120	
Residual	1.089E+05	22	4951.94			
Lack of Fit	59210.05	19	3116.32	0.1880	0.9922	not significant
Pure Error	49732.67	3	16577.56			

Cor Total 1.686E+05 26	Cor Total	1.686E+05	26					
------------------------	-----------	-----------	----	--	--	--	--	--

Source	Sum	of	df	Mean	F-	p-	
	Squares			Square	value	value	
Model	0.2632		8	0.0329	6.35	0.0006	significant
A-Chitosan conc	0.0113		1	0.0113	2.19	0.1564	
B-TMZ Conc	0.0017		1	0.0017	0.3209	0.5780	
C-Stirring Speed-	0.0644		1	0.0644	12.43	0.0024	
2							
D-Time-2	0.0009		1	0.0009	0.1829	0.6740	
AB	0.0682		1	0.0682	13.17	0.0019	
AC	0.0227		1	0.0227	4.38	0.0508	
C <sup>2</sup>	0.1182		1	0.1182	22.81	0.0002	
D <sup>2</sup>	0.0228		1	0.0228	4.39	0.0505	
Residual	0.0932		18	0.0052			
Lack of Fit	0.0385		15	0.0026	0.1404	0.9966	not
							significant
Pure Error	0.0548		3	0.0183			
Cor Total	0.3565		26				

Table S<u>3</u>2(b) ANOVA Table of PDI in BBD

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F-value	p-value	
Model	1483.15	5	296.63	5.43	0.0023	significant
A-Chitosan conc	33.63	1	33.63	0.6157	0.4414	
B-TMZ Conc	1.30	1	1.30	0.0237	0.8790	
D-Time-2	141.71	1	141.71	2.59	0.1222	
BD	423.75	1	423.75	7.76	0.0111	
A <sup>2</sup>	882.31	1	882.31	16.15	0.0006	
Residual	1146.96	21	54.62			
Lack of Fit	1094.74	18	60.82	3.49	0.1653	not significant
Pure Error	52.23	3	17.41			
Cor Total	2630.12	26				

 Table S32(c) ANOVA Table of % entrapment efficiency in BBD

Table S4: Linearity range and respective peak area of TPP solution

<u>Sr. No.</u>	Concentration (ppm)	Peak Area
1	<u>25</u>	<u>101.639</u>
2	<u>50</u>	203.772
3	<u>75</u>	<u>303.238</u>
4	<u>100</u>	404.502
5	200	<u>635.424</u>
<u>6</u>	400	1248.345
7	800	2472.301