Supporting Information

HgBr₂: An Easily Growing Wide-Spectrum Birefringent Crystal

Ming-Shu Zhang, ^{a, c} Wen-Dong Yao, ^d Shao-Min Pei, ^a Bin-Wen Liu, ^{a, b} * Xiao-Ming Jiang, and Guo-Cong Guo ^{a, b} *

^{*a*} State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, P. R. China;

^b Fujian Science & Technology Innovation Laboratory for Optoelectronic Information of China, Fuzhou, Fujian 350108, P. R. China;

^c University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China;

^{*d*} School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu 225002, P. R. China.

*Emails: Guo-Cong Guo: gcguo@fjirsm.ac.cn; Bin-Wen Liu: bwliu@fjirsm.ac.cn.

Contents

1. Experimental section
1.1 Reagents
1.2 Properties Characterization
1.3. Computational Method4
2. Figures and tables
2.1. Figures5
Fig. S1 PXRD patterns of the simulated and experimental for HgBr ₂ 5
Fig. S2 EDS of single crystal HgBr ₂ 5
2.2. Tables.
Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement results for HgBr26
Table S2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic
displacement parameters for HgBr ₂ 7
Table S3. Selected distances (Å) for HgBr2.
Table S4. Selected angles (°) for HgBr27
3. References

1. Experimental section

1.1 Reagents.

The reagents utilized in this work were sourced exclusively from commercial suppliers and used without undergoing any additional purification steps. The reagents required for the growth of HgBr₂ crystals were as follows: mercuric bromide powder (99.5%), anhydrous ethanol (99.7%).

1.2 Properties Characterization.

The crystallographic data for HgBr₂ were acquired at 297 K using a Rigaku FR-X Microfocus diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator Mo- $K\alpha$ radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). Data collection and reduction were executed using CrystalClear software, followed by refinement through full-matrix least-squares on F^2 with the SHELXTL program package.¹⁻² Structure determinations relied upon the direct methods, while the face-indexed absorption correction based on utilized XPREP program. The final structure was verified via PLATON analysis to confirm absence of higher symmetries.³ The powder X-ray diffraction patterns (PXRD) of HgBr₂ were analyzed using a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 diffractometer with Cu-K α radiation at room temperature, scanning the 2ϑ range from 5 to 65° with a step size of 0.02°. The experimental PXRD patterns closely matched the calculated patterns generated from CIF data using Mercury software. As depicted in Fig. S1, the experimental PXRD pattern of HgBr₂ was basically consistent with the simulated one. Notably, due to the preferred orientation, the diffraction peak intensity of the (002) crystallographic plane at low angle (~14°) was significantly higher compared to other planes. Consequently, the diffraction peaks within the 2ϑ range from 20° to 65° appeared less prominent; however, their positions align precisely with those predicted by simulation. This observation served as evidence for confirming the purity of our sample. Elemental analysis of HgBr₂ crystal was detected by an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS)-equipped Hitachi S-3500 scanning electron microscope. The EDS results indicated that the average molar ratio of elements was consistent with its chemical formula (Hg : Br = 1 : 1.95, Fig. S2). The diffusereflectance spectrum of HgBr₂ was acquired at 297 K using a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 900 spectrophotometer with BaSO₄ served as the reference. And such a date was collected within the wavelength range spanning from 200 to 2500 nm. The infrared (IR) spectroscopy data of single-crystal HgBr₂ was carried out within the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ by a Nicolet Magana 750 FT-IR spectrometer. The Raman spectrum of HgBr₂ in the range of 4000–100 cm⁻¹ was measured using the LABRAM HR Evolution spectrometer with a 532 nm laser as the excitation source. The birefringence of HgBr₂ was performed using a ZEISS Axio Scope. A1 polarizing microscope equipped with a tilting compensator and the light source possessed the 546 nm wavelength. To calculate the birefringence Δn , we utilized the formula $R = \Delta n$ \times T, where R represents the optical path difference and T is the thickness of the crystal.

1.3. Computational Method.

The polarizability anisotropy and HOMO-LUMO gap of five linear units ([HgCl₂] in HgCl₂, [HgBr₂] in HgBr₂, [HgI₂] in HgI₂, [HgS₂] in BaHgS₂, [HgSe₂] in BaHgSe₂) were calculated using the Gaussian 09 with def2-QZVPD basis set.^{4–6} The aforementioned relevant data of [BS₃] are cited from the research findings conducted by Prof. Pan et al. on HgB₂S₄. The electronic structure of HgBr₂ was computed using ABINIT software based on density functional theory (DFT).^{7–8} The exchange correlation functional used in the theoretical calculation was the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) form of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA).⁹ The following orbital electrons were considered as valence electrons: Hg-6s²5d¹⁰, Br-4s²4p⁵. The calculation for HgBr₂ was performed using a plane-wave cutoff energy of 18 Hartree (about 500 eV), ensuring convergence in all computations through the implementation of an 8 × 8 × 3 *k*-points sampling. The linear optical properties were assessed through the computation of the complex dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)$. The real component of the dielectric function ε_1 can be determined via the Kramers-Kronig transform, enabling derivation of the refractive index and calculation of birefringence.

2. Figures and tables.

2.1. Figures.



Fig. S1 PXRD patterns of the simulated and experimental for HgBr₂.



Fig. S2 EDS of single crystal HgBr₂.

2.2. Tables.

Empirical formula	HgBr ₂			
Fw	360.41			
Temperature (K)	293(2)			
Space group	<i>Cmc</i> 2 ₁			
<i>a</i> (Å)	4.6215(6)			
<i>b</i> (Å)	6.7794(7)			
<i>c</i> (Å)	12.4277(19)			
Volume (ų)	389.37(9)			
Z	4			
<i>D_{calcd}</i> (g⋅cm ⁻³)	6.148			
μ (mm ⁻¹)	59.789			
GOF on <i>F</i> ²	1.004			
$R_1^{a} (I > 2\sigma (I))$	0.0650			
wR ₂ ^b (I > 2σ (I))	0.1596			
R_1^a (all data)	0.0675			
wR_2^b (all data)	0.1621			
Flack	0.19(17)			
$\Delta ho_{max}/\Delta ho_{min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	7.90/-2.06			
${}^{a}R = \Sigma F_{o} - F_{c} / \Sigma F_{o} , {}^{b}wR = (\Sigma (w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}) / \Sigma (w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}))^{1/2}.$				

 Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement results for HgBr₂.

Atom	х	У	Z	U(eq)	Wyck.
Hg1	1.0000	0.8307(3)	0.6310(5)	0.0449(9)	4a
Br1	1.0000	0.5619(8)	0.7600(4)	0.0415(15)	4a
Br2	1.0000	0.9003(9)	1.0000(4)	0.0412(15)	4a

Table S2. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters for HgBr₂.

Table S3. Selected distances (Å) for HgBr₂.

Bond	Distances	Bond	Distances
Hg1-Br1	2.427(6)	Hg1-Br2	2.445(7)

Table S4. Selected angles (°) for HgBr₂.

Atom-Atom-Atom	Angles
Br1-Hg1-Br2	179.58(3)

3. References

(1) Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Crysalispro Software System, Version V40.67a., Rigaku Corporation, Oxford, UK, 2019.

(2) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS-97, Program for X-Ray Crystal Structure Solution, University of GöTtingen, GöTtingen, Germany, 1997.

(3) A. L. Spek, PLATON, a Multipurpose Crystallographic Tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands, 2005.

(4) M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian 09, Revision E.01., Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.

(5) T. Lu and F. W. Chen, Multiwfn: A Multifunctional Wavefunction Analyzer, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2012, **33**, 580–592.

(6) D. Rappoport and F. Furche, Property-Optimized Gaussian Basis Sets for Molecular Response Calculations, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **133**, 134105.

(7) M. C. Payne, M. P. Teter, D. C. Allan, T. A. Arias and J. D. Joannopoulos, Iterative Minimization Techniques for *ab initio* Total-Energy Calculations: Molecular Dynamics and Conjugate Gradients, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 1992, **64**, 1045–1097.

(8) S. J. Clark, M. D. Segall, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, M. I. J. Probert, K. Refson and M. C. Payne, First Principles Methods Using CASTEP, *Z. Kristallogr.-Cryst. Mater.*, 2005, **220**, 567–570.

(9) J. P. Perdew, J. A. Chevary, S. H. Vosko, K. A. Jackson, M. R. Pederson, D. J. Singh and C. Fiolhais, Atoms, Molecules, Solids, and Surfaces: Applications of the Generalized Gradient Approximation for Exchange and Correlation, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1992, **46**, 6671–6687.