# **Efficient N<sup>2</sup> electroreduction enabled by linear charge transfer over atomically dispersed W sites**

*Jin Wan<sup>a</sup> , Dong Liu<sup>a</sup> , Chuanzhen Feng<sup>a</sup> , Huijuan Zhang a, b\* , Yu Wanga, b\**

*<sup>a</sup> The School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chongqing University, 174 Shazheng Street,*

*Shapingba District, Chongqing City, 400044, P.R. China*

*<sup>b</sup> College of Chemistry and Environmental Science, Inner Mongolia Normal University, Huhehaote,*

*010022, P. R. China*

*E-mail address: zhanghj@cqu.edu.cn* ; *[wangy@cqu.edu.cn](mailto:wangy@cqu.edu.cn)*

## **Chemicals**

V<sub>2</sub>AlC MAX powder ( $\geq$  98%, -200 mesh) was purchased from Beijing FUSIMAN Tech Co. Hydrofluoric acid (HF, 40.0% in aq. solution) was ordered from Chengdu Cologne Chemical Co. Tetrabutylammonium Hydroxide (TBAOH, 40% wt) was supplied from Shanghai Titan Scientific Co., Ltd. Tungsten chloride (WCl<sub>6</sub>, 99.9% metals basis) was supplied from Shanghai McLean Biochemical Technology Co. All chemicals were used without any further purification.

## **Catalyst preparation**

## **Synthesis of few-layer V2-xCT<sup>y</sup> MXene**

1.0 g of high-purity V<sub>2</sub>AlC MAX powder was dispersed in 40 mL HF (40.0% in aq. solution). The etching process was stirred at room temperature for 36 h. The obtained  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  powder was then exfoliated by sonication with TBAOH solution (3 days). Finally, the exfoliated  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  powder was purified several times with distilled water and dried under vacuum for 12 h.

#### **Synthesis of**  $n-W/V_{2-x}CT_v$

First, 100 mg  $V_{2-x}CT_x$  MXene was dispersed in 40 mL distilled water and sonicated for 30 min to form solution A. Solution B was prepared by adding 10 mg of WCl<sub>6</sub> powder to 10 mL of ethanol and sonicated for 30 min**.** Then, solution B was slowly immersed in solution A and stirred for 12 h. Finally, the obtained n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> was filtered and washed with distilled water for several times and dried under vacuum for one night.

#### **Synthesis of WSAC/V2-xCT<sup>y</sup>**

The synthesis of WSAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> follows a similar pathway as n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, with the main difference being the reduced concentration of HF (30.0% in aq. solution) used to etch V<sub>2</sub>CAl. The decrease in HF concentration reduces formation of V vacancy clusters, thereby diminishing the generation of W dual atoms.

## **Synthesis of W NPs/V2-xCT<sup>y</sup>**

W NPs/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> was synthesized similarly to n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, except for the added amount of WCl<sub>6</sub> powder (20 mg).

#### **Characterization**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were measured with Cu Kα radiation. X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (XPS, Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer with an Al Kα radiation source) was adopted to determine the W atomic valence state. The metal loadings were tested by

ICP-MS on a VISTA MPX (Varian, Inc.). Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and high-angle annular-dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images were performed on JEOL JSM-7800F at 15kV and Talos F200S at 200kV, respectively. The distribution states of W were characterized by an objective spherical aberration-corrected transmission electron microscopy (AC-TEM, FEI Titan ChemiSTEM). The X-ray absorption spectra of the W L3-edge were processed and fitted with Athena and Artemis programs and wavelettransformed EXAFS plots were processed by Hama Fortran program.

## **Electrochemical measurements**

The NRR measurements were tested on CHI 760E (CH Istruments, Inc., Shanghai) in an H-type cell with a three-electrode system. The as-prepared samples, Ag/ AgCl and Pt foil (1 cm  $\times$  1 cm) were the working electrode, reference electrode, and counter electrode, respectively. Before the test, the Nafion membrane was pretreated by boiling in  $H_2O_2$  (3%) aqueous solution, 0.5 M  $H_2SO_4$ , and distilled water at 100 ℃ for 1.5 h. To prepare the working electrode, 5 mg of prepared samples were dispersed into 1000 μL ethanol containing 50 μL of 5 w% Nafion and sonicated for 1 h to obtain the catalyst ink. Subsequently, 80 μL of the n-W/  $V_{2-x}CT_v$  ink was loaded on the carbon paper (1)  $\text{cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$ ) and dried at room temperature. The electrode potentials were calibrated to the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) by using the following equation:

$$
E_{RHE} = E_{Ag/AgCl} + 0.197 + 0.059 \times pH
$$

Before electrochemical NRR measurement, all the electrolyte (0.05 M  $H_2SO_4$  solution (pH=1)) was purged with high-purify  $N_2$  (99.999%) for 30 min (flow rate: 20 mL min<sup>-1</sup>).

## **Ammonia quantification**

The concentration of produced NH<sub>3</sub> after electroreduction tests was detected by the indophenol blue method and NMR. For indophenol blue method, 2 mL solution wastaken from the cathodic chamber and followed by adding 2 mL NaOH solution containing 5 wt%  $C_7H_6O_3$ , and 5 wt%  $C_6H_5Na_3O_7$ . Sequentially, 0.05 M NaClO (1 mL) and 1 wt%  $C_5F\text{eN}_6\text{Na}_2\text{O}$  (0.2 mL) aqueous solution were added into the above mixed solution. After 2 h of reaction, the solution was measured at 655 nm using UV-Vis absorption spectra. For NMR,  ${}^{15}N_2$  was used as the feeding gas, after 8 h of N<sub>2</sub> electroreduction, the electrolyte was taken out and further quantified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

#### **Hydrazine quantification**

The concentration of  $N_2H_4$  electrolyte was determined by the method of Watt and Chrisp. Briefly, 5.99 g  $C_9H_{11}NO$  and 30 ml of HCl in 300 ml ethanol were mixed and prepared as a color reagent. Then, 5 mL electrolyte was taken from the cathodic chamber and 5 mL color reagent was added. After the reaction for 20 min, the UV-Vis absorption spectra was measured at 458 nm.

#### **Calculation of NH<sup>3</sup> yields and the Faradaic efficiency**

The NH<sub>3</sub> yields were calculated by the following equation:

$$
v_{\rm NH_3} = (c_{\rm NH_3} \times V)/(t \times m_{\rm cat.})
$$

The Faradaic efficiency (FE) was calculated as follows:

$$
FE = (3 \times F \times c_{NH_3} \times V)/(17 \times Q)
$$

where  $c_{NH_3}$  is the measured NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration ( $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>); *V* is the volume of electrolyte (mL); *t* is the electrolysis time (h);  $m_{\text{cat.}}$  is the mass loading of the catalyst on the carbon paper; *F* is the faraday constant (96 500 C mol<sup>-1</sup>); and  $Q$  is the quantity of applied electricity (C).

#### **Computational Methods**

All calculations were performed by spin polarization density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP).<sup>1-3</sup> The core electrons were expanded by the Projected Augmented Wave (PAW) approach.<sup>4</sup> The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was applied.<sup>5</sup> The DFT-D3 method was used to treat van der Waals (vdW) interactions in the systems.<sup>6</sup> A cutoff energy of 500 eV was applied for the plane-wave basis. The convergence criterion for energy and force were set to  $10^{-5}$  eV and 0.03 eV/Å, respectively. A vacuum gap of 15 Å was utilized to avoid the interaction between the periodic images. The Brillouin zone was sampled using a  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  and  $4 \times 4 \times 1$ gamma (Γ) k-mesh for geometry optimization and electronic properties calculations, respectively. The Gibbs free energy change (*ΔG*) for each reaction was calculated by the formula:

$$
\Delta G = \Delta E + \Delta E_{ZPE} + T\Delta S
$$

Where *ΔE*, *ΔEZPE*, and *ΔS* are the changes of calculation energy, the zero-point energy difference, and the entropy change, respectively.

Furthermore, the limiting potential was calculated by the equation:

$$
\eta^{NRR} = \Delta G/e.
$$

The adsorption energy (*Eads*) of adsorbate was calculated according to the equation:

$$
E_{ads} = E_{sub/ads} - E_{sub} - E_{ads}
$$

where *Esub/ads, Esub, Eads* are energies of the substrate with adsorbate, the isolated substrate, and the corresponding adsorbate, respectively.



**Figure S1.** SEM image of multi-layer  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  nanosheets.



**Figure S2.** SEM image  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  nanosheets after exfoliation.



**Figure S3.** AFM image of  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  nanosheets after exfoliation.



**Figure S4.** HAADF-STEM image and its EDS elemental mapping for elements V, C, and O of few-

layer  $\rm V_{2-x}CT_y$  nanosheets.



**Figure S5.** XRD diagrams of  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  and n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>.



**Figure S6.** Atomic-resolution HAADF-STEM image of atomically dispersed W atoms on  $V_{2-x}CT_y$ surface.



**Figure S7.** HAADF-STEM image and its EDS elemental mapping for elements V, C, O, and W of  $WSAC/V_{2-x}CT_y.$ 



**Figure S8.** STEM image of W  $NPs/V_{2-x}CT_y$ .



**Figure S9.** HAADF-STEM image and its EDS elemental mapping for elements V, C, O, and W of W  $\rm NPs/V_{2-x}CT_y.$ 



**Figure S10.** W 4f XPS spectrum of n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>.



**Figure S11.** W K edge EXAFS fitting results in k space based on the theoretical model of  $\text{WDAC}/\text{V}_{\text{2-x}}\text{CT}_\text{y}$  for n-W/V $_{\text{2-x}}\text{CT}_\text{y}$ .



Figure S12. FT-EXAFS fitting results of n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> in R space based on the theoretical model of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{WSAC}}\xspace/\ensuremath{\mathsf{V}}\xspace_{2\text{-x}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{CT}}\xspace_y.$ 



**Figure S13.** W K edge EXAFS fitting results in k space based on the theoretical model of  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{WSAC}}\xspace/\ensuremath{\mathsf{V}}\xspace_{2\text{-x}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{CT}}\xspace_y$  for n-W/V $_{2\text{-x}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{CT}}\xspace_y.$ 



Figure S14. (a) UV-vis curves of indophenol assays with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ions (ammonium chloride solutions of known concentration) after being incubated for 2 h at room temperature. (b) calibration curve used for estimation of NH<sub>3</sub> by NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ion concentration. The absorbance at 655 nm was measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer. The standard curve showed good linear relation of absorbance with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> ion concentration (y =  $0.38468x + 0.05022$ , R<sup>2</sup> = 0.99971).



**Figure S15.** (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of various N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> concentration after incubated for 20 min at room temperature. (b) Calibration curve used for calculation of  $N_2H_4$  concentration. The absorbance at 458 nm was measured by UV-vis spectrophotometer. The standard curve showed good linear relation of absorbance with N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> ion concentration (y = 0.62143x + 0.06629, R<sup>2</sup> = 0.99969).



**Figure S16.** (a) Chronoamperometry curves of n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at different applied potentials in N<sub>2</sub>saturated 0.05 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of the electrolytes stained with para-dimethylamino-benzaldehyde indicator after 20 min electrolysis in  $N_2$  at various potentials under ambient conditions.



Figure S17. UV-Vis absorption spectra of n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1V (versus RHE) for 3 times.



**Figure S18.** (a) <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of standard ammonia solution. (b) The standard curve of NMR peak height against NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration.



**Figure S19.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the electrolyte over n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> for NRR at -0.1 V (versus RHE) for 8 h.



Figure S20. (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of various NO<sub>3</sub> concentration after incubated for 20 min at room temperature. (b) Calibration curve used for calculation of  $NO<sub>3</sub>$  concentration.



**Figure S21.** UV-Vis absorption spectra of NO<sub>3</sub> for n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1 V (versus RHE).



**Figure S22.** UV-Vis absorption spectra of  $NH_4^+$  for n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1V (versus RHE) under Ar atmosphere.



**Figure S23.** Electrochemical evaluation of  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of indophenol assays with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> after incubated for 2 h at different potentials. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of para-dimethylamino-benzaldehyde assays N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> after incubated for 20 min at different potentials. (c) Chronoamperometry curves of  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  at different applied potentials in N<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.05 M H2SO<sup>4</sup> solution. (d) NH<sup>3</sup> yields and FEs at different applied potentials.



**Figure S24.** UV-Vis absorption spectra of  $V_{2-x}CT_y$  at -0.1V (versus RHE) for 3 times.



Figure S25. Electrochemical evaluation of WSAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of indophenol assays with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> after incubated for 2 h at different potentials. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of para-dimethylamino-benzaldehyde assays  $N_2H_4$  after incubated for 20 min at different potentials. (c) Chronoamperometry curves of  $WSAC/V_{2-x}CT_v$  at different applied potentials in N<sub>2</sub>saturated  $0.05$  M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. (d) NH<sub>3</sub> yields and FEs at different applied potentials.



**Figure S26.** UV-Vis absorption spectra of WSACV<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1V (versus RHE) for 3 times.



**Figure S27.** Electrochemical evaluation W  $NPS/V_{2-x}CT_y$  (a) UV-Vis absorption spectra of indophenol assays with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> after incubated for 2 h at different potentials. (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of para-dimethylamino-benzaldehyde assays  $N_2H_4$  after incubated for 20 min at different potentials. (c) Chronoamperometry curves of W  $NPs/V_{2-x}CT_v$  at different applied potentials in N<sub>2</sub>saturated  $0.05$  M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. (d) NH<sub>3</sub> yields and FEs at different applied potentials.



Figure S28. UV-Vis absorption spectra of W NPs/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1V (versus RHE) for 3 times.



Figure S29. (a) Chronoamperometry curves in cycle tests of n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1V (versus RHE). (b) UV-Vis absorption spectra of indophenol assays in five cycles over n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> at -0.1V (versus RHE).



**Figure S30.** XRD diagrams of n-W/V2-xCT<sup>y</sup> before and after stability test.



**Figure S31.** W 4f XPS spectrum of n-W/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub> before and after stability test.



**Figure S32.** Gibbs free energy diagrams of NRR via distal pathways on  $V_{2-x}CT_y$ , WSAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, and  $WDAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$ , respectively.



**Figure 33.** The explicit solvation model of NHNH<sub>2</sub>  $^* \rightarrow NH_2NH_2$   $^*$  step.



**Figure S34.** The adsorption energies of  $N_2$  on  $WTAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$ , and  $WQAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$  by side-on and end-on configurations, respectively.



**Figure S35.** Gibbs free energy diagrams of NRR via distal pathways on WTAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, and  $WQAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$ , respectively.



Figure S36. Gibbs free energy diagrams of NRR via alternating pathways on WTAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, and  $WQAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$ , respectively.



**Figure S37.** Optimized structures and charge density differences of  $N_2$  on  $V_{2-x}CT_y$ ,  $WSAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$ ,  $WTAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$ , and  $WQAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$  surfaces (Cyan and yellow represent charge depletion and accumulation, respectively. The isosurface value is  $0.001 \text{ e}/\text{\AA}^3$ )



**Figure S38.** PDOS of free N<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S39.** PDOS of  $N_2$  on  $V_{2-x}CT_y$ , WSAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, WDAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, WTAC/V<sub>2-x</sub>CT<sub>y</sub>, and  $WQAC/V_{2-x}CT_y$  surface.

**TableS1.** W loadings of the studied n-W/V<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>x</sub> catalyst and W NPs/ V<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>x</sub>, as determined by ICP-OES.

<b>Catalysts</b>	Quality of $WCl_6$ (mg)	W loading $(wt\%)$
$V_{2-x}CT_v$		
$n-W/V_{2-x}CT_v$	$10 \text{ mg}$	1.39
W NPs/V <sub>2-x</sub> CT <sub>y</sub>	$20 \text{ mg}$	5.12

**TableS2.** Curve fit parameters of W K-edge EXAFS based on WSAC/  $W/V_{2-x}CT_y$  and  $WDAC/$  $\ensuremath{\text{W}}\xspace/\ensuremath{\text{V}}\xspace_{2\text{-x}}\text{CT}_\text{y}$  for n-W/V $_{2\text{-x}}\text{CT}_\text{y}$ 

<b>Sample</b>	Path	N <sub>b</sub>	$R(\AA^c)$	$\sigma(10^{-3}\AA^{2d})$	$\Delta E_0$ (eV)	<b>R</b> factor
$WSAC/V_{2-x}CT_v$   W-C   3.62±0.72			$1.97 \pm 0.02$	$5.06 \pm 3.1$	$-9.13 \pm 4.30$	0.0189
$WDAC/V_{2-x}CT_v$   W-C		$3.61 \pm 0.71$	$1.98 \pm 0.02$	$5.10 \pm 3.1$	$-9.22 \pm 4.25$	0.0187

 $S_0^2$  was fixed as 1.0. Data ranges  $3.0 \le k \le 12.0$  Å<sup>-1</sup>,  $1.0 \le R \le 2.0$  Å. <sup>b</sup>N is the coordination number; <sup>c</sup>R is interatomic distance;  ${}^{d}\sigma^2$  is Debye-Waller factor;  $\Delta E_0$  is edge-energy shift. R factor is residual factor.

**Table S3.** Solvation energies ( $E_{solv}$ ) of the catalytic reaction intermediates,  $\eta$ /vac and  $\eta$ /sol for the potential-limiting step of  $\rm WDAC/V_{2-x}CT_y.$ 

	$E_{solv}$ (eV)	$\eta$ /vac (eV)	$\eta$ /sol (eV)
$NN^*$	$-0.52$	$-0.14$	$-0.41$
$NNH*$	$-0.66$		
NHNHH <sup>*</sup>	$-1.39$	$-0.77$	0.32
NHHNHH <sup>*</sup>	$-2.16$		

## **References**

- 1. G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, *Comp. Mater. Sci.*, 1996, **6**, 15-50.
- 2. G. Kresse and J. Furthmuller, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, **54**, 11169-11186.
- 3. G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758-1775.
- 4. P. E. Blochl, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **50**, 17953-17979.
- 5. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865-3868.
- 6. S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.