Supporting Information

A Comparative Investigation on Excimer Fluorescence Toward Its Bright Future

Shiyin Wang,^a Haichao Liu,^{a,*} Shuaiqiang Zhao,^a Qiaolin Wu,^b Zhiqiang Yang,^a Daojie Yang,^a Yingbo Lv,^a Qing Su,^b Shi-Tong Zhang,^a and Bing Yang^{a,*}
^a State Key Laboratory of Supramolecular Structure and Materials, College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012 (P. R. China)
^b College of Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130012 (P. R. China)

Corresponding Authors: hcliu@jlu.edu.cn; yangbing@jlu.edu.cn.

KEYWORDS: intermolecular excimer, intramolecular excimer, anthracene, π - π stacking, highefficiency fluorescence.

Tables

SI Materials and experimental methods	S3
General information	
Spectroscopy measurements	
X-ray diffraction (XRD)	
Theoretical calculation	
SII Synthetic details	
SIII Figures and tables	
Photophysical properties in dynamic environment	
Photophysical properties in static environment	S12
Single crystal conformation	S13
Theoretical calculation	S15
Crystallographic data	S18
SIV Reference	S19

SI Materials and experimental methods

General information: All the reagents and solvents used for the synthesis were purchased from Aldrich and Acros companies and used without further purification. The ¹H NMR data were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 500 spectrometer at 500 MHz. CD₂Cl₂ and CDCl₃ were selected as solvents for ¹H NMR. The mass spectra (MS) were recorded using an ITQ1100 (Thermo Fisher). The compounds were characterized by a Vario MICRO cube CHNS elemental analysis instrument.

Spectroscopy measurements: UV-vis spectra of solutions were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3100 Spectrophotometer. Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra, time-resolved PL spectra and temperature-dependent PL spectra were carried out by FLS980 Fluorescence Spectrometer by Edinburgh Instruments. Photoluminescence quantum yields (PLQYs) were measured using an integrating sphere apparatus. The k_r and k_{nr} are estimated on the basis of the known PLQY and lifetime according to the following formulas: $k_r = PLQY/\tau$, $k_{nr} = 1/\tau - k_r$.

X-ray diffraction (XRD): Single crystals of 1, and 2 were cultivated by slow solvent diffusion method in dichloromethane (DCM) /methanol systems. The diffraction experiments of 1 and 2 crystals were carried out on a Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer equipped with a Mo-K α and control Software using the RAPID AUTO. The crystal structures were solved with direct methods and refined with a full-matrix least-squares technique using the Olex2 programs.

Theoretical calculation: All the density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out using Gaussian 16 (version D.01) package ^[1] on a Power Leader cluster. Time-dependent DFT (TD-DFT) calculations were carried out for the natural transition orbitals (NTOs) and geometry optimization at the level of M06-2X /6-31G(d, p). The transition density matrix (TDM) maps were obtained using Multiwfn program (version 3.8). ^[2] The charge transfer (CT) content was evaluated by following formula: $R_{CT} = M_{CT}/M_{TOTAL}$ (R_{CT} represents the ratios of CT in certain excited state; M_{CT} and M_{TOTAL} represent the sum of the matrix elements in CT areas and total areas, respectively).

SII Synthetic details



Scheme S1. Synthetic routes to 2-(anthracen-9-yl)-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene (1), 4,5-di(anthracen-9-yl)-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene (2), 4-(anthracen-9-yl)-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene, and (3), 4,5di(anthracen-9-yl)-2,7-di-*tert*-butyl-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene compounds. Conditions: (a) K_2CO_3 , Pd(PPh₃)₄, toluene, deionized water, tetrahydrofuran, 90°C, 48h (b) K_2CO_3 , Bis (ditert-butyl(4dimethylaminophenyl) phosphine) dichloropalladium (II) (887919-35-9), tetrahydrofuran, deionized water, reflux, 48 h.

Synthesis of 1

A mixture of anthracen-9-ylboronic acid (1 g, 4.5 mmol), 2-bromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene (596 mg, 2 mmol), K₂CO₃ (3 g, 21.7 mmol), 12 mL toluene, 8 mL distilled water and 6 ml tetrahydrofuran was degassed and recharged with nitrogen. Then Pd(PPh₃)₄ (92 mg, 0.08 mmol) was added in the mixture as catalyst, and the mixture was degassed and recharged with nitrogen again. After stirred and refluxed at 90°C for 48 h under nitrogen atmosphere, the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (DCM). The organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuum. It was purified via silica gel chromatography by the mixture of petroleum ether/dichloromethane (10:1) and then sublimation to afford the white powder in 71% yield (548 mg). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Methylene Chloride-*d*₂, TMS, 25 °C): $\delta = 8.56$ (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.11 (d, J =

8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.77 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.56 – 7.48 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.41 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.34 – 7.27 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.21 – 7.14 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 1.71 (s, 6H, CH₃). GC/MS, EI (mass m/z): 386.35. Anal. calcd for C₅₁H₄₆O: C 90.12, H 5.74; found: C 90.64, H 5.84.

Synthesis of 4,5-dibromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene

The synthesis of 4,5-dibromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene refers to Ref. S3.^[3] 9,9-Dimethylxanthene (4.8 mmol, 1.0 g), TMEDA (14 mmol, 2.09 mL), and ethyl ether (45 mL) were cooled to -78 °C. A 2.5 M solution of n-butyllithium in hexane (14 mmol, 5.6 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h. A solution of bromine (1mL) in hexane (10 mL) was added dropwise at -78 °C, after which the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight. Then a 20% aqueous solution of sodium sulphite was added. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was washed with DCM. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuum. It was purified via silica gel chromatography by the mixture of petroleum ether to afford the yellow oil. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, TMS, 25 °C): δ = 7.51 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.02 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 1.65 (s, 6H, CH₃). GC/MS, EI (mass m/z): 369.45

Synthesis of 2

The synthesis of 2 refers to Ref. S4.^[4] A mixture of anthracen-9-ylboronic acid (1.8 g, 8 mmol), 4,5dibromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene (736 mg, 2 mmol), K₂CO₃ (1.1 g, 8 mmol), and 40 ml tetrahydrofuran was degassed and recharged with nitrogen. Then Bis(di-tert-butyl (4dimethylaminophenyl) phosphine) dichloropalladium (II) (100 mg, 0.14 mmol) was added in the mixture as catalyst, and the mixture was degassed and recharged with nitrogen again. After stirred and refluxed for 24 h under nitrogen atmosphere, the mixture was extracted with dichloromethane (DCM). The organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuum. It was purified via silica gel chromatography by the mixture of petroleum ether/dichloromethane (10:1) and then sublimation to afford the white powder in 32% yield (360 mg).¹H NMR (500 MHz, Methylene Chloride-*d*₂, TMS, 25 °C): δ = 7.96 (s, 2H, Ar-H), 7.69 – 7.61 (m, 6H, Ar-H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.07 – 6.97 (m, 8H, Ar-H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 6.78 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 1.93 (s, 6H, CH₃). GC/MS, EI (mass m/z): 562.10. Anal. calcd for C₅₁H₄₆O: C 91.78, H 5.37; found: C 91.42, H 5.53.

Synthesis of 4-bromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene

The synthesis of 4-bromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene refers to Ref. S5.^[5] 9,9'-Di-methylxanthene (4.8 mmol, 1.0 g), and dehydrated THF (10 mL) were cooled to -78 °C. A 2.5 M solution of n-butyllithium in hexane (5 mmol, 2 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 hours the temperature was raised to room temperature. After the reaction solution was cooled again to -78 °C, 1,2- dibromoethane (0.83 mL) was added slowly and stirred for 2 hours then warmed to room temperature. Water was added to stop the reaction, the organic layer was extracted with DCM. The organic phase was dried with anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuum. It was purified via silica gel chromatography by the mixture of petroleum ether to afford the yellow oil. GC/MS, EI (mass m/z): 287.84.

Synthesis of 3

The synthesis of **3** is similar as 1 except the 4-bromo-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene was used. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, Methylene Chloride- d_2 , TMS, 25 °C): $\delta = 8.61$ (s, 1H, Ar-H), 8.14 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar-H), 7.72 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.67 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.55 – 7.46 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.42 – 7.33 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 7.25 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.06 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.98 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 6.26 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 1.79 (s, 6H, CH₃). GC/MS, EI (mass m/z): 386.11. Anal. calcd for C₅₁H₄₆O: C 90.12, H 5.74; found: C 90.58, H 5.82.

SIII Figures and tables



Photophysical properties in dynamic environment

Fig. S1. UV-Vis spectra, PL spectra, and time-resolved PL spectra of (a) 1, (b) 2, and (c) 3 in different diluted solutions (10^{-5} mol L⁻¹) (HEX: hexane, ETE: ethyl ether, THF: tetrahydrofuran, ACN: acetonitrile).



Fig. S2. Molar absorption coefficient of 1, 2, and 3.



Fig. S3. (a) Concentration-dependent PL spectra of 3. (b) Time-resolved PL spectra of 3 in THF solutions with various concentrations. From PL spectra and time-resolved PL spectra, 3 does not exhibit the excimer emission characters like 1.



Fig. S4. (a) PL spectra, (b) emission intensity vs. water fraction (f_w) vol % in THF and (c) timeresolved PL spectra of 1 in mixed THF:H₂O solvents.



Fig. S5 (a) PL spectra, (b) emission intensity vs. water fraction (f_w) vol % in THF and (c) time-

resolved PL spectra of $\mathbf{2}$ in mixed THF:H₂O solvents.



Fig. S6. Peak fitting analysis of emission spectrum of the high-concentration solution $(10^{-1} \text{ mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ of 1.

		$\lambda_{\rm em}$ (nm)	τ (ns)	PLQY (%)	$k_{ m r} (10^6 { m s}^{-1})$	$k_{\rm nr} (10^6 { m s}^{-1})$
1 in	monomer	405	5.28	47.04	89.1	100
solution	excimer	514	15.63	6.01	3.85	60.1
2 in	monomer	395	5.46	43.15	79.0	104
solution	excimer	500	18.69	12.86	6.88	46.6

Table S1. The photophysics data of 1 and 2 in THF solution (dynamic environment).

Photophysical properties in static environment

We have tried our efforts to grow crystals of molecule **3** using the different solvent diffusion or vapor deposition method. Unfortunately, we could not obtain the crystals of molecule **3**. PL spectra and time-resolved PL spectra of pristine **3** solid powder were shown in **Fig. S7**, which did not exhibit the excimer emission characters.



Fig. S7. (a) PL spectra and (b) time-resolved PL spectra of pristine **3** powder. The pristine powders of 3 emit around 460 nm with a lifetime of 4.47 ns, which does not exhibit the excimer emission.

	τ_1 / Rel%	$ au_2$ / Rel%	$\tau (ns)^a$
1	152.7 ns	-	152.7 ns
2	16.45 ns / 24.20%	47.99 ns / 75.80%	40.36 ns

Table S2. The individual lifetime components of 1 and 2 crystals.

^a The average lifetimes are estimated on the basis of the following formula: $\tau = \tau_1 \times \text{Rel\%} + \tau_2 \times \text{Rel\%}$.

Single crystal conformation



Fig. S8. Atom labels of molecule 2 configuration.

AN 1	Å	AN 2	Å
C15	3.470	C1	3.359
C16	3.414	C2	3.353
C17	3.543	C3	3.559
C18	3.448	C4	3.505
C19	3.604	C5	3.643
C20	3.509	C6	3.545
C21	3.709	C7	3.666
C22	4.031	C8	3.885
C23	4.113	С9	4.000
C24	3.882	C10	3.898
C25	3.918	C11	4.032
C26	3.757	C12	3.818
C27	3.772	C13	3.820
C28	3.642	C14	3.582
average ^a	3.701	average ^a	3.690

Table S3. Perpendicular distances between the AN 1 plane and the AN 2 plane C15–28 atoms as well as between the AN 2 plane with the AN 1 plane C1–14 atoms in **2** crystal. Atomic number is shown in **Fig. S8**.

^a As two AN planes within a dimer are unparallel, the average distance was determined to be the average perpendicular distance between one AN plane and carbon atoms of the other AN plane. The shorter average distance (3.690 Å) was used in the main text.

Theoretical calculation



Fig. S9. Labeled atoms for the calculation of TDM map of **1** dimer. All non-hydrogen atoms are assigned a sequence number in fragment order. Since hydrogen atoms usually have little contribution to the transitions we are interested in, they are usually ignored by default.



Fig. S10. Labeled atoms for the calculation of TDM map of 2 dimer.



Fig. S11. TDM color-filled maps of the S₁ state for (a) 1 dimer and (b) 2 dimer.

It can be found that the brightest zones are concentrated along the diagonal and off-diagonal, indicating a strong state interaction between two AN units, which can be ascribed to the excited state nature of hybridized local and charge-transfer state (HLCT): two diagnoal parts are the LE transition of two AN units, off-diagonal parts are the CT transition from one AN unit to another. Furthermore, the CT contents of two dimers in the S₁ excited state can be evaluated according to the matrix elements by following formulas: $R_{CT} = M_{CT}/M_{TOTAL}$ (R_{CT} represents the ratios of CT in certain excited state; M_{CT} and M_{TOTAL} represent the sum of the matrix elements in CT areas and total areas, respectively).

	CT content	
1	0.380	
2	0.343	

Table S4. The CT contents of 1 dimer and 2 dimer in the S_1 state.



Fig. S12. (a) PL spectra and (b) time-resolved PL spectra of 1% weight percent **2** doped in polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) film.

Crystallographic data

	1	2
crystal color	yellow	yellow
empirical formula	$C_{29}H_{22}O$	C43H30O
formula weight	386.50	562.67
<i>T</i> [K]	273	302
crystal system	monoclinic	triclinic
space group	C2 /c	P-1
<i>a</i> [Å]	26.9512(10)	9.1255(5)
<i>b</i> [Å]	9.4305(3)	17.9972(11)
<i>c</i> [Å]	17.3290(6)	18.3091(11)
α [°]	90	90.153(2)
β [°]	111.921(1)	90.817(2)
γ [°]	90	94.909(2)
V [Å ³]	4086.0(3)	2995.6(3)
Ζ	8	4
F(000)	1632	1184
density [g/cm ³]	1.257	1.248
μ [mm ⁻¹]	0.074	0.073
reflections collected	41813	168041
unique reflections	4703	13770
<i>R</i> (int)	0.0543	0.0348
GOF	1.288	1.055
$R_1[I>2\sigma(I)]$	0.0573	0.0517
$\omega R_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.1520	0.1280
R_1 (all data)	0.0980	0.0709
ωR_2 (all data)	0.2013	0.1518
CCDC number	2376432	2404819

 Table S5. Crystallographic data and refinement data of 1 and 2 crystals.

SIV Reference

[1] Gaussian 16, Revision A.03, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

[2] T. Lu and F. Chen, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580-592.

[3] A. Buhling, P. C. J. Kamer, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, J. W. Elgersma, K. Goubitz, J. Fraanje, *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 3027-3037.

[4]. Q. Liao, A. Li, A. Huang, J. Wang, K. Chang, H. Li, P. Yao, C. Zhong, P. Xie, J. Wang, Z. Li,
 Q. Li, *Chem. Sci.* 2024, 15, 4364-4373.

[5] Jpn. Pat., JP6023635B2, 2016.