Supplementary information for

Oxygen enriched porous carbon nanoflakes enable high-performance zinc ion hybrid capacitors

Taiyu Cao, Chunliu Zhu*, Xinyu Wang, Zhaowei Ji, Huanyu Liang, Jing Shi, Weiqian

Tian, Jingwei Chen, Jingyi Wu, Huanlei Wang*

School of Materials Science and Engineering, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266100, China.

200100, China.

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: huanleiwang@ouc.edu.cn, 1339724402@qq.com;



Fig. S1 SEM images of (a) PCNs-700 and (b) PCNs-900.



Fig. S2 XRD patterns of (a) PCNs-700, (b) PCNs-800, and (c) PCNs-900.



Fig. S3 Raman spectra of (a) PCNs-700, (b) PCNs-800, and (c) PCNs-900.



Fig. S4 XPS survey spectra of PCNs-700, PCNs-800, and PCNs-900.



Fig. S5 High resolution (a) C 1s and (b) O 1s spectra of PCNs-700; High resolution (c) C 1s spectra and (d) O 1s spectra of PCNs-900.



Fig. S6 Water contact angles of (a) PCNs-800, and (b) commercial activated carbon (YP-50F).



Fig. S7 CV curves of (a) PCNs-700, (b) PCNs-800, and (c) PCNs-900 based ZIHCs at scan rates range of 2-100 mV·s⁻¹.



Fig. S8 Galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles at 0.1-10 A g^{-1} for (a) PCNs-700, (b) PCNs-800, and (c) PCNs-900.



Fig. S9 Comparison for rate capability between PCNs-800 cathode and commercial activated carbon (YP-50F).



Fig. S10 Cyclic performance of PCNs-800 at 10 A g⁻¹.



Fig. S11 Selected cathodic and anodic b values of peak currents for (a) PCNs-700, and (b) PCNs-900; Capacitive contribution of (c) PCNs-700 and (d) PCNs-900 cathodes-based ZIHCs at 10 mV s⁻¹.



Fig. S12 Capacitive/diffusion contribution ratios of (a) PCNs-700 and (b) PCNs-900 at different scan rates.



Fig. S13 The corresponding simulating equivalent circuit.



Fig. S14 (a) Linear plots of real resistances (Z') against angular frequencies ($\omega^{-0.5}$) in the low-frequency region for PCNs-700, PCNs-800, and PCNs-900, respectively. (b) Ion diffusion coefficients of PCNs-700, PCNs-800, and PCNs-900.

The diffusion coefficient of ions could be calculated by the EIS technique based on the following formulas¹⁻³:

$$Z' = \sigma \omega^{-0.5} + R_e + R_{ct} \tag{S1}$$

$$D_{Zn^{2+}} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 F^4 C^2 n^4 \sigma^2}$$
(S2)

where Z' is real part of impedance (Ω), ω is angle frequency (rad s⁻¹), σ is diffusion resistance (Ω s^{-0.5}), R_e is ohmic resistance between the electrode and electrolyte (Ω), R_{ct} is charge transfer resistance (Ω), n is electron transfer numbers per molecule during electron reaction, D is ion diffusion coefficient (cm² s⁻¹), A is the surface area of electrode (cm²), R is gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), T is Kelvin temperature (293.15 K), C is molar concentration of electrolyte (mol L⁻¹), and F is Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹).



Fig. S15 *Ex-situ* SEM of PCNs-800 electrode at (a) discharged and (b) charged states.

Samples	R	I_ /I_	S _{BET} ^a (m ² g ⁻¹)	V _t ^b (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	Pore volume (%)		XPS composition (at%)	
		IDIG			V<2nm	V>2nm	С	0
PCNs-700	1.92	1.5	757	1.13	14	86	86.5	13.5
PCNs-800	1.91	1.6	1134	1.41	15	85	81.0	19.0
PCNs-900	1.95	1.4	532	1.03	6	94	91.2	8.8

Table S1 Physical and chemical parameters for PCNs-700, PCNs-800, and PCNs-900.

^{a)} Surface area was calculated by BET method;

^{b)} The total pore volume was determined by DFT method

Table S2 Specific capacities of PCNs-800 and other reported cathode materials for

Cathode (Specific surface area)	Low rate specific capacity	High rate specific capacity	Mass loading	Ref.
PCNs-800	179 mAh g ⁻¹	83 mAh g ⁻¹	$1.0 m \sigma cm^{-2}$	This Work
$(1134 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$	at 0.1 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g ⁻¹	\sim 1.0 mg cm ²	
SOCN-10	151 mAh g ⁻¹	151 mAh g ⁻¹ 80 mAh g ⁻¹		3
$(1877 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$	at 0.1 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g ⁻¹	-	
PHC3	149 mAh g ⁻¹	88 mAh g ⁻¹	$2.2 \dots 2$	4
(1469.3 m ² g ⁻¹)	at 0.1 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g ⁻¹	$\sim 2.3 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$	
S-O-PC-HB	107.5 mAh g ⁻¹	14 mAh g ⁻¹	1.0	5
(818.17 m ² g ⁻¹)	at 0.2 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g ⁻¹	\sim 1.0 mg cm ²	
Zn-MET-800	164 mAh g ⁻¹	64 mAh g ⁻¹	1520 m s sm ⁻²	6
$(651.6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$	at 0.1 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g-1	1.5-2.0 mg cm ²	
PCN-6	120 mAh g ⁻¹	76 mAh g ⁻¹	1.2	7
$(1267.29 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$	at 0.1 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g ⁻¹	$1-2 \text{ mg cm}^2$	
NPC	158.2 mAh g ⁻¹	158.2 mAh g ⁻¹ 85 mAh g ⁻¹		8
(1197.91 m ² g ⁻¹)	at 0.25 A g ⁻¹	at 10 A g ⁻¹	~ 2.0 mg cm ²	
PSR-4	88 mAh g ⁻¹	58.1 mAh g-1	1.4	9
$(2072 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$	at 0.1 A g ⁻¹	at 5 A g ⁻¹	\sim 1.4 mg cm ²	

Table S3 The ion diffusion resistance (σ) and ion diffusion coefficient (D) of PCNs-

700, PCNs-800, and PCNs-900 (Average of three test).

Samples	ion diffusion resistance (σ)/ Ω s ^{-0.5}	ion diffusion coefficient (D)/ $cm^2 s^{-1}$
PCNs-700	31.3±0.1	(7.2 ± 0.1) × 10 ⁻¹⁰
PCNs-800	28.7±0.1	(8.5 ± 0.1) × 10 ⁻¹⁰
PCNs-900	40.8±0.1	(4.2 ± 0.1) × 10 ⁻¹⁰

Reference

1. W. Jian, W. Zhang, X. Wei, B. Wu, W. Liang, Y. Wu, J. Yin, K. Lu, Y. Chen, H. N.

Alshareef and X. Qiu, Advanced Functional Materials, 2022, 32, 2209914.

- 2. H. Ma, H. Chen, M. Wu, F. Chi, F. Liu, J. Bai, H. Cheng, C. Li and L. Qu, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2020, **59**, 14541-14549.
- 3. C. Zhu, R. Long, L. Zhu, W. Zou, Y. Zhang, Z. Gao, J. Shi, W. Tian, J. Wu and H. Wang, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 2023, **652**, 590-598.
- 4. Y. Zeng, F. Wei, Q. Liu, Y. Gao, S. Gao and Y. Lv, *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 2023, **963**, 171233.
- K. Ning, M. Wei, Z. Jiang, T. Jiang, G. Zhao, L. Han, G. Zhu and Y. Zhu, *Materials Letters*, 2024, 355, 135316.
- 6. D. Jia, Z. Shen, W. Zhou, Y. Li, J. He, L. Jiang, Y. Wei and X. He, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2024, **485**, 149820.
- X. Zhang, J. Zhang, H. Zhang, L. Sun and Y. Zhang, *Journal of Energy Storage*, 2024, 92, 112002.
- 8. C. Liu, X. Chang, H. Mi, F. Guo, C. Ji and J. Qiu, *Carbon*, 2024, **216**, 118523.
- 9. Z. Sun, X. Jiao, S. Chu and Z. Li, *ChemistrySelect*, 2023, 8, e202304071.