SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Self-assembly, Cytocompatibility, and Interactions of Desmopressin with Sodium Polystyrene Sulfonate

Ana B. Caliari¹, Renata N. Bicev^{1,2}, Caroline C. da Silva¹, Sinval E. G. de Souza¹, Marta G. da Silva¹,
Louise E. A. Souza¹, Lucas R. de Mello^{1,3}, Ian W. Hamley³, Guacyara Motta⁴, Jéril Degrouard²,
Guillaume Tresset², Alexandre J. C. Quaresma¹, Clovis R. Nakaie¹, and Emerson R. da Silva^{1,*}

¹ Departamento de Biofísica, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo 04062-000, Brazil

² Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, 91405 Orsay, France

³Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Reading RG6 6AD, United Kingdom

⁴Departamento de Bioquímica, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, São Paulo 04044-020, Brazil

Supplementary methods:

Preparation of model membranes: The interactions between desmopressin and lipids were investigated using model membranes prepared from a mixture of phosphatidylcholine, oleic acid, and myristic acid in a 7:2:1 mass ratio. This formulation roughly reproduces the lipid fraction found in biomembranes, which are mostly composed of phospholipids, with phosphatidylcholine being the most abundant.¹ Additionally, fatty acids, among which oleic acid is a major representative, and myristic acid, present at lower concentrations, are also components of these membranes.^{2,3} L-a-phosphatidylcholine from soy bean was purchased from Avanti Polar (product code # 441601, M_w = 775 g·mol⁻¹), while oleic acid (#O1008, $M_w = 282.5$) and myristic acid (#70079, $M_w = 228.4$) were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. The lipids were weighted at the appropriate masses and solubilized in chloroform. The mixture was vigorously stirred until complete homogenization was achieved. Subsequently, the content (approximately 10 ml) was transferred to a round-bottom glass flask, and a stream of dry nitrogen was introduced into the flask and maintained for about 4 hours until complete evaporation of the solvent occurred, resulting in the formation of a lipid film with an average molecular mass calculated at $M_w = 622.5$ g·mol⁻¹. This lipid film was reconstituted in PBS buffer (10 mM, pH = 7.2) to form a stock solution of vesicles at a concentration of 40 mg·mL⁻¹ (0.64 mM). Samples for cryo-TEM and quenching assays were prepared by diluting these stocks to final concentrations of 1 mg·mL⁻¹. These model membranes have proven useful in previous analysis of peptide-membrane interactions by our group.4





Figure S1: HPLC data showing the diode array channel absorbance at 220 nm (a) and the total ion current intensity (b). Desmopressin elution time is found at 18.8 min. In (c), the mass spectrum exhibits fragments found in the corresponding eluted fraction.

SAXS models used for data fitting:

SAXS data have been fitted using models available in the library of the SASFit package.⁵ We reproduce below the relevant equations from the models available in the SASFit manual to provide the reader with an overview of the parameters obtained from the fitting. Further mathematical details on the models used in our analyses can be found in the manual of SASFit (https://sasfit.org/) or in comprehensive texts on SAXS data treatment.^{6–9} Data from desmopressin solutions were fitted using a combination of a power law plus a generalized Gaussian chains form factor:

$$I(q) \cong Bkg + \frac{A}{q^{\alpha}} + \frac{I_0 \cdot U^{\frac{1}{2v}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2v}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{v}\right) - U^{\frac{1}{2v}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2v}, U\right) + \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{v}, U\right)}{v \cdot U^{\frac{1}{v}}}$$
(S1)

Where U is given by:

$$U = (2\nu + 1)(2\nu + 2)\frac{q^2 \cdot R_g^2}{6}$$
(S2)

In equation (S1), Bkg is an additive constant accounting for a flat background. The second term is a power law that describes the linear decay (in log-log representation) observed at the low-q region, where A is a factor weighing the contribution of the power law component to the whole scattering. In our analyses, the scattering at the low-q region is ascribed to large desmopressin aggregates whose dimensions are larger than the experimental window achievable in our experiments (see main text). The parameter α is the scaling exponent, which carries structural information on the fractal dimensionality of aggregates and, depending on the value it assumes, two types of fractal aggregates can be identified:^{7,10} if $2 < \alpha < 3$, it indicates that the sample is populated by mass fractals and their fractal dimension is given by $D_m = \alpha$. If $3 < \alpha < 4$, it indicates presence of surface fractals, and the fractal dimension is given by $D_s = 6 - \alpha$. The third term is more complex, and it corresponds to the generalized Gaussian chain form factor.⁶ In our case here, this term is introduced in the model to describe scattering at higher q-values which is ascribed to the presence of free peptide chains in the solutions. The symbol Γ represents the gamma function, and the parameters v and R_g are associated with the Flory exponent and the gyration radius of the chains, respectively. The Flory exponent carries information on the interaction between peptide chains and the surrounding solvent: a v = 0.6 indicates that the chain is swollen (good solvent), a v = 0.33points to a collapsed coil (poor solvent), and a v = 0.5 suggests a theta solvent (meaning that the chains interact equally with the solvent and themselves).¹¹ The gyration radius, Rg, is a measure of the size of the region occupied by the chain and is analogous to the radius of an equivalent sphere that would occupy the same volume as the chains.

In the case of NaPSS solutions, SAXS data were fitted by using a Porod cylinder form factor whose expression is given by:

$$P_{cyl}(q,R,L) = \frac{2}{qL} (\Delta \eta R^2 L)^2 \times \begin{cases} Si_{\pi}(qL)\Lambda_1^2(qR) - \frac{2\Lambda_2(2qR) - \Phi(2qR)}{qL} - \frac{\sin(qL)}{(qL)^2} \end{cases} \quad (S4)$$

Where the modified sine integral function is given by:

$$Si_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(qL) = \left(Si(qL) + \frac{cos^{\frac{\pi}{10}}(qL)}{qL} + \frac{sin^{\frac{\pi}{10}}(qL)}{(qL)^2}\right) \xrightarrow{qL \to \infty} \frac{\pi}{2}$$
(S5)
And $\Lambda_1(x) = \frac{2}{qL} J_1(qL), \quad \Lambda_2(x) = \frac{8}{(qL)^2} J_2(qL), \text{ and } \Phi(x) = \frac{2}{(qL)^2} [1 - \Lambda_1(qL)]$

With J_1 and J_2 denoting first kind Bessel functions of 1st and 2nd order, respectively. *R* is the radius of the cylinder, *L* is the length (in our case arbitrarily assumed to be long and fixed at 1000 nm), and $\Delta \eta$ is the difference between electron densities of the scattering objects (peptide, polymer, complexes, etc.) and the surrounding solvent.

Cryo-TEM image of lipid vesicles used in quenching assays:



Figure S2: Cryo-TEM image of lipid vesicles used in quenching assays to investigate interactions with desmopressin.

Study of yield during the cleavage step:

Table S1. Summary of parameters showing the yield during the cleavage step.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Peak area	Yield			
Dilution (mmol:litre)	Standard	Sample	%	mg	%	

1:1	1.889.981	3.161.251	95	167.3	17
1:3	1.896.784	2.123.855	90	335.9	34
1:6	1.889.981	1.023.936	89	325.0	33
1:9	1.889.981	772.776	92	368.0	38

Obs: Cleavage with 1 mmol of peptide resin, extraction with ethyl acetate, and injection volume of 10 μ L.



Figure S3. Chromatography profiles of samples at the different dilutions.

ThT fluorescence in the presence of lipid membranes:



Figure S4. ThT fluorescence in mixtures containing 1 mg/mL lipids or 1 mg/mL lipids and 0.5 mg/mL desmopressin.

Supplementary CD experiments in protamine/NaPSS:



Figure S5. CD spectra of solutions containing mixtures of $128 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1} \text{NaPSS}$ with $100 \,\mu \text{g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ protamine, a peptide which does not exhibit aggregating capabilities.

Supplementary AFM images:



Figure S6. Height profiles across images shown in the main text (Figures 5, 6, and 7). (a) and (b) desmopressin; (c) and (d) NaPSS; (e) and (f) desmopressin/NaPSS.



Figure S7. Topography images of NaPSS on gold substrates. (a) polymer aggregate; (b) spread NaPSS grains; (c) polymer ramifications.

Average infrared spectra shown in Figures 5 and 6:



Figure S8. Average spectra from infrared are shown in Figures 5b, 5d, and 6b (main text). Dashed lines indicate resonances associated with desmopressin (gray) and NaPSS (red). Blue arrows highlight new peaks that emerge in the spectra of NaPSS/desmopressin complexes with their tentative assignments.

Resazurin assays data:

Table S2. Data of cell viability obtained in resazurin assays. The raw data (in black) represent the fluorescence of resorufin, which results from the reduction of resazurin in the mitochondria of viable cells. Percentages (in blue) were determined relative to the average of all control well on each plate. Total averages (red) were determined from viability percentages obtained on both days. Significance was assessed using the Welch's t-test (unequally variances).

		MCF7	1 st trial			1						2		1	
				DMP					PSS					DMP/PSS	
		CTRL	1	.000	500 250		CTRL	1000	50	0 25	0	CTRL	1000	500	250
		3486	6 33	007 35	532 3392:	2	37999	32753	3454	9 3322	1	35965	32999	34945	34221
Avg CTRL day 1	36154.1	3752	9 34	486 36	352 3353		38173	32170	3567	1 3374	14	33873	32541	33131	33744
		3650	1 32	825 34	769 3794	7	37336	32680	3346	8 3450	13	33145	32961	33802	34830
		96.	4	91.3 9	8.3 93.1	3	105.1	90.6	95	6 91	.9	99.5	91.3	96.7	94.7
	% of control day 1	103.	8	95.4 10	1.9 92.8	3	105.6	89.0	98	7 93	3	93.7	90.0	91.6	93.3
		101.	0	90.8 9	6.2 105.0		103.3	90.4	92	6 95	.4	91.7	91.2	93.5	96.3
		MCF7	2nd trial												
				DMP					PSS					DMP/PSS	
		CTRL	1	.000	500 251		CTRL	1000	50	0 25	10	CTRL	1000	500	250
		2483	5 24	594 23	032 26755	1	25361	22296	2154	5 2073	10	25237	22865	19806	21703
Avg CTRL day 2	23035.9	1958	6 25	001 22	257 22914		22442	25695	2246	6 2054	16	23472	22068	18996	23369
		2389	6 24	380 22	939 2076	/	23427	25831	2283	7 2498	14	19067	22063	19621	27967
											-	-			
-		107.	8 1	06.8 10	0.0 116.3		110.1	96.8	93	5 90	.0	109.6	99.3	86.0	94.2
	% of control day 2	85.	0 1	J8.5 9	6.6 99.5		97.4	111.5	97	5 89	.2	101.9	95.8	82.5	101.4
		103.	/ 1	J5.8 9	9.6 90	4	101.7	112.1	99	1 108	.5	82.8	95.8	85.2	121.4
-															
Combined AVG	100.0			0.0	0.0 00.4			00.4	06	2 04	7		02.0	00.2	100.2
Combined AVG	7.7			0.0	2.2 0.3			90.4	30	7 7	4		33.9	5.5	100.2
Combined 31D	1.1	MDA 221 4	ettrial	0.2	2.2 3.	· · · · ·		10.7			4		5.0	5.5	10.0
		WDA-251 1	scular	DEAD					ncc					DMD/DCC	
		CTDI	1000	FOO	250	C	трі	1000	F 3 3	250		стрі	1000	E00	250
-		00040	20102	21270	230	C	1BL 21000	1000	24000	2,50		2024	20150	240.40	230
Aug CTBL dou't	22006.0	32240	23103	31370	31162		31663	19190	24336	26036		36624	20135	24940	27991
AVg CINL UAV 1	52090.0	32332	32370	31333	32730		30677	22332	23562	27563		30100	22324	26210	20303
		32333	30037	32743	52506		50944	23443	24000	20317		31333	20404	26145	27693
-		100.4	00.0	07.0	07.1		00.7	E0.0	77.0	02.7	0.0	1147	62.0	77.7	07.2
-		100.4	101.5	21.0 00.0	27.1		20.7 05.6	J3.0 70.0	71.5	02.7	0.0	02.0	70.0	01.7	07.2
-		101.4	101.3	103.0	102.0		5J.6	70.2	74.7	07.1	0.0	95.0	10.2	01.7	02.1
		101.4	23.0	102.0	100.7		20.4	/5.0	/0.5	00.0	0.0	57.0	03.0	01.3	00.7
-	-	MDA 221 2	nd trial												
		IVIDA-251 2	nutra	DAAD					DCC		a	5		DMD/DCC	8 8
		стр	1000	DIVIE E00	250	~	TDI	1000	F 33	250		CTDI	1000	E00	250
		10005	19244	19249	19740		20779	12242	10756	15966		21106	14075	15000	16904
Aug CTRL day 2	10530.7	10203	19544	19249	20120		10722	13242	14004	16100		10027	14073	15030	17110
Avg CINE day 2	19339.7	19244	19220	20025	20120		10775	19450	12965	16020		10001	14221	152/15	10570
-		15244	15550	20035	21353		10775	13330	13503	10020		10551	14435	13343	10372
		07.0	00.0	09.5	101.0		106.2	67.9	70.4	01.7		108.0	72.0	01.1	06 E
-		04.1	100.5	100.9	101.0		100.5	69.7	70.4	01.7	÷	100.0	72.0	01.1	00.5
	-	09.1	100.5	100.5	1105.0		100.9	68.7	72.1	02.5		102.0	72.8	01.5	07.5
		90.5	99.0	102.5	110.5		96.1	69.4	/1.5	00.1		90.9	74.0	/0.5	95.0
		0	-												
Combined AVC	400		07.4	100.4	102.4			60.0	72.0	04.0			60.2	00.3	07 4
Combined STD	. 100		4.2	1.00.1	102.4 A E			00.2	13.9	04.0			4.0	1 7	07.0
Complified 31D	3.3		4.2	1.9	4.J			4.J	3.1	6.9			4.0	4.7	4.6

Supplementary references

- 1 G. L. Nicolson, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta-Biomembranes*, 2014, **1838**, 1451–1466.
- 2 J. Schumann, A. Leichtle, J. Thiery and H. Fuhrmann, *PLoS One*, 2011, **6**, 1–8.
- 3 V. S. Shramko, Y. V Polonskaya, E. V Kashtanova, E. M. Stakhneva and Y. I. Ragino, *Biomolecules*, 2020, 10.
- 4 M. M. L. Ferreira, S. E. G. de Souza, C. C. da Silva, L. E. A. Souza, R. N. Bicev, E. R. da Silva and C. R. Nakaie, *Biochemistry*, 2023, **62**, 2530–2540.
- 5 I. Bressler, J. Kohlbrecher and A. F. Thunemann, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2015, 48, 1587–1598.
- J. S. Pedersen, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 1997, 70, 171–210.

- 7 J. Teixeira, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1988, 21, 781–785.
- 8 I. W. Hamley, *Small-Angle Scattering: Theory, Instrumentation, Data and Applications*, Wiley, Chichester, 1st edn., 2021.
- 9 T. Zemb and P. Lindner, *Neutrons, X-rays and light: scattering methods applied to soft condensed matter*, Elsevier, Amsterdam; Boston, 1st edn., 2002.
- 10 B. Hammouda, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, **133**, 84901.
- 11 I. W. Hamley, in Introduction to Soft Matter, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2007, pp. 39–110.