## Electronic Supplementary Information

## A dynamically stable self-assembled CoFe (oxy)hydroxide-based nancatalyst with boosted electrocatalytic performance for oxygen-evolution reaction

Ming Zhu<sup>a,b,1</sup>,Hengyue Xu<sup>c,1</sup>, Jie Dai<sup>d</sup>, Daqin Guan<sup>c\*</sup>, Zhiwei Hu<sup>e</sup>, Sixuan She<sup>f</sup>, Chien-Te Chen<sup>g</sup>, Ran Ran<sup>a\*</sup>, Wei Zhou<sup>a</sup>, and Zongping Shao<sup>c\*</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering, College of Chemical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing, 211800, China
 <sup>b</sup> Institute for Smart City of Chongqing University in Liyang, Chongqing University, Jiangsu, 213300, China

<sup>c</sup> WA School of Mines: Minerals, Energy and Chemical Engineering, Curtin University, Perth, Western Australia 6845, Australia

<sup>d</sup> School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China

<sup>e</sup> Max-Planck-Institute for Chemical Physics of Solids, Nöthnitzer Str. 40, Dresden 01187, Germany.

<sup>f</sup> Department of Applied Physics and Materials Research Center, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong

<sup>g</sup> National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center, 101 Hsin-Ann Road, Hsinchu 30076, Taiwan.

\*Email: daqin.guan@curtin.edu.au, ranr@njtech.edu.cn,

zongping.shao@curtin.edu.au

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally: Ming Zhu, Hengyue Xu



Figure S1. The LSV curves of CoFe-insitu during eletrpdeposition in 1M KOH.



Figure S2. The OER polarization curves and mass activities of CoFe-insitu and CoFe LDH with the same mass loading (0.0146 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> metal on the electrode) at  $\eta$  =0.3 V.



Figure S3. CV measurements in a non-faradic current region at scan rates of 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup> of a) CoFe-insitu, b) CoFe LDH. c)Linear fitting of the capacitive currents versus CV scan rates for CoFe-insitu and CoFe LDH. d) Specific activity normalized to ECSA of CoFe-insitu and CoFe LDH catalysts at  $\eta = 0.3$  V.

 Table S1. The OER mass activity of CoFe-insitu and benchmarked data of state-of-the-art

 transition metal-based catalysts in alkaline electrolyte.

	J	2	
Electrocatalysts	Mass acticity	Electrolyte	References
CoFe-insitu	$3.78 \text{ A mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \text{ V})$	1 M KOH	This work

9.17 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V)	1 M KOH	1
2.9 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V)	0.1 М КОН	2
2.527 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta$ = 0.27 V)	1 M KOH	3
2.5 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V)	0.1 М КОН	4
2 A mg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>metal</sub> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V)	0.1 М КОН	5
1.931 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.33$ V)	1 M KOH	6
$1.465 \text{ A mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \text{ V})$	1 М КОН	7
$1.7 \text{ A mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.45 \text{ V})$	1 M KOH	8
1000 A $g^{-1}$ ( $\eta = 0.55$ V)	1 М КОН	9
881 A $g^{-1}$ ( $\eta = 0.42$ V)	1 M KOH	10
$328.19 \ A \ g^{-1} \ (\eta = 0.5 \ V)$	1 М КОН	11
66.6 A $g^{-1}$ ( $\eta = 0.3$ V)	1 М КОН	12
	9.17 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 2.9 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 2.527 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 2.5 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 2 A mg <sup>-1</sup> <sub>metal</sub> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 1.931 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 1.931 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 1.465 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V) 1.7 A mg <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.45$ V) 1000 A g <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.45$ V) 881 A g <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.42$ V) 328.19 A g <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.5$ V) 66.6 A g <sup>-1</sup> ( $\eta = 0.3$ V)	$9.17 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $2.9 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $0.1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $2.527 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.27 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $2.527 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $0.1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $2.5 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $0.1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $2 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1}_{\mathrm{metal}} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $0.1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $1.931 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $1.465 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $1.465 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{mg}^{-1} (\eta = 0.45 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $1.000 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{g}^{-1} (\eta = 0.45 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $1000 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{g}^{-1} (\eta = 0.42 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $328.19 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{g}^{-1} (\eta = 0.5 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$ $66.6 \ \mathrm{A} \ \mathrm{g}^{-1} (\eta = 0.3 \ \mathrm{V})$ $1 \ \mathrm{M} \ \mathrm{KOH}$



Figure S4. XRD figure of CoFe LDH.



Figure S5. TEM figure of CoFe LDH



Figure S6. Synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction spectrum of CoFe-insitu



Figure S7. The LSV curves of Co-insitu-Fe and Fe-insitu-Co prepared by two steps in 1 M KOH.



Figure S8 a)The OER polarization curves, b) mass loading of Co and Fe, and molar ratio of Fe/Co+Fe of CoFe-insitu samples synthesized under different KOH concentrations (1M, 6M) and temperatures ( $25^{\circ}$ C,  $60^{\circ}$ C).



Figure S9. Contact angles of water towards a) blank carbon paper, b) CoFe LDH, c) CoFe-insitu and d) CoFe LDH after OER reaction.



Figure S10. The OER polarization curves of the CoFe-insitu on Ti foam and Ti foam.

Table S2. The elemental concentration on the surface of CoFe-insitu pre and post stability tes
--

detect by XPS.

	CoFe-insitu		CoFe-insitu post stability test			
	Atomic conc. [%]	Mass conc. [%]	Fe/Fe+Co	Atomic conc. [%]	Mass conc. [%]	Fe/Fe+Co
O 1s	21.6	24.1	0.456	23.8	23.3	
Fe 2p	1.5	5.7		3.5	12.1	0 472
Co 2p	1.7	7.1		4.0	14.3	0.472
C 1s	75.2	63.1		68.7	50.4	

## References

- 1. Y. Shi, Y. Yu, Y. Liang, Y. Du and B. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 3769-3773.
- S. Hou, W. Li, S. Watzele, R. M. Kluge, S. Xue, S. Yin, X. Jiang, M. Döblinger, A. Welle, B. Garlyyev, M. Koch, P. Müller-Buschbaum, C. Wöll, A. S. Bandarenka and R. A. Fischer, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, 33, 2103218.
- 3. P. Thangavel, G. Kim and K. S. Kim, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2021, 9, 14043-14051.
- 4. W. Li, S. Watzele, H. A. El-Sayed, Y. Liang, G. Kieslich, A. S. Bandarenka, K. Rodewald, B. Rieger and R. A. Fischer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5926-5933.
- 5. W. Cheng, X. Zhao, H. Su, F. Tang, W. Che, H. Zhang and Q. Liu, *Nature Energy*, 2019, **4**, 115-122.
- 6. Q. Zhang, N. M. Bedford, J. Pan, X. Lu and R. Amal, Adv. Energy Mater., 2019, 9, 1901312.
- Z. Cai, L. Li, Y. Zhang, Z. Yang, J. Yang, Y. Guo and L. Guo, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 4189-4194.
- 8. S. Zuo, Y. Liao, C. Wang, A. B. Naden and J. T. S. Irvine, *Small*, 2024, **20**, 2308867.
- G. Chen, Z. Hu, Y. Zhu, B. Gu, Y. Zhong, H.-J. Lin, C.-T. Chen, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1804333.

- S. She, Y. Zhu, H. A. Tahini, Z. Hu, S.-C. Weng, X. Wu, Y. Chen, D. Guan, Y. Song, J. Dai, S. C.
   Smith, H. Wang, W. Zhou and Z. Shao, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2021, 8, 11407.
- 11. Y. Huang, L.-W. Jiang, B.-Y. Shi, K. M. Ryan and J.-J. Wang, *Advanced Science*, 2021, **8**, 2101775.
- 12. J. Huang, J. Chen, T. Yao, J. He, S. Jiang, Z. Sun, Q. Liu, W. Cheng, F. Hu, Y. Jiang, Z. Pan and S. Wei, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8722-8727.