## **Photocatalytic CO<sup>2</sup> reduction enhanced by thermochromic effect of modified VO<sup>2</sup>**

Longzhen Zhang, <sup>a</sup> Yimin Xuan, <sup>a,\*</sup> Qi Wang, <sup>a</sup> Zhonghui Zhu, <sup>a</sup> Dawei Zhao, <sup>a</sup> Xianglei Liu, <sup>a</sup> Qibin Zhu, <sup>a</sup> Kai Zhang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Energy and Power Engineering, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 210016, China

# **Content**





## <span id="page-3-1"></span><span id="page-3-0"></span>**Experimental section The preparation of IV catalysts**

IV is synthesized by hydrothermal method. In specific method, 1 mmol ammonium met vanadate, 1 mmol indium nitrate were added into 20 mL deionized water, and 0.5 mmol citric acid were added into 10 mL deionized water. Then mix the two solutions and ultrasound dispersed evenly. And then the mixed solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at180 °C for 3 h. After cooling down, the sample was obtained by filtering, and heated at 40 °C for 12 h.

### <span id="page-3-2"></span>**The preparation of VO<sup>2</sup> and MexV Co-catalysts (Me=Mo, Co, W, x=0.05, 0.1)**

 $VO<sub>2</sub>$  is synthesized by hydrothermal method. In typical method, 2 mmol ammonium met vanadate and 0.5 mmol citric acid were added into 30 mL deionized water, and dispersed by ultra phonic method for 30 minutes evenly. And it was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at 160 °C for 12 h. After cooling down, the sample was washed using water by centrifuged and filtered for four times. Finally, heat and dry the sample at 40 °C for 24 h. Similarly,  $Me<sub>x</sub>V$  is synthesized by hydrothermal method like the procedure of the preparation of  $VO<sub>2</sub>$ . Specifically, 2 mmol ammonium met vanadate, 0.5 mmol citric acid were added into 20 mL deionized water, and 0.2 or 0.4 mmol molybdenum nitrate or cobalt nitrate or tungstic acid was added into 10 mL deionized water. Then mix the two solutions and dispersed by ultra phonic method for 30 minutes evenly. And it was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave and heated at  $160^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. After cooling down, the sample was washed using water by centrifuged and filtered for four times. Finally, heat and dry the sample at 40 °C for 24 h. Then  $Mo_{0.05}VO_2(M1V)$ ,  $Mo_{0.1}VO_2(M2V)$ ,  $Co_{0.05}VO_2$ (C1V),  $Co_{0.1}VO_2$  (C2V),  $W_{0.05}VO_2$  (W1V) and  $W_{0.1}VO_2$  (W2V) were obtained.

### <span id="page-3-3"></span>**Synthesis of VO2-IV and MexV-IV Composites**

100 mg IV and 10 mg  $Me<sub>x</sub>V$  were mixed into 20 mL H<sub>2</sub>O and it was dispersed by ultra phonic method for 1 h. Then the samples were obtained by centrifuged at 10000 r/min for 5 minutes. Then heat, dry the samples at 40  $\degree$ C for 24 h and grind them. Finally,  $VO_2$ -IV,  $M1V$ -IV,  $M2V$ -IV,  $C1V$ -IV,  $C2V$ -IV,  $W1V$ -IV and W2V-IV were obtained.

#### <span id="page-3-4"></span>**Characterizations**

The crystallographic phase of these as-prepared powder samples was determined investigated by an in-situ temperature-dependent and ex-situ X-ray diffractometer (XRD; Rigaku Ultima III, Japan) at different temperatures. The rate of rising temperature is 10 °C/min and maintain the test temperature 5 min. The XRD graphs were described over the scanning range of  $5^{\circ}$ -80° using Cu-Ka radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.154178 nm) at 40 kV and 40 mA.

The morphologies of the materials and EDS mapping were determined by a fieldemission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) by using an accelerating voltage of 5 kV. Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images were obtained at 200 kV by a transmission electron microscope.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using a spectrometer (Escalab 250xi, Thermo Scientific). The specific surface area and pore size distribution of materials was performed by a physical absorption analyzer.

The UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) of all as-prepared powder samples were recorded using a Perkin Elmer Lambda spectrophotometer and using BaSO<sub>4</sub> as standard under different temperatures.

The atomic force microscopic (AFM) images and ex situ and in situ Kelvin probe force

microscope (KPFM) images were performed by an atomic force microscope (NX-Hivac, Park). The KPFM images were displayed in dark or under a LED lamp.

The photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the samples were recorded with a PE LS 55 spectro fluoro photometer. The average carrier lifetime ( $\tau_{\text{ave}}$ ) is calculated through the following equation:

$$
\tau_{ave} = \frac{A_1 \tau_1^2 + A_2 \tau_2^2}{A_1 \tau_1 + A_2 \tau_2}
$$

In situ DRIFT was measured by Nicolet iS50 FTIR (Thermo Scientific) in dark or under a 300 W Xe lamp at a distance of 30 cm. The rate of raising temperature is 10 °C/min and maintain the test temperature 5 min using cooling water when measured. The temperature rise curves of each sample were measured in a closed reactor about 0.5 cm X 0.5 cm without water using a data-recording thermocouple.

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Photocatalytic activities for CO<sup>2</sup> conversion**

0.02 g of the photocatalyst with glass fiber filter and 2 mL deionized water was placed in a 50 mL quartz reactor. Besides, a 300 W xenon arc lamp was used as reactive light source. Simulated solar spectrogram is displayed in **Figure S27**. Firstly, highpurity (99.999 %)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  with a steady flow was continuously bubbled in the closed reactor to ensure no  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  in the reactor and the  $CO_2/H_2O$  adsorption-desorption equilibrium on the photocatalyst for at least 1 h, and then start the light reaction. After irradiation for 6 h, 1 mL mixed gas of the photo-reactor was injected into a gas chromatograph (GC-9720, containing both TCD and FID detectors) to obtain CO and CH<sup>4</sup> concentrations. Meanwhile, control experiments were also done in Ar atmosphere, no light or no catalyst. Furthermore, the reduction products of  ${}^{13}CO_2$  isotope labelling instead of  ${}^{12}CO_2$  experiment were experimented. After light irradiation for two days, 1 mL of the product gas was analyzed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (Agilent 7890B 5977B GC-MSD).

#### <span id="page-4-1"></span>**Photoelectrochemical measurements**

The photoelectrochemical measurements were measured in a three-electrode configuration system (FTO-working electrode, Pt-counter electrode, Ag/AgClreference electrode and  $0.5$  M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution-the electrolyte) on a CHI 760A electrochemical workstation. The photocurrent data were obtained by using a 300 W Xe lamp with filters to with on-off time intervals of 10 s. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was obtained at a scan rate of 50 mV/s in dark or under light. Mott-Schottky curves were tested under the condition at the frequency of 1000 Hz and the scan rate of 50 mV of various potentials in dark.

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**Theoretical calculation methods**

All calculations are performed within the DFT framework using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE).<sup>1</sup> The VASP (Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package) package and the projection-enhanced wave method are used.<sup>2,3</sup> The kinetic cutoff energy of the planar wave base is set to 600 eV. Brillouin zone integration is performed on a Gamma-centered K-Mesh. The selfconsistent field (SCF) procedure is performed with a convergence criterion of 10-8 eV until all atoms are fully relaxed until the force on each atom is less than 0.02 eV/Å. The rutile phase has 72 atoms in 2\*2\*3 expansion, and the M phase has 48 atoms in 2\*2\*1 expansion. The (110) and (011) planes of the rutile phase and the m phase were respectively selected for adsorption calculation. The adsorption model consists of 4 layers, the bottom two layers are fixed for calculation, and the vacuum layer is set at 15 angstrom. The energy band structure was calculated by CASTEP.

# <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Supplementary Figure**



Figure S1. Representative SEM image of synthesized IV and VO<sub>2</sub> material.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Figure S2 Representative SEM images of synthesized (a) M1V, (b) M2V, (c) C1V, (d) C2V, (e) W1V and (f) W2V.



Figure S3 EDS elemental mapping profiles of IV with In (bright yellow), V (red), O (green) and C(blue) distribution.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

<span id="page-6-1"></span>Figure S4 Representative SEM images of synthesized (a) M2V-IV, (b) C1V-IV, (c) C2V-IV, (d) W1V-IV, (e) W2V-IV and (f)  $\text{VO}_2\text{-IV}$ .



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure S5 TEM-EDS spectrum of M1V-IV material.



<span id="page-7-1"></span>Figure S6 Representative AFM 3D image of IV and M1V.

<span id="page-7-2"></span>

Figure S7 Representative AFM image of VO<sub>2</sub>.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Figure S8 AFM line scanning of  $\text{VO}_2$ .



<span id="page-8-1"></span>Figure S9  $N_2$  absorption-desorption isotherms of samples.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Figure S10 High-resolution XPS spectra of V 2p in C<sub>x</sub>V, C<sub>x</sub>V-IV, W<sub>x</sub>V and  $W_xV$ -IV materials.



<span id="page-9-1"></span>Figure S11 High-resolution XPS spectra of O 1s in  $C_xV$ ,  $C_xV$ -IV,  $W_xV$  and W<sub>x</sub>V-IV materials.



<span id="page-9-2"></span>Figure S12 High-resolution XPS spectra of In 3d in C<sub>x</sub>V, C<sub>x</sub>V-IV, W<sub>x</sub>V and  $W_xV$ -IV materials.



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Figure S13 High-resolution XPS spectra of Co 2p in  $C_xV$  and  $C_xV$ -IV materials.



<span id="page-10-1"></span>Figure S14 High-resolution XPS spectra of W 4f in  $W_xV$  and  $W_xV$ -IV materials.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>Figure 15 The stability performance of M1V-IV and IV.



<span id="page-11-1"></span>Figure S16 XRD pattern and SEM image of reclaimed M1V-IV.



<span id="page-12-0"></span>Figure 17 The high-resolution spectra of (a) V 2p, (b) O 1s, (c) In 3d and (d) Mo 3d for M1V-IV and reclaimed M1V-IV.



<span id="page-12-1"></span>Figure S18 XRD pattern of VO<sub>2</sub>, M2V, C1V, C2V, W1V and W2V of different temperatures.



<span id="page-13-0"></span>Figure S19 XRD pattern of IV, M2V-IV, C1V-IV, C2V-IV, W1V-IV and W2V-IV of different temperatures.



<span id="page-13-1"></span>Figure S20 Infrared thermal images of  $VO_2$ -IV (left) and M1V-IV (right) for 10 min irradiation.



<span id="page-14-0"></span>Figure S21 Transient photocurrent response with a 5 s interval of M1V and  $VO<sub>2</sub>$  in different temperatures



<span id="page-14-1"></span>Figure S22 in situ DRIFT spectrum of M1V-IV in CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at ambient temperature in dark.



<span id="page-15-0"></span>Figure S23 in situ DRIFT spectrum of IV in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere at different temperatures in dark.



<span id="page-15-1"></span>Figure S24 (a, d) Tauc plots of IV and M1V; ultraviolet photoelectron spectra (UPS) of (b, c) IV and (e, f) M1V.



<span id="page-16-0"></span>Figure S25 Position of the  $E_{CB}$  and  $E_{VB}$  for IV and M1V on the absolute vacuum energy scale and with respect to normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) (PH=7).



<span id="page-16-1"></span>Figure S26 KPFM potential of IV, M1V and M1V-IV.



<span id="page-17-0"></span>Figure S27 Simulated solar spectrogram in photocatalytic reaction.

<span id="page-18-1"></span>

# <span id="page-18-0"></span>**Supplementary Table**

<span id="page-18-2"></span>Table S2 the main binding energy position of samples

	raoic 52 the main binding energy position or samples			
Sample	V 2p (eV)	O 1s	In 3d	Mo $3d/Co 2p/W 4f$
IV	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 523.4 $V^{3+}$ 2p <sub>5/2</sub> : 516.5 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.4 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}$ : 517.2	529.6 531.0 532.6	452.4 444.9	
VO <sub>2</sub>	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 522.8 $V^{3+} 2p_{5/2}$ : 515.1 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.0 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}$ : 516.4	529.1 531.5 532.8		
M1V	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 523.2 $V^{3+} 2p_{5/2}$ : 517.7 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.5 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}: 517.1$	529.7 531.4 533.0		234.0 230.8
M2V	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 523.1 $V^{3+} 2p_{5/2}$ : 515.8 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.5 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}$ : 523.1	529.7 531.4 533.0		234.0 230.8
$VO2-IV$	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 523.1 $V^{3+}$ 2p <sub>5/2</sub> : 516.2 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.3 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}$ : 516.8	529.4 531.0 532.5	451.6 444.1	
$M1V-IV$	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 523.2 $V^{3+}$ 2p <sub>5/2</sub> : 516.4 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.5 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}$ : 517.1	529.6 531.3 532.7	452.0 444.5	235.4 232.2
M <sub>2</sub> V-IV	$V^{3+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 523.1 $V^{3+}$ 2p <sub>5/2</sub> : 516.4 $V^{5+} 2p_{1/2}$ : 524.4 $V^{5+} 2p_{3/2}$ : 517.0	529.7 531.3 532.8	452.0 444.5	235.4 232.2

		mowcaiai y sis.	Productivity		
Catalyst	Light source	Reaction condition	$\mu$ mol g <sup>-1</sup> $h^{-1}$ )	Selectivity	Reference
$CdSe-InVO4$	300 W Xe lamp visible light $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$	NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	CO:27.9	$\sqrt{2}$	$[4]$
$InVO_4-C_3N_4$	Xe lamp ( $\lambda$ > $420 \text{ nm}$ )	80 kPa CO <sub>2</sub> $20$ mL $H2O$	CO:20.14 $CH_4:3.46$	85.34 %	$[5]$
In $VO_4/La_2Ti_2O_7$	300 W Xe lamp $(200 -$ 780 nm, 1160 $mW.cm^{-2}$	$0.1$ mL $H2O$	CO:11.7	$\sim$ 100 %	[6]
$C_3N_4$ -InVO <sub>4</sub>	Xe lamp ( $\lambda$ > $420$ nm)	$5$ mL $H2O$	CO:14.05 $CH_4:1.88$	88.20%	$[7]$
$Bi2WO6/InVO4$	300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda$ 420 nm)	$5$ mL $H_2O$	CO:17.97 $CH_4:1.12$	94.11 %	[8]
BP: La/InVO <sub>4</sub> : La	300 W Xe lamp ( $\lambda$ 420 nm) (455 $mW.cm^{-2}$	$4$ mL $H2O$	CO:11.96	$\sim$ 100 %	[9]
In $VO_4/Ti_3C_2T_x$	300 W Xe lamp(110) $mW.cm^{-2}$ 300 W Xe	$0.4$ mL $H2O$	CO:13.83 $CH_4:0.71$	95.12%	$[10]$
$CdS/InVO4:Eu3+$	lamp ( $\lambda$ 420 nm) (455 $mW.cm^{-2}$	$4$ mL $H2O$	CO:11.02 $CH_4:8.24$	57.21 %	$[11]$
InVO <sub>4</sub> /SiC	300 W Xe lamp visible light $(\lambda > 420 \text{ nm})$	$50 \mu L H_2O$	CO:47.53 CH <sub>4</sub> :3.61	92.9%	$[12]$
$M1V-IV$	300 W Xe lamp(330) $mW.cm^{-2}$	$2$ mL $H_2O$	CO: 58 $CH_4:~1$	$>99\%$	This work

<span id="page-19-0"></span>Table S3 Comparison of products yield rates with other IV system photocatalysts.

<span id="page-19-1"></span>Table S4 The bi-exponential decay time constants of the time-resolved PL of samples.

			T P of Balliples.		
Sample	$\tau_1$ /ns	$A_1/9/6$	$\tau_2$ /ns	$A_2/6$	$\tau_{\rm ave}/\rm ns$
IV	1.00	84.19	5.52	15.81	3.31
$VO2-IV$	1.70	38.22	7.24	61.78	6.54
M1V-IV	0.99	30.43	9.24	69.57	8.87

Band position	Intermediates
1294	$^*CO_2$
1395	*COOH/CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-/*</sup> OCHO
1434	$^*CO_2$
1505	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2</sup>
1580	*COOH
2077	$CO*$

<span id="page-20-0"></span>Table S5 the band position of different intermediates

## <span id="page-21-0"></span>**Reference**

[1] J.P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996)], Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 1396.

[2] G. Kresse, and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Phys Rev B 59 (1999) 1758.

[3] P.E. Blöchl, C.J. Först, J. Schimpl, Projector augmented wave method:ab initio molecular dynamics with full wave functions, Bull. Mater. Sci. 26 (2003) 33.

[4] Feifei Mei, Kai Dai, Jinfeng Zhang, Linlin Li, Changhao Liang, Ultrathin indium vanadate/cadmium selenide-amine step-scheme heterojunction with interfacial chemical bonding for promotion of visible-light-driven carbon dioxide reduction, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 608 (2022) 1846–1856.

[5] Mingyi Yu, Jianbo Wang, Guojun Li, Shule Zhang, Qin Zhong, Construction of 3D/2D indium vanadate/graphite carbon nitride with nitrogen defects Z-scheme heterojunction for improving photocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction, Journal of Materials Science & Technology 154 (2023) 129–139.

[6] Lujiang Xiao, Lichao Lin, Jia Song, Zizhong Zhang, Xuxu Wang, Wenyue Su, Construction of a direct Z-scheme InVO4/La2Ti2O7 photocatalyst toward efficient and selective CO2 reduction to CO, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 935 (2023) 168086.

[7] Li Wan, Deli Chen, Shuqi Miao, Fang Chen, Changfa Guo, Pengcheng Y, Jiqiang Ning, Yijun Zhong, Yong Hu, Nitric acid-assisted growth of InVO4 nanobelts on protonated ultrathin C3N4 nanosheets as an S-scheme photocatalyst with tunable oxygen vacancies for boosting CO2 conversion, Chemical Engineering Journal 434 (2022) 133867.

[8] Jiadong Li, Feng Wei, Ziyuan Xiu, Xiaojun Han, Direct Z-scheme charge transfer of Bi2WO6/InVO4 interface for efficient photocatalytic CO2 reduction, Chemical Engineering Journal 446 (2022) 137129.

[9] Qiuye Wang, Liyan Dong, Minze Li, Honglai Lu, Guodong Wei, Yang Qu, and Guofeng Wang, Z-scheme Heterojunction Photocatalyst Based on Lanthanum Single-Atom Anchored on Black Phosphorus for Regulating Surface Active Sites, therefore Enhancing Photocatalytic CO2 Reduction with ≈100% CO Selectivity, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2022, 32, 2207330.

[10] Liang Li, Yong Yang, Liuqing Yang, Xiaoyong Wang, Yong Zhou, Zhigang Zou, 3D Hydrangea-like InVO4/Ti3C2Tx Hierarchical Heterosystem Collaborating with 2D/2D Interface Interaction for Enhanced Photocatalytic CO2 Reduction, ChemNanoMat 2021, 7, 815 –823.

[11] Yini li, Yang Qu and Guofeng Wang, Europium single atom based heterojunction photocatalysts with enhanced visible-light catalytic activity, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2022, 10, 5990– 5997.

[12] Hui Chen, Xin Yang, Min Lin, Dongmiao Li, Bing Wang, Jinni Shen, Jinlin Long, Wenxin Dai, Xuxu Wang, Zizhong Zhang, Dual Z‑Scheme Heterojunction SiC-Sandwiched InVO4 Nanoflowers for Boosting Visible-Light Photocatalytic CO2 Reduction with H2O, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2023, 11, 18029−18040.