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## 4-MPY Density calculations:

1 Calculation of hot spot density
(1) There are $30 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} @ \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (FS) nanoparticle in 3 mL water, the number of FS was

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{F S}=\frac{m_{F S}}{M_{F S}}=\frac{30 \times 10^{-3}}{2.2 \times \frac{4 \pi}{3}\left(\left(153 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{3}-\left(150 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{3}\right)+5.18 \times \frac{4 \pi}{3}\left(150 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{3}} \\
& =4.0 \times 10^{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Take 0.5 mL of FS substrate, remove the supernatant, and add 0.25 mL of deionized water, the amount of FS

$$
N_{F S^{\prime}}=\frac{0.5}{3.0} \times N_{F S}=\frac{0.5}{3.0} \times 4.0 \times 10^{11}=6.7 \times 10^{10}
$$

(3) The number of $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ substrate nanoparticle used for SERS detection was

$$
N_{F S 0}=6.67 \times 10^{10} \times \frac{4 \times 10^{-3}}{3}=8.9 \times 10^{7}
$$

(4) The distribution area of FA particles was $8.66 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ (Figure S 2 a ) before enrichment of the substrate with the magnet and $1.34 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ (Figure S 2 g ) in the
presence of the magnet, respectively, and thus the density of FA particles before and after enrichment was

Before enrichment: $D_{F S 1}=\frac{8.9 \times 10^{7}}{8.66}=1.0 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{per} \mathrm{mm}{ }^{2}$
After enrichment: ${ }^{D_{F S 2}}=\frac{8.9 \times 10^{7}}{1.34}=6.6 \times 10^{7}$ per mm ${ }^{2}$
(5) Calculation of FA particle gap before and after enrichment (gap)

Before enrichment: $G_{F A 1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1.0 \times 10^{7}}}=0.31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
After enrichment: $G_{F A 2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{6.6 \times 10^{7}}}=0.12 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
(6) Calculation of density of hot spots before and after enrichment (density of hot spots, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{HS}}$ )

Before enrichment:

$$
D_{H S 1}=2 \times \sqrt{1.0 \times 10^{7}} \times\left(\sqrt{1.0 \times 10^{7}}+1\right)=2.1 \times 10^{7} \text { per mm }^{2}
$$

After enrichment:

$$
D_{H S 2}=2 \times \sqrt{6.6 \times 10^{7}} \times\left(\sqrt{6.6 \times 10^{7}}+1\right)=1.3 \times 10^{8} \text { per mm }^{2}
$$

2 Calculation of Density calculation for FA surface 4MPY
(1) Based on the results of the SERS detection (Figure S 1), the amount of 4MPY adsorbed on the $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ FA substrate was $N_{A M}=C_{4 M P Y} \times V_{4 M P Y}=(10.0-0.15) \times 10^{-6} \times 1 \times 10^{-3} \times 6.02 \times 10^{23}=5.93 \times 10^{15}$
(2) The number of 4MPY on each FA particle is:
$N_{4 M P Y 0}=\frac{5.93 \times 10^{15}}{8.9 \times 10^{7}}=6.7 \times 10^{7}$
(3) Before and after enrichment, the density of AM distribution was (density of $\mathrm{AM}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{AM}}$ )

Before enrichment:

$$
D_{4 M P Y 1}=6.7 \times 10^{7} \times 1.0 \times 10^{7}=6.9 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{per} \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{2}
$$

After enrichment:

$$
D_{4 M P Y 2}=6.7 \times 10^{7} \times 6.6 \times 10^{7}=4.5 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{per} \mathrm{~mm}{ }^{2}
$$

(4) Per FA surface, 4 MPY density distribution was

Based on previously studies, the spacing of gold nanoparticles on the FA surface is 7 nm , so the number of gold nanoparticles per FA surface was
$N_{A u}=\frac{4 \pi \times\left(R+r+\frac{\text { space }}{2}\right)^{2}}{\pi \times\left(r+\frac{\text { space }}{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{4 \pi \times\left(155+10+\frac{7}{2}\right)^{2}}{\pi \times\left(10+\frac{7}{2}\right)^{2}}=587$
The surface area of per FA particle was
$S_{F A}=S_{F A}+S_{A u} \times N_{A u}=4 \pi R^{2}+4 \pi r^{2} \times 587=4 \pi 155^{2}+4 \pi 10^{2} \times 587=1.08 \times 10^{6}$
The density distribution of 4MPY on the FA surface was
$D_{A M 3}=\frac{N_{4 M P Y 0}}{S_{F A}}=\frac{6.7 \times 10^{7}}{1.08 \times 10^{6}}=62 \mathrm{per} \mathrm{nm}^{2}$



Figure S 1 (a)Signal intensity plots of Raman spectra of 4MPY at different dilutions (b) The calibration curve of 4MPY (the inserted red-purple point was 4MPY concentration after FA absorption.).


Figure S 2 White light map of magnetic FA particle distribution after enrichment with different magnets.
Table S 1 Effect of different magnet sizes on the substrate

| Sample | Magnetic <br> fields <br> $(\mathrm{mT})$ | Diameter <br> of magnet <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Thickness <br> of magnet <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Area <br> $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ | GAP <br> $(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | density of <br> hot spots <br> $($ per <br> $\left.\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$ | density of <br> AM (per <br> $\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.66 | 0.31 | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}+14$ |
| b | 22 | 1 | 1 | 5.68 | 0.25 | $3.1 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.0 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| c | 26 | 1 | 2 | 2.39 | 0.16 | $7.4 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.5 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| d | 67 | 2 | 1 | 3.17 | 0.19 | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $1.9 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| e | 87 | 2 | 2 | 2.83 | 0.18 | $6.3 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.1 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| f | 96 | 3 | 1 | 1.07 | 0.11 | $1.7 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $5.6 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| g | 103 | 3 | 2 | 1.34 | 0.12 | $1.3 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $4.5 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| h | 126 | 3 | 3 | 2.20 | 0.16 | $8.1 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.7 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| i | 138 | 3 | 4 | 1.64 | 0.14 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| j | 143 | 3 | 5 | 2.30 | 0.16 | $7.7 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| k | 144 | 3 | 6 | 1.84 | 0.14 | $9.7 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $3.2 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| 1 | 113 | 4 | 1 | 2.56 | 0.17 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.3 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| m | 170 | 4 | 2 | 1.58 | 0.13 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| n | 102 | 5 | 1 | 1.66 | 0.14 | $1.1 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $3.6 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| o | 187 | 5 | 2 | 1.00 | 0.11 | $1.8 \mathrm{E}+08$ | $6.0 \mathrm{E}+15$ |
| p | 185 | 7 | 2 | 2.27 | 0.16 | $7.8 \mathrm{E}+07$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}+15$ |

