Supporting Information

Constructing FeSe² nanorods supported on ketjenblack with superior cyclability for potassium-ion batteries

Bi-Cui Chen¹, Xian Lu¹, Hou-Yang Zhong¹, Pei-Weng Huang¹, Ya-Nan Wu¹, Si-Yu *Xu¹ , Xue-You Tan2, * and Xiao-Hui Wu1,**

1 College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Advanced Materials Oriented Chemical Engineering, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, China. E-mail: sherrywu@fjnu.edu.cn

2 Guangxi Key Laboratory of Automobile Components and Vehicle Technology, School of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering, Guangxi University of Science and Technology, Liuzhou, 545006, P. R. China. E-mail: tanxueyou123@163.com

Experiments

Chemicals and Materials

Ferrocene (Fe $(C_5H_5)_2$, 99%), selenium powder (99.99%), and perylene-3,4,9,10tetracarboxylic dianhydride (PTCDA, 98%) were brought from Adamas. Red phosphorus powder (97.00%) was purchased from Acros. Ketjen black (KB) and carboxy methyl cellulose sodium (NaCMC, 99%) were obtained from Canrd. Poly(vinylidene difluoride) (PVDF, 99.5%) was purchased from SOLVAY. N, Ndimethylformamide (DMF, 99.5%) was purchased from Greagent. All the above reagents were used directly without additional treatment.

Materials Synthesis

Synthesis of $\text{FeSe}_2(\widehat{a})\text{C-x}$ (x=0, 1, 3, 5) and $\text{FePe}(\widehat{a})\text{C-3}$

Taking the synthesis of FeSe₂@C-3 as an example: 100 mg ferrocene (0.5 mmol), 160 mg selenium powder (2.0 mmol), and 30 mg carbon black were mixed evenly. The mixture was transferred to a quartz tube which was evacuated using an oil pump and then sealed. The vacuum-sealed quartz tube was placed in a double-temperature zone tube furnace for high-temperature selenization. After preheating at 110℃ for 10 minutes, the temperature of the sample end was set to 450℃ and the non-sample end to 300 °C for 30 minutes. After the quartz tube cools to room temperature, $FeSe₂(a)C-3$ could be obtained. Under the condition that other preparation conditions remain unchanged, the addition of raw materials for different samples was listed in the following table:

Materials Characterization

The crystalline phases of the as-prepared samples were characterized via the powder X-ray diffractometer (PXRD, Bruker D8 Advance) with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$) Å). The structure and composition of the as-prepared samples were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi SU8100), regular transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL 2100F), and high-resolution transmission electron microscope (HRTEM) including high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscope (HAADF-STEM) equipped with associated the energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). The chemical compositions and the bonding structures were determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra (Thermo ESCALAB 250XI). Raman spectra were obtained by using a Micro-Raman spectroscopy system (LabRAM HR Evolution) under a laser excitation of 532 nm wavelength. The specific surface area was measured with a BELSORP-Mini II instrument. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA NETZSCH STA 449F3) was performed up to 800°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min in the air atmosphere.

Electrochemical Measurements

1. Half Cells

The electrochemical properties of samples were measured using coin cells (CR2032). The electrodes were obtained via the following method: 40 mg samples, 5 mg KB, and 5 mg PVDF (8: 1: 1) were dispersed in NMP to form a slurry under continuous stirring. Subsequently, the slurry was smeared on copper foil [uniformly](javascript:;), and dried at 80° C for 12 h. The loading of the active materials was ensured at approximately 0.8-1.2 mg cm−2 . The fabricated electrode was used to construct the half-cells in an Ar-filled glove box. The half cells were assembled by using the synthesized FeSe₂@C-x (x=0, 1, 3, 5), FeP@C-3 or PTCDA electrodes as the working electrodes, potassium as the reference electrode, Whatman GF/D glass fiber as the separator and 1.0 M KFSI solution in a mixture of Ethylene Carbonate and Diethyl Carbonate (1: 1 in volume) as the electrolyte. The voltage range for the PTCDA-based half cells was 1.5-3.5 V but 0.005- 2.8 V for the other half cells. The galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were carried out at 30°C using a LAND cycler test system. Both cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) were performed with a CHI660d electrochemistry workstation (CH instrument, Shanghai).

2. Full Cells

The preparation was similar to the half cells. In a full cell, the $FeSe₂(ω)C-3 electrode$ was used as the anode and the PTCDA electrode was applied as the cathode. Before assembling the full cells, the PTCDA cathodes were pre-cycled in half-cells at 0.1 A g^{-1} between 1.5 and 3.5 V for three turns, and the FeSe₂@C-3 anode was cycled in halfcells for two cycles over a potential range of 0.005 to 2.8 V and then discharged to 0.005 V at 0.1 A g⁻¹. The voltage range for the full cells was 0.5 -3.4 V.

Theoretical Calculations

To explore the effect of the structure of FeSe_2 and FeP on potassiation process, the first principles calculation based on the density functional theory (DFT) was carried out at the atomic level. The K^+ adsorption energy on the FeSe₂ and FeP were calculated in DMol³ code.¹ The molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed based on the density functional theory (DFT) using the Forcite module.

1. The construction of three models: A supercell of FeSe₂ ($2 \times 2 \times 1$) with the parameters of a=9.608 Å, b=11.568 Å, c= 3.586 Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ was built as sc- FeSe₂ model; A supercell of FeP $(2 \times 1 \times 1)$ with the parameters of a=10.386 Å, b=6.198 Å, c= 5.792 Å, $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$ was built as sc-FeP model. The chemical formula of sc-FeSe₂ and sc-FeP is $Fe₈Se₁₆$ and $Fe₈P₈$, respectively. The geometry optimization process employed the Perdew-Burke-Eznerhof (PBE) with generalized gradient approximation (GGA) for the exchange-correlation functional.² The basis-set was double numerical orbital base group and orbital polarization function (DNP) and the basis file was set to be 3.5. The Grimme scheme was included for the dispersion correction.³ During the coordinate's relaxation, the convergence criteria for the geometry optimization were set to 2.0 × 10⁻⁵ Ha for energy, 0.004 Ha Å⁻¹ for force, and 0.005 Å for displacement. The self-consistent field convergence for the single point energy calculation was set to 1.0 \times 10⁻⁵ Ha, and global orbital cutoff is 5.0 Å. The Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh was

0.04 1/Å.

2. The calculation of K^+ intercalation energy: The K^+ intercalation energies (E_{in}) of sc-FeSe₂ and sc-FeP models were calculated as follows:

 $E_{in} = E_{composite} - E_{pristine} - E_{K}$

where $E_{\text{composite}}$ was the total energy of the sc- $FeSe_2$ and sc-FeP after K intercalation, E_{pristine} was the total energy of geometry-optimized sc- $FeSe_2$ and sc-FeP, and E_K is the chemical potential of K atom.

Figure S1. Scheme of the synthesis process for FeP@C-3 sample.

Figure S2. SEM images of KB carbon at different magnifications.

Figure S3. PXRD patterns of $FeSe_2@C-0$, $FeSe_2@C-1$, $FeSe_2@C-3$, and $FeSe_2@C-5$ samples along with the corresponding standard patterns of FeSe₂ and Se.

Figure S4. SEM images of (A) $FeSe_2@C-0$, (B) $FeSe_2@C-1$, and (C) $FeSe_2@C-5$.

Figure S5. The element mapping of (A) $FeSe_2@C-1$, (B) $FeSe_2@C-3$, and (C) $FeSe_2@C-5$.

Figure S6. Raman spectra of $FeSe_2@C-3$, $FeSe_2@C-0$ and $FeP@C-3$ in the range of 1200-1700 $cm⁻¹$

Figure S7. TG analysis curves of $FeSe₂(Q)C-3$, $FeP(Q)C-3$, and $FeSe₂(Q)C-0$ in air atmosphere from 30-800℃.

Figure S8. PXRD patterns of annealed (A) FeP@C-3, (B) FeSe₂@C-3, and FeSe₂@C-0 samples after TG test in air atmosphere from 30-800℃.

The calculation process:

Based on the above results, let x represent the mass percentage of Fes_{2} in $Fes_{2}@C-3$, and let y represent the mass percentage of FeP in FeP@C-3. The following equation is used for calculation:

$$
(100\% - 82.6\%) \times \frac{56 \times 2}{56 \times 2 + 16 \times 3} = x \times \frac{56}{56 + 79 \times 2}
$$

$$
(100\% - 28.6\%) \times \frac{56}{56 + (31 + 16 \times 3) \times 3} = y \times \frac{56}{56 + 31}
$$

$$
(2)
$$

By calculation, the mass percentage of $F\epsilon Se_2$ in $F\epsilon Se_2@C-3$ material is 46.5%, and the mass percentage of FeP in FeP $@C-3$ material is 21.2%. Further calculation reveals that the atomic percentage of $FeSe₂$ in $FeSe₂(a)C-3$ material is 4.5%, and the atomic percentage of FeP in FeP@C-3 material is 3.6%.

Figure S9. N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms of FeSe₂@C-3, FeP@C-3 and FeSe₂@C-0.

Figure S10. The discharge-charge curves of (A) FeP@C-3 and (B) FeSe₂@C-0 electrode at different cycles at 0.1 A g^{-1} .

Figure S11. The galvanostatic discharge profiles of $FeSe_2@C-3$ at the $2nd$, $9th$ and $100th$ cycles at 0.1 A g^{-1} .

	Specific capacity (mA h g ⁻¹)					
Voltage range (V)	2nd	Qth	100 th	$\triangle C_{9-2}$	$\triangle C_{100-9}$	
$0.5 - 0.005$	175	122	133	-53	11	
$1.5 - 0.5$	196	164	174	-32	10	
$2.6 - 1.5$	126	141	176	15	35	
Full range	497	427	483	-70	56	

Table S1. The specific capacity increments of $FeSe_2@C-3$ electrode in three voltage ranges.

Table S2. Comparison of the cycling performance of $Fese_2@C-3$ and other $Fese_2$ -based materials for PIBs anodes.

Material	Current density	Cycle	Specific capacity	Ref.
	$(A g^{-1})$	number	$(mA h g^{-1})$	
$FeSe2(a)C-3$	0.1	100	486	This
	1.0	3500	286	work
NFS@NC@C	5.0	1200	107.7	$\overline{4}$
FeSe ₂ /NC	1.0	250	301	5
FeSe ₂ (a)NC	2.0	5000	24.1	6
$FeSe2/N-C$	2.0	2000	158	7
FeSe ₂ (a)C NBs	0.1	700	221	8

Figure S12. (A) The cycling performance at a current density of 0.1 A g^{-1} , (B) rate performance at various current densities, and (C) long-term cyclic performance at 1.0 A g^{-1} of FeSe₂@C-3, FeSe₂@C-1 and FeSe₂@C-5 electrodes.

Figure S13. (A) Cycling performance, (B) rate performance and (C) long-term cyclic performance of the KB electrode.

Figure S14. CV curves of FeSe₂@C-0 electrode at different scan rates from 0.5 to 2.0 mV s⁻¹.

Figure S15. The ln(i) versus ln(v) plot of the peak current of $FeSe₂(QC-3)$.

Figure S16. The capacitive charge storage contribution to the charge storage at 0.5 mV s^{-1} for the $FeSe₂(QC-0$ electrode.

Figure S17. The equivalent circuit for the EIS spectra of the FeSe₂@C-3, FeP@C-3, and FeSe₂@C-0 electrodes.

Figure S18. The constructed models of (A) sc-FeP and (B) sc-FeSe₂.

References

- 1. Delley, B., From molecules to solids with the DMol3 approach. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2000,** *113* (18), 7756-7764.
- 2. Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M., Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Physical Review Letters* **1996,** *77* (18), 3865.
- 3. Grimme, S.; Antony, J.; Ehrlich, S.; Krieg, H., A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **2010,** *132* (15), 154104.
- 4. Gong, J.; Zhang, R.; Wei, X.; Liu, Y.; Luo, Q.; Wan, Q.; Zheng, Q.; Wang, L.; Liu, S.; Lin, D., Spatially dual-confined metallic selenide double active centers for boosting potassium ion storage. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **2023,** *459*, 141609.
- 5. Liu, Y. Z.; Yang, C. H.; Li, Y. P.; Zheng, F. H.; Li, Y. J.; Deng, Q.; Zhong, W. T.; Wang,

G.; Liu, T. Z., FeSe₂/nitrogen-doped carbon as anode material for Potassium-ion batteries. *Chemical Engineering Journal* **2020,** *393*, 124590.

- 6. Wu, H.; Lu, S.; Xu, S.; Zhao, J.; Wang, Y.; Huang, C.; Abdelkader, A.; Wang, W. A.; Xi, K.; Guo, Y.; Ding, S.; Gao, G.; Kumar, R. V., Blowing Iron Chalcogenides into Two-Dimensional Flaky Hybrids with Superior Cyclability and Rate Capability for Potassium-Ion Batteries. *ACS Nano* **2021,** *15* (2), 2506-2519.
- 7. Ge, J. M.; Wang, B.; Wang, J.; Zhang, Q. F.; Lu, B. G., Nature of FeSe₂/N-C Anode for High Performance Potassium Ion Hybrid Capacitor. *Advanced Energy Materials* **2020,** *10* (4), 1903277.
- 8. Liu, C.; Li, Y. J.; Feng, Y. H.; Zhang, S.; Lu, D.; Huang, B. Y.; Peng, T.; Sun, W. W., Engineering of yolk-shelled $FeSe₂(a)$ nitrogen-doped carbon as advanced cathode for potassium-ion batteries. *Chinese Chemical Letters* **2021,** *32* (11), 3601-3606.