Supplementary Information (SI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

# **Supporting Information**

- 2 Anionic engineering of a p-dopant enables efficient and stable
- 3 perovskite solar cells
- 4 Correspondence to: <u>luojs@uestc.edu.cn</u>

5

6	Table of Contents
7	1. Materials
8	2. Characterization
9	3. DFT calculations
10	4. Precursor solution and device fabrication
11	5. Figures S1-S16
12	6. Table S1-S3

### 14 **1. Materials**

FAI (99.8%) were purchased from Greatcell Solar. PbI2 (99.999%) and ITO 15 glass were purchased from Advanced Election Technology CO., Ltd. PTAA 16 (99.9%), Spiro-OMeTAD (99.9%), t-BP (99%), PbBr<sub>2</sub> (99.9%), MABr (99.8%) 17 and CsBr (99.9%) were purchased from Xi'an Yuri Solar Co., Ltd. Lithium 18 tetrakis(pentafluorophenyl)borate ethyl etherate (Li-BCF) was purchased from 19 J&K Scientific. The SnO<sub>2</sub> (15 wt%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. The N, N-20 dimethylformamide (DMF, 99.9%), Li-TFSI (99%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 21 22 99.9%), chlorobenzene, isopropanol (IPA, 99.9%) and acetonitrile were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Ethanol was purchased from Aladdin. All the 23 materials were used as received without any purification. 24

#### 25 **2. Characterization**

The ultraviolet-visible absorption spectrum (UV-vis) was measured by a 26 Hitachi UV-visible spectrophotometer (U-2910). The electron spin resonance 27 28 spectroscopy (ESR) was analyzed by a Bruker-E500 spectrometer. The ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) measurements were performed 29 30 by the AXIS ULTRA DLD instrument from Kratos, UK, using a Hel monochromator with 21.22 eV source energy. The steady-state fluorescence 31 spectroscopy (PL) was collected by a Hitachi spectrophotometer (F-4600). The 32 transient fluorescence spectra (TRPL) were measured at room temperature 33 34 using the time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) technique with a FluoroLog-3 modular spectrofluorometer (HORIBA Jobin Yvon). The 35

electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of the PSCs under ambient air 36 was recorded by an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660E, Shanghai, 37 38 Chenhua) and a solar simulator (Zolix Instrument Co., Ltd. Beijing). The surface and cross-sectional morphology of the films were observed by a scanning 39 electron microscopy (JEOL JSM-7600F). The J-V curve of the PSCs was 40 measured at room temperature using an electrochemical workstation (CHI 41 660E, Shanghai, Chenhua) under AM 1.5G simulated solar light (100 mW cm<sup>-</sup> 42 <sup>2</sup>), and the incident light intensity was calibrated with a standard silicon solar 43 44 cell. Incident photon-to-electron conversion efficiency (IPCE) spectrum was measured using a CROWNTECH, QTest Station 2000 IPCE testing system. 45 Regarding the environmental stability of the PSCs, the devices were kept at 46 47 ambient conditions (50-85% room humidity and room temperature) in a dark environment for 30 days. Regarding the operational stability of PSCs, 48 unencapsulated PSCs were continuously tested in a standard LED simulated 49 50 sunlight, room temperature and N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The water contact angle of the films was examined by a droplet shape analyzer (Krüss DSA100). The atomic 51 force microscopy (AFM) measured by Bruker Dimension Icon AFM instrument. 52 Two dimensional grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (2D-GIXD) images were 53 conducted at BL14B1 beamline of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility 54 (SSRF) ( $\lambda$  = 1.24 Å). The incidence angle is 0.16 degree and the exposure time 55 is 60 s. Time-of-flight secondary-ion mass spectrometry (TOF-SIMS) was 56 performed by TOF.SIMS 5 (IONTOF GmbH, Germany). A dual-beam TOF-57

SIMS was used with Cs<sup>+</sup> primary ions (0.5 keV, 26 nA, 300 × 300  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) for the erosion and a Bi<sup>+</sup> pulsed primary ion beam for the analysis of anion (30 keV, 1 pA, 100 × 100  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>).

61

# 3. DFT calculations

Electrostatic potential (ESP) calculations utilized the Gaussian16, A.03 software package. For geometry optimizations, the B3LYP functional<sup>1</sup> was employed in conjunction with the Becke-Johnson damping scheme (D3BJ)<sup>2, 3</sup>. All atoms were modeled using the 6-31G(d,p) basis set<sup>4</sup>.

66 Calculation related to molecular orbitals were performed in the DFT 67 framework carried out by the Dmol3 program<sup>5</sup> with a Generalized Gradient 68 Approximation-Perdew Burke Ernzerh (GGA-PBE) exchange correlation 69 functional<sup>6</sup>. The self-consistent field (SCF) convergence criterion of  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  Ha 70 was adopted to the geometry optimization, and the total energy convergence 71 tolerance and force tolerance were set to be  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Ha,  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  Ha Å<sup>-1</sup>, 72 respectively.

The CP2K program<sup>7</sup> was utilized for the calculations of relaxed models, electron transfer, and other optimized structures, employing the spin-polarized density functional theory<sup>8, 9</sup>. A mixed Gaussian and plane-wave basis set was applied for all calculations. Core electrons were represented using normconserving Goedecker-Teter-Hutter pseudopotentials<sup>10-12</sup>, and van der Waals interactions were corrected using Grimme's DFT-D3 model<sup>2</sup>. The exchangecorrelation functional was GGA-PBE. Valence electron wavefunctions were expanded using a double-zeta basis set with polarization functions<sup>13</sup>,
supplemented by an auxiliary plane-wave basis set with an energy cutoff of 360
eV. Configurations were optimized via the Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno
(BGFS) algorithm, achieving a self-consistent field (SCF) convergence criterion
of 1.0×10<sup>-6</sup> au.

## **4. Precursor solution and device fabrication**

The ITO glass substrates were ultrasonically cleaned with deionized water, 86 acetone, and ethanol in sequence, each step was more than 30 minutes, and 87 88 dried with a nitrogen flow, then treated with UV-ozone for 30 minutes. All the 89 solutions were passed through a 0.22-mmfilter before use. The SnO<sub>2</sub> solution was prepared by diluting 15 wt% SnO<sub>2</sub> aqueous solutions (400 µL) with 1.1 mL 90 91 of isopropanol/H<sub>2</sub>O (1/1, v/v). The SnO<sub>2</sub> solution was spin coated onto an ITO substrate at 3000 rpm for 30 s, and annealed in ambient air at 150 °C for 30 92 min. 1.2 M Cs<sub>0.05</sub>FA<sub>0.85</sub>MA<sub>0.1</sub>Pb(I<sub>0.95</sub>Br<sub>0.05</sub>)<sub>3</sub> perovskite precursor solution was 93 prepared in mixture solvent of DMF and DMSO (4:1, v/v). The perovskite 94 solution was spin coated with t a one-step spin-coating process at 1300 rpm for 95 96 10 s and 5000 rpm for 45 s, respectively. The spin-coated perovskite precursor 97 films were sequentially heated at 110 °C. For the control PTAA: Li-TFSI solution, dissolved 15 mg PTAA in 1 mL of chlorobenzene, added 7.5 µL of 98 bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonamide lithium salt (Li-TFSI)/acetonitrile (170 mg/mL) 99 and 7.5 µL of *t*-BP/acetonitrile (1:1 v/v). The PTAA and Li-BCF were dissolved 100 101 into 1 mL CB and mixed by desired Li-BCF/PTAA ratios 5% to 10% (weight

ratio). For the control Sprio-OMeTAD: Li-TFSI solution, dissolved 72.3 mg
Sprio-OMeTAD in 1 mL of chlorobenzene, added 17.5 µL of Li-TFSI/acetonitrile
(520 mg/mL) and 28.8 µL of t-BP. The Sprio-OMeTAD and Li-BCF were
dissolved into 1 mL CB and mixed by desired Li-BCF/Sprio-OMeTAD ratios 2%
to 10% (weight ratio). The HTL solution was spin-coated on the perovskite layer
by spin coating at 3000 rpm for 30 s. Finally, Au electrode was thermally
evaporated.

# **5. Figures S1-S11**



Figure S1. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. Optimized
structures of (a) Li-BCF and (b) Li-TFSI.



- **Figure S2**. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. (a) HOMO and
- (b) LUMO density of Li-BCF.



**Figure S3.** Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. (a) HOMO and

122 (b) LUMO density of Li-TFSI.



- **Figure S4.** Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. (a) Optimized
- 127 structure of the Li-BCF/PTAA dimer interaction model and (b) its corresponding
- 128 Electrostatic potential (ESP) surface.



- 130
- 131 **Figure S5.** Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. (a) HOMO and
- 132 (b) LUMO density of Li-BCF/PTAA dimer interaction model.
- 133



Figure S6. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. Differential charge map of Li-BCF/PTAA interaction model (red and blue respectively represent the accumulation and depletion of electrons).



Figure S7. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. (a) Side
view and (b) top view of the optimized model of Li-BCF/ PTAA trimer/ PVK lattice.



Figure S8. Density functional theory (DFT) calculation results. (a) Side
view and (b) top view of the optimized model of Li-TFSI/ PTAA trimer/ PVK
lattice.





147

- 148 Figure S9. Tapping-mode AFM height and phase images (5  $\times$  5  $\mu$ m) of
- perovskite capped with (a, b) Li-TFSI doped PTAA film and (c, d) Li-BCF doped
- 150 PTAA film respectively.



**Figure S10.** IPCE spectrum and integrated  $J_{SC}$  of Li-BCF doped photovoltaic

153 device.



154

Figure S11. (a) Best *J-V* curves of Spiro-OMeTAD based PSCs and (b) the corresponding PCE box plot with different doping concentrations of Li-BCF (2 wt%, 5 wt%, 8 wt%, 10 wt%). (c) Best *J-V* curves and (d) the corresponding PCE box plot of Spiro-OMeTAD devices under different doping systems. The numbers in the box plot from top to bottom are the maximum, median, and minimum values, respectively.



Figure S12. The fitting curve for Li-BCF operational stability data, with detailed
 fitting parameters shown in Table S2.



50% RH, 20 min

- **Figure S13.** Photos of dopants decomposing perovskite films by absorbing
- 168 moisture in the air.



- 170 Figure S14. Cross-sectional SEM image of aged Li-BCF-doped device (20
- 171 days, 25 °C, 50-85% RH).



**Figure S15.** 2D-GIXD data of aged PSCs based on (a) PTAA:Li-TFSI and (b)

174 PTAA:Li-BCF under the ambient conditions without encapsulation for 30 days.



176 Figure S16. TOF-SIMS results of Li<sup>+</sup> ions in aged devices based on Li-TFSI

177 and Li-BCF.

# **6. Table S1-3**

Sample	A <sub>1</sub>	⊤1 ( <b>ns</b> )	A <sub>2</sub>	т2 <b>(ns)</b>	т <sub>ave</sub> (ns)
Perovskite	0.46	69.01	0.45	395.08	345.68
Perovskite/PTAA	0.64	8.80	0.38	67.05	56.51
Perovskite/PTAA: Li-TFSI	1.45	2.65	0.34	21.88	15.33
Perovskite/PTAA: Li-BCF	2.52	1.77	0.34	14.62	8.54

179	Table S1. The fitting parameters of	TRPL data through an exponential n	nodel.
-----	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--------

181	Table S2.	Summar	y of the	photovoltaic	parameters	of S	piro-OMe <sup>¬</sup>	AD based
-----	-----------	--------	----------	--------------	------------	------	-----------------------	----------

182 PSCs with different doping system.

Doping system	J <sub>SC</sub> (mA cm <sup>-2</sup> )	V <sub>oc</sub> (V)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
Dopant-free Spiro-OMeTAD	23.20	1.116	57.16	14.80
Spiro-OMeTAD: Li-TFSI	23.81	1.139	77.55	21.03
Spiro-OMeTAD: 2 wt% Li-BCF	23.89	1.138	78.46	21.33
Spiro-OMeTAD: 5 wt% Li-BCF	23.82	1.129	81.17	21.83
Spiro-OMeTAD: 8 wt% Li-BCF	23.77	1.128	78.32	21.00
Spiro-OMeTAD: 10 wt% Li-BCF	23.65	1.121	76.53	20.29

- **Table S3.** The fitting parameters of Li-BCF operational stability data through an
- 186 linear model.

Fitting Parameter				
Equation	PCE(t) = a + b t			
Weight	Unweighted			
Intercept (a)	$1.00 \pm 9.51 \times 10^{-4}$			
Slope (b)	-5.86 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ± 1.79× 10 <sup>-6</sup>			
Sum of Squares of Residuals	0.029			
Pearson's r	-0.88			
Coefficient of Determination	0.78			

### 188 **References**

- 189 1 P. J. Stephens, F. J. Devlin, C. F. Chabalowski and M. J. Frisch, *The*
- 190 Journal of Physical Chemistry, 1994, **98**, 11623-11627.
- 191 2 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**,
  192 154104.
- 193 3 S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich and L. Goerigk, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2011, **32**, 1456194 1465.
- 195 4 Y. Zhao and D. G. Truhlar, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2008, **120**, 215-241.
- 196 5 B. Delley, *J Chem. Phys.*, 1990, **92**, 508-517.
- 197 6 B. Delley, J Chem. Phys., 2000, **113**, 7756-7764.
- 198 7 J. VandeVondele, M. Krack, F. Mohamed, M. Parrinello, T. Chassaing and
- 199 J. Hutter, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2005, **167**, 103-128.
- 200 8 P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, *Phys. Rev.*, 1964, **136**, B864-B871.
- 201 9 W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, *Phys. Rev.*, 1965, **140**, A1133-A1138.
- 202 10 S. Goedecker, M. Teter and J. Hutter, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, **54**, 1703-1710.
- 11 C. Hartwigsen, S. Goedecker and J. Hutter, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1998, **58**, 36413662.
- 205 12 M. Krack and M. Parrinello, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2000, 2, 2105-
- **206 2112**.
- 13 J. VandeVondele and J. Hutter, *J Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **127**, 114105.