# Realizing high-stability anode for rechargeable magnesium battery via

### in-situ-formed nanoporous Bi and nanosized Sn

Dachong Gu<sup>a</sup>, Yuan Yuan<sup>a,b,c\*</sup>, Xianhao Peng<sup>a</sup>, Dajian Li<sup>a</sup>, Liang Wu<sup>a</sup>, Guangsheng Huang<sup>a</sup>, Jingfeng Wang<sup>a</sup>, Fusheng Pan<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> National Engineering Research Center for Magnesium Alloys, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing, 400000, China

<sup>b</sup> Chongqing Institute of New Energy Storage Materials and Equipment, Chongqing, 401122, China <sup>c</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering, Central South University, Changsha, 410083, China

## **1** Experimental methods

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors: Yuan Yuan, <u>yuanyuan17@cqu.edu.cn</u>, <u>yuan.yuan.er@gmail.com</u>

#### **1.1 Material synthesis**

The Bi<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> (BSO) sample was prepared according to previous reports <sup>1</sup>. The obtained BSO particles were heated at 600 °C for 3 h under a mixed gas flow of 95% Ar and 5% H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere to prepare Bi-Sn@SnO<sub>2</sub>-600 (BSS-600) alloys. The Bi-Sn@SnO<sub>2</sub>-400 (BSS-400) and Bi-Sn@SnO<sub>2</sub>-500 (BSS-500) alloys were prepared under the heated temperature was 400 °C and 500 °C with the same process, respectively.

#### **1.2 Alloy characterization**

 $N_2$  adsorption isotherms and pore size distributions were obtained at 77 K using a Micromeritics ASAP-2460 instrument. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out in a PANalytical X'Pert Powder instrument (Spectris Pte. Ltd, Netherlands) using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation with a step size of 0.026° and a scanning speed of 4° per minute. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was collected on an X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Scientific K-Alpha, USA). All the high-resolution spectra were calibrated by referencing the binding energy of C 1s as 284.8 eV. The morphology and microstructure of the as-prepared samples were characterized by JEOL JSM-7800F scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Talos F200S).

### **1.3 Electrochemical measurement**

*Electrolyte preparation.* 0.4 M all phenyl complex (APC) in THF solution was prepared according to previous reports <sup>2</sup>. The obtained 0.4 M APC solution was used as the electrolyte for all half-cell experiments. For the preparation of 0.4 M  $Mg(TFSI)_2/G2$  electrolyte, 0.935 g  $Mg(TFSI)_2$  (99%, DoDoChem) was dispersed in 4 mL G2 (99.5%, water≤50 ppm, MkSeal). The 4 Å molecular sieves (1-1.6 mm, Aladdin) were added to the  $Mg(TFSI)_2/G2$  electrolyte overnight before use. All operations are carried out in the Ar-filled glove box with water and oxygen less than 0.01 ppm.

*Cell fabrication and measurements*. The electrodes were prepared by mixing active materials (70 wt %), acetylene black (20 wt %), and poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF, 10 wt %) using N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent. The above slurry was coated onto the stainless steel (SS) foil. The film was dried at 80 °C for 12 h to evaporate NMP in a vacuum oven. Electrode disks (diameter: 10 mm) were then cut out and the active material mass per electrode was about 1-2 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>.

For half cells, Mg//Bi-Sn@SnO<sub>2</sub> CR2032 coins were assembled in an Ar-filled glove box to evaluate the electrochemical performance, using polished Mg foils as both the counter and reference electrodes and glass fiber (GF/A) as the separator. Galvanostatic discharge/charge tests were conducted using the Neware battery test systems at various current densities between 0.005 and 1 V (vs. Mg<sup>2+</sup>/Mg) at room temperature. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) studies were performed at a potential window of 0-1 V (vs. Mg<sup>2+</sup>/Mg) on a CHI660E electrochemical workstation (CH instrument, USA) with the desired scan rate. For *ex-situ* XRD and XPS characterization, the Mg//BSS-600 cells at different states during cycling were disassembled, and then the cycled BSS-600 electrodes were washed with THF before tests.

For full cells,  $Mo_6S_8$  cathode and  $V_2O_5$ -PAN were synthesized following previously published work <sup>3, 4</sup>. Galvanostatic discharge/charge experiments of cathode were run using a pre-magnesiated BSS-600 anode in 0.4 M Mg(TFSI)<sub>2</sub>/G2 electrolytes at the current density of 50 mA g<sup>-1</sup> (calculated by  $Mo_6S_8$  or  $V_2O_5$ -PAN mass). The BSS-600 anodes were electrochemically pre-magnesiated by half-cell complete discharge. Magnesiated anodes were washed with THF prior to use and then dried in the glove box. The Mg/Mo<sub>6</sub>S<sub>8</sub> and Mg//V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>-PAN full-cells were assembled using 0.4 M APC electrolytes as a control.



Fig. S1 (a) SEM image and (b) XRD pattern of the BSO annealed at 800 °C for 3 h in Ar atmosphere.



Fig. S2 High-angle annular dark-field scanning TEM (HAADF-STEM) of BSO.



Fig. S3 (a-c) SEM image and elemental mappings of BSO particles annealed at 600 °C for 1.5 h in 95% Ar and 5%  $H_2$  atmosphere; (d) corresponding to XRD pattern.



Fig. S4 (a) SEM images and (b) corresponding to XRD pattern of BSO particles annealed at 650  $^\circ$ C for 3h in 95% Ar and 5% H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere.



Fig. S5 SEM image and elemental mappings of BSS-600 electrode after 20th charge.



Fig. S6 Discharge/charge profiles of the BSO electrode after different cycles.



Fig. S7 GITT curves at the first cycle obtained from the BSO//Mg, BSS-400//Mg, BSS-500//Mg and BSS-600//Mg half cells (10 min of reduction/oxidation at 20 mA g<sup>-1</sup> followed by 10 min of relaxation at OCV).



Fig. S8 First two discharge/charge curves of the BSS-600 electrode at 500 mA  $g^{-1}$  test during the activation process.



Fig. S9 The *ex-situ* XRD pattern of the BSS-600 electrode at the  $20^{\text{th}}$  discharge to 0.18 V.



Fig. S10 *Ex-situ* XPS spectra of Mg 1s in the BSS-600 anodes at different discharge/charge status.



Fig. S11 The first five discharge/charge profiles of the pre-magnesiated BSS- $600//Mg(TFSI)_2//Mo_6S_8$  with a potential range of 0-1.8 V at the current density of 50 mA g<sup>-1</sup>.

Table S1 The physical properties of as-prepared samples.

Samples	S <sub>BET</sub> (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	Average pore size (nm)
BSO	52.0	0.191	11.864
<b>BSS-400</b>	44.9	0.210	14.000
<b>BSS-500</b>	25.5	0.122	14.960
<b>BSS-600</b>	3.3	-	-

Table S2 The corresponding kinetic parameters calculated from Nyquist plots.

Electrode	Rs (Ω)	Rct ( $\Omega$ )	CPE1 (F)	$D_{Mg2+}$ (cm <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
Pristine BSS-600	12.96	5989.00	9.95×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.88×10 <sup>-17</sup>
Activated BSS-600	6.54	22.15	3.19×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.17×10 <sup>-15</sup>

Calculation of the Mg<sup>2+</sup> diffusion coefficient according to EIS:

$$D_{Mg^{2+}} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n_{Mg^{2+}}^4 F^4 C_{Mg^{2+}}^2 \sigma^2}$$

Here, A is the surface area of the electrode (0.785 cm<sup>2</sup>), n is the number of electrons per molecule during a reaction, F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), C<sub>0</sub> is the bulk concentration of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, R is the gas constant (8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>), T was the room temperature (298.15 K), and  $\sigma$  is the Warburg factor.  $\sigma$  has a relationship with the real part of impedance Z', which is expressed as follows:

$$Z' = \mathbf{R}_s + R_{ct} + \sigma \omega^{-1/2}$$

 $\omega$  is the angular frequency which is equal to  $2\pi f$  where f is the frequency in Hertz.

## Calculation of the Mg<sup>2+</sup> diffusion coefficient according to CV:

The Randles-Sevcik equation calculates the apparent Mg<sup>2+</sup> diffusion coefficient at room temperature as follows:

$$I_p = 269000n^{3/2} A D_{Mg^{2+}}^{1/2} C_{Mg^{2+}} v^{1/2}$$

Where v is the scanning rate (V s<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_{Mg}^{2+}$  is the molar concentration of  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $D_{Mg}^{2+}$  is the chemical diffusion coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), A is the surface area of the electrode and n is the number of electrons per molecule during the reaction.

### References

- Y. L. Xing, X. D. Kong, X. Guo, Y. Liu, Q. Y. Li, Y. Z. Zhang, Y. L. Sheng, X. P. Yang, Z. G. Geng and J. Zeng, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, 7, 1902989.
- 2 O. Mizrahi, N. Amir, E. Pollak, O. Chusid, V. Marks, H. Gottlieb, L. Larush, E. Zinigrad and D. Aurbach, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2008, 155, A103-A109.
- 3 E. Lancry, E. Levi, A. Mitelman, S. Malovany and D. Aurbach, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2006, 179, 1879-1882.
- R. Deng, Z. Wang, S. Tan, X. Huang, Z. Gao, Y. Fang, C. Chen, R. Wang, C. Xu, G. Huang, J.
  Wang and F. Pan, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2024, 489, 151095.