Low-temperature ionothermal polymerization of phenazinebased small molecules towards ultrastable and high-capacity anode of aqueous alkaline sodium-ion batteries

Xiaorong Yan¹, Mingjun Hu^{1*}, Guoqing Zhao¹, Chuanguang Wu¹, Rui Li², Xinyu Wang², Haiping Yu², Zhihui Wang¹, Bei Wang², Yuxin Hao², Jingru Liu², Yilan Wu^{3*}, Jun Yang2,4, *

1 School of Materials Science and Engineering, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, China

2 Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy & Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101400, China

3. School of Chemical Engineering, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia

4. Shensi Lab, Shenzhen Institute for Advanced Study, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Shenzhen 518110, China

*Corresponding authors.

E-mail: mingjunhu@buaa.edu.cn; yilan.wu@uqconnect.edu.au; yangjun@binn.cas.cn.

Figure S1. Illustration of the preparation process of HATN.

Figure S2. ESI-MS spectrum of HATN. Calculated HATN m/z value: 384, $[HATN+H]^{+}$: 385, found 385.12, $[HATN+NH_4]^{+}$: 401, found 401.12.

Figure S3. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectrum of HATN.

Figure S4. Photographs of products: (a) HATN; (b) the products after heating HATN at 250 °C for 24h; (c) the products after heating the mixture of HATN and $ZnCl_2$ -NaCl-KCl at 250 °C for 24h; (d) PHATN (the products after heating the mixture of HATN and AlCl₃-NaCl-KCl at 250 °C for 24h). Photographs to show the solubility of the products in CHCl₃: (e) the solubility of a; (f) the solubility of b; (h) the solubility of c; (i) the dispersion of d. The results show the PHATN is black and was not well dissolved by CHCl₃.

Figure S5. The proposed dehydrocoupling reaction mechanism of PPZ.

Figure S6. (a) The C 1s XPS spectra of PZ. (b) The N 1s XPS spectra of PZ. (c) The C 1s XPS spectra of HATN. (d) The N 1s XPS spectra of HATN.

Figure S7. ¹³C solid-state NMR spectrum of HATN.

Figure S8. ¹³C solid-state NMR spectrum of PHATN.

Figure S9. The TGA, DTG and DSC curves of (a) PPZ and (b) PHATN in Air.

Figure S10. CV curves of HATN and PHATN at 6 mV s⁻¹.

Figure S11. The electrochemical impedance spectrum (EIS) of HATN and PHATN.

Figure S12. The GITT curves of (a) HATN and (b) PHATN; The ion diffusion coefficients of (c) HATN and (d) PHATN.

Figure S13. The calculated capacitive current curves (blue regions) at different scan rates (2mV s⁻¹; 4mV s⁻¹; 6mV s⁻¹; 8mV s⁻¹; 10mV s⁻¹) of PHATN.

Figure S14. Na 1 s XPS spectra of PHATN at different discharge and charge states.

Figure S15. Ex-situ XRD of PHATN electrode as the anode at different discharge and charge states.

Figure S16. The SEM-EDS mapping images of PHATN electrodes at different charge/discharge states.

Figure S17. The SEM images of (a, b) pristine electrodes and (c, d) electrodes after 10000 cycles of HATN, and (e, f) pristine electrodes and (g, h) electrodes after 10000 cycles of PHATN.

Figure S18. Electrostatic potential diagrams of the tetramer of HATN.

Figure S19. Quantitative distribution of electrostatic potential of HATN, dimer, trimer and tetramer.

Figure S20. (a) CV curves of $Ni(OH)_2$ at 2 mV s⁻¹. (b) CV curves of PHATN and $Ni(OH)_2$ at 2 mV s⁻¹.

Figure S21. (a) Charge-discharge curves of $Ni(OH)$ ₂ electrodes at different cycles. (b) XRD patterns of Ni(OH)₂ electrodes at different charge/discharge states.

Figure S22. (a) EIS of HATN//Ni(OH)₂ and PHATN//Ni(OH)₂. (b) CV curves of PHATN//Ni $(OH)_2$ at 5 mV s⁻¹.

Figure S23. Cycle performance of PHATN/Ni $(OH)_2$ at 1 A g^{-1} .

Figure S24. (a) Rate performance and (b) Charge-discharge curves of PHATN//Ni(OH)₂ with the weight ratio of PHATN, ketjen black and PVDF was $3:6:1$ at various current densities.

Figure S25. Cycle performance of PHATN//Ni(OH)² with the weight ratio of CTF-M, ketjen black and PVDF was $3:6:1$ at (a) 1 A g^{-1} and (b) 10 A g^{-1} .

Figure S26. The calculated capacitive current curves (blue regions) at different scan rates (2mV s⁻¹; 4mV s⁻¹; 6mV s⁻¹; 8mV s⁻¹; 10mV s⁻¹) of PHATN//Ni(OH)₂.

Figure S27. (a) EIS of PZ and PPZ as anode. (b) CV curves of PZ and PPZ as the anode at 5 mV s⁻¹. (c) Cycling performance of PZ and PPZ as anode at 1 A g^{-1}

Figure S28. (a) EIS of PZ//Ni(OH)₂ and PPZ//Ni(OH)₂. (b) CV curves of PZ//Ni(OH)₂ and PPZ//Ni(OH)₂ at 5 mV s⁻¹. (c) Cycling performance of PZ//Ni(OH)₂ and $PPZ//Ni(OH)₂$ at 1 A $g⁻¹$

Sample	Test content	Test methods	Results			units
			2 Mpa	4 Mpa	6 Mpa	
PZ	Electrical	Quadrupole	1.39E-07	1.89E-07	2.19E-07	S/m
	conductivity	probe				
PPZ	Electrical	Quadrupole	1.33E-04	1.92E-04	2.46E-04	S/m
	conductivity	probe				
HATN	Electrical	Quadrupole	2.47E-09	3.82E-09	5.44E-09	S/m
	conductivity	probe				
PHATN	Electrical	Quadrupole	1.91E-06	3.60E-06	4.80E-06	S/m
	conductivity	probe				

Table S1. The electronic conductivity of the obtained samples.

		Discharge capacity	Cycling performance	Ref.
Sample	electrolyte	$(mAh g-1)$	(Current density)	
$CDPZ@G$ //	10 M	130.5 (0.5 A g^{-1}) base o	91.3% / After 1500	$\mathbf{1}$
Ni-MOF	NaOH	n the anode mass	cycles $(6 \text{ A } g^{-1})$	
3CN-DPZ //	10 M	323.6 $(2 \text{ A } g^{-1})$ base on	96.4% / After 5000	$\overline{2}$
Ni-BTA	NaOH	the anode mass	cycles $(8 \text{ A } g^{-1})$	
PBA //	17 M	52.8 (0.125 A g ⁻¹) base	93.01% / After 500	3
CrCrPBA	NaClO ₄	on the anode mass	cycles (3.75 A g^{-1})	
N-NaVTP //	Saturated	49.7 (0.2 A g^{-1}) base on	60% / After 1000	
N-NaVTP	NaClO ₄	the total mass of cathod	cycles $(5 \text{ A } \text{g}^{-1})$	4
		e and anode		
$Na3MnTi(PO4)3$ //	1 M	57.9 (0.029 A g^{-1}) base	98% / After 100	5
$Na3MnTi(PO4)3$	Na ₂ SO ₄	on the total mass of cat	cycles $(0.0587 \text{ A g}^{-1})$	
		hode and anode		
$NaTi2(PO4)3$ //	0.5 M	77 (0.06 A g^{-1}) base on	96% / After 300	
Na ₂ NiFe(CN) ₆	Na ₂ SO ₄	the total mass of cathod	cycles (0.06 A g^{-1})	6
		e and anode		
	0.5 M	110 (0.06 A g^{-1}) base on		
$NaTi2(PO4)3//$	Na ₂ SO ₄ //	the total mass of cathod	84.7% / After 1000	6
Na ₂ NiFe(CN) ₆	0.1M Na ₄ Fe(CN	e and anode	cycles (0.09 A g^{-1})	
	$\overline{6}$			
$NaTi2(PO4)3$ //		61 (0.118 A g^{-1}) base on	74.3% / After 13000	
Na ₂ MnFe(CN) ₆	NaClO ₄	the total mass of cathod	cycles (1.18 A g^{-1})	$\overline{7}$
		e and anode		
LTP@C/CNTs //	5 M	83.36 (0.2 A g ⁻¹) base o	79.4% / After 500	8
Na _{0.44} MnO ₂	NaNO ₃	n the anode mass	cycles $(3 \text{ A } g^{-1})$	
$\text{NaTi}_2(\text{PO}_4)_3(\text{QC})$	17 M	43.9 (0.1 A g^{-1}) base on	48.8% / After 100	
NaVPO ₄ F@C/	NaClO ₄	the total mass of cathod	cycles (0.5 A g^{-1})	9
		e and anode		

Table S2. Rate performance and cycling performance comparison of PHATN//Ni(OH)² with other materials-based aqueous sodium-ion batteries reported in the literature.

Notes and references

- 1 J. He, R. Wang, L. Li, L. Zhao, M. Shi and C. Yan, *Chem Commun*, 2022, **58**, 11925–11928.
- 2 R. Wang, M. Shi, L. Li, Y. Zhao, L. Zhao and C. Yan, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2023, **451**, 138652.
- 3 J. Chen, C. Liu, Z. Yu, J. Qu, C. Wang, L. Lai, L. Wei and Y. Chen, *Chem Eng J*, 2021, **415**, 129003.
- 4 Q. Y. Meng, J. C. Shao, X. R. Dou and H. Z. Chi, *Small*, **20**, 2308483.
- 5 H. Gao and J. B. Goodenough, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2016, **55**, 12768–12772.
- 6 W. Zhou, Y. Zheng, M. Zartashia, Y. Shan, H. Noor, H. Lou and X. Hou, *Acs Appl Mater Inter*, 2022, **14**, 34835–34843.
- 7 H. Wu, J. Hao, Y. Jiang, Y. Jiao, J. Liu, X. Xu, K. Davey, C. Wang and S.-Z. Qiao, *Nat Commun*, 2024, **15**, 575.
- 8 T. Xu, M. Zhao, Z. Su, W. Duan, Y. Shi, Z. Li, V. G. Pol and X. Song, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2021, **481**, 229110.
- 9 Y. Wang, D. Bin, C. Wang, Y. Cao and Y. Xia, *Batteries & Supercaps*, 2023, **6**, e202300275.
- 10Z. Hou, M. Dong, Y. Xiong, X. Zhang, Y. Zhu and Y. Qian, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2020, **10**, 1903665.
- 11H. Wang, T. Liu, X. Du, J. Wang, Y. Yang, H. Qiu, G. Lu, H. Li, Z. Chen, J. Zhao and G. Cui, *Batteries Supercaps*, 2022, **5**, e202200246.
- 12Z. Hou, X. Zhang, J. Chen, Y. Qian, L.-F. Chen and P. S. Lee, *Advanced Energy Materials*, 2022, **12**, 2104053.
- 13L. Shen, Y. Jiang, Y. Liu, J. Ma, T. Sun and N. Zhu, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2020, **388**, 124228.
- 14T. Jin, X. Ji, P.-F. Wang, K. Zhu, J. Zhang, L. Cao, L. Chen, C. Cui, T. Deng, S. Liu, N. Piao, Y. Liu, C. Shen, K. Xie, L. Jiao and C. Wang, *Angewandte Chemie*

International Edition, 2021, **60**, 11943–11948.

- 15J. Liu, C. Yang, B. Wen, B. Li and Y. Liu, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2370387.
- 16X. Zhao, Z. Xing and C. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2023, **11**, 22835–22844.
- 17M. Shao, B. Wang, M. Liu, C. Wu, F.-S. Ke, X. Ai, H. Yang and J. Qian, *Acs Appl Energ Mater*, 2019, **2**, 5809–5815.
- 18B. Wang, X. Wang, C. Liang, M. Yan and Y. Jiang, *ChemElectroChem*, 2019, **6**, 4848–4853.
- 19X. Cao and Y. Yang, *Mater Lett*, 2018, **231**, 183–186.
- 20J. Dong, G. Zhang, X. Wang, S. Zhang and C. Deng, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 18725–18736.