## **Supplementary Information**

# **Complex lattice occupations of copper leading to enhanced**

# **thermoelectric performance in** *n***-type PbSe**

Yalin Shi, ‡<sup>a</sup> Mingkai He, ‡<sup>b</sup> Minchao Cui, \*c Weishuai Wang,<sup>a</sup> Baopeng Ma,<sup>ad</sup> Fudong Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Beiquan Jia,<sup>a</sup> Xiaolian Chao,<sup>a</sup> Zupei Yang,\*a Di Wu<sup>\*a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory for Macromolecular Science of Shaanxi Province and Shaanxi Key Laboratory for Advanced Energy Devices, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, China

**E-mail:** yangzp@snnu.edu.cn, wud@snnu.edu.cn

**b Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101400,** China

<sup>c</sup> Key Laboratory of High Performance Manufacturing for Aero Engine (MIIT) Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China

**E-mail:** cuiminchao@nwpu.edu.cn

<sup>d</sup> School of Materials Science and Engineering and Institute of Materials Genome & Big Data, Harbin Institute of Technology, Shenzhen, 518055, China.

## **1. Experiments** *Sample synthesis*

All samples were prepared by high-temperature melting combined with spark plasma sintering technology. For the samples of composition PbSe- $x\%$ Cu ( $x = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$  and 1.0), PbSe-*y*%Cu2Se (*y* = 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 5.0), PbSe-3%Cu2Se-*z*%Cu (*z* = 0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0), high-purity raw materials Pb, Se and Cu particles (99.999%, Alfa Aesar, China) were weighed according to stoichiometric ratio and encapsulated into quartz tubes under the residual pressure less than  $\sim 10^{-4}$  torr. Then the quartz tubes were placed into muffle furnaces and slowly heated to 450K within 12h, rapidly heated to 1150K in 6 h, kept for 6 h at this temperature and then naturally cooling. Afterwards, the obtained ingots were grinded into powder and then sintered with spark plasma sintering. All samples possessed the same sintering procedure: firstly, the powder was heated to 500℃ at a rate of 100K/min, then slowly heated to 550℃ within 1 min and then soaked for 5 minutes with an axial pressure of 50 MPa. The obtained pellets were cut into the bar-shaped pieces of  $12\times3\times3$  mm for electrical transport measurements and a squared shape of  $6\times6\times1.8$  mm for thermal diffusivity measurements.

#### *Thermoelectric properties*

The electrical conductivity and the Seebeck coefficient of obtained samples were measured simultaneously by a commercial ZEM-3 (Ultravac, Japan) instrument under a lowpressure Helium atmosphere from room temperature to 773 K. The experimental error for each measurement was expected to be within 5%. The thermal conductivity is calculated from the relationship  $\kappa_{tot} = D\rho C_p$ , where the thermal diffusivity (*D*) was calculated in N<sub>2</sub> Laser Flash Diffusion Method LFA 467 (Netzsch, Germany) in the temperature range 298K to 773K. The heat capacity  $(C_p)$  was calculated from the Dulong-Petit limit law of theory  $3NR/M$ , where M is the average atomic mass per mole. Sample density (*ρ*) was measured using the Archimedes method. The lattice thermal conductivity  $(\kappa_{\text{lat}})$  was calculated by subtracting the electronic thermal conductivity  $(\kappa_{ele})$ , where is related to the electrical conductivity.

### *Phase and Microstructure Characterizations*

X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns were recorded from finely ground powders of samples using a XRD diffractor (Rigaku, Japan, Cu-K<sub>a</sub>) with radiation operating at 40 kV and 20 mA. The scanning range of  $2\theta$  was  $20-80^\circ$  with a scanning speed of  $6^\circ$ /min. Scanning electron microscope (SEM, SUB8020, Japan) equipped with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) was used to detect its microstructure and corresponding elements distribution at micron-scale level. A FEI Themis Z was used to for the TEM analysis under a voltage of 300 kV.

#### *Hall measurements*

The room-temperature carrier concentration was measured using the Van Der Pauw method using a commercial instrument (Lake Shore 8400 series, 58 USA).

#### **2. Theoretical simulations/calculations**

#### *Debye-Callaway model*

Using the Debye-Callaway model, the final temperature (*T*)-dependent can be expressed as a sum  $\kappa_{\text{lat}}(T)$  of the spectral lattice thermal conductivity from different frequencies:

$$
\kappa_{\text{lat}} = \int \kappa_s(\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{\omega_a} C_s(\omega) \nu_g(\omega)^2 \tau_{\text{tot}}(\omega) d\omega \tag{1}
$$

Thus, the  $\kappa_s(\omega)$  is determined by the  $C_s(\omega)$ , the frequency-dependent phonon group velocity  $v_g$  $(ω)$  and total relaxation time  $κ_{tot}(ω)$ . Generally, as the phonons in optical branches shows low velocity, only the phonons in acoustic branches are considered to calculate the  $\kappa_{\text{lat}}$ . Thus, the

cut-off frequency for acoustic branches  $\omega_a$  is given by  $\omega_a = \nu^e$  cell  $v_s = \nu^e v$  av where *N*,  $V_{av}$  $\overline{(\cdot)}$  $6\pi^2$  $V_{\text{cell}}$  $1^{1/3}$ , (- $6\pi^2$ *NV*av  $^{1/3}$ and  $v<sub>s</sub>$  the atomic numbers in a primitive cell, average atomic volume and sound speed respectively. For simple approximation, the frequency-dependent phonon group velocity *ν*<sub>g</sub> (ω) is set as a constant value  $v_s$ , and  $\kappa_{\text{lat}}$  is calculated by the following equation (2):

$$
\kappa_{\text{lat}} = \frac{k_B}{2\pi^2 v_S} \left(\frac{k_B}{h}\right) \int_0^{\theta a/T} \tau_{tot}(x) \frac{x^4 e^x}{\left(e^x - 1\right)^2} dx \tag{2}
$$

$$
\theta a = \hbar \omega a / k_B = \left(\frac{6\pi^2}{N V_{av}}\right)^{1/3} \hbar v_s / k_B
$$
 (3)

The dimensionless variable *x* in equation (2) is defined as  $x = \hbar \omega / k_B T$ , where  $\omega$  is the phonon frequency. The  $\tau_{tot}$  (*x*) is the reciprocal sum of the relaxationtimes from different scattering mechanisms according to the Matthiessen's rule:

$$
\tau = \tau_U^{-1} + \tau_N^{-1} + \tau_{PD}^{-1} + \tau_B^{-1} + \tau_{NP}^{-1} + \tau_{DC}^{-1} + \tau_{DS}^{-1}
$$
 (4)

where,  $\tau_{U}^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_{N}^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_{FD}^{-1}$ ,  $\tau_{B}^{-1}$  and  $\tau_{NP}^{-1}$  are the contributions from the Umklapp phonon-phonon scattering, normal phonon-phonon scattering, point-defect scattering, boundary scattering, nanoprecipitates scattering and dislocation scattering.

The  $\tau_{U}^{-1}$  is calculated from the following equation:

$$
\tau_{U}^{-1} = \frac{2k_{B}^{3}V_{av}^{1/3}\gamma^{2}T^{3}}{(6\pi^{2})^{1/3}M_{av}v_{s}^{3}\hbar^{2}}x^{2}\exp(-\frac{\theta_{a}}{bT})
$$
(5)

The  $\tau_N^{-1}$  is calculated from the following equation:

$$
\tau_N^{-1} = B_N \tau_U^{-1} \tag{6}
$$

The  $\tau_{\text{PD}}^{-1}$  is calculated from the following equation:

$$
\tau_{PD}^{-1} = \frac{V_{av}\Gamma}{4\pi v_s^3} \omega^4 = \frac{V_{av}\Gamma}{4\pi v_s^3} (\frac{k_B T}{h})^4 x^4
$$
 (7)

The  $\tau_{\text{B}}^{-1}$  is calculated from the following equation:

$$
\tau_B^{-1} = \frac{vs}{D} \tag{8}
$$

The  $\tau_{NP}$ <sup>-1</sup> is calculated from the following equation:

$$
\tau_{NP}^{-1} = v_s \left[ \left( 2\pi R_{NP}^2 \right)^{-1} + \left( \pi R_{NP}^2 \frac{4}{9} \left( \frac{\Delta \rho}{\rho} \right)^2 \left( \frac{x k_B T R_{NP}}{h v_s} \right)^4 \right)^{-1} \right]^{-1} N_{NP}
$$
(9)

Dislocation scattering that includes both dislocation core  $(\tau_{DC}^{-1})$  and dislocation strain (τ<sub>DS</sub><sup>-1</sup>) scattering.

$$
\tau_{DC}^{-1} = N_D \frac{V_s^{4/3}}{v_s^2} \omega^3 \tag{10}
$$

$$
\tau_{DS}^{-1} = A' N_D \gamma^2 B_D^2 \omega \left\{ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{1 - 2v_s}{1 - v_s} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{v_L}{v_T} \right)^2 \right]^2 \right\}
$$
(11)

Detailed parameters are shown in Table S2.

### *Density functional theory calculations*

Density functional theory calculations were performed using the projector augmented wave (PAW) method, as implemented in the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP)<sup>1-2</sup>, with the Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof (PBE)<sup>3</sup> generalized gradient approximation (GGA) utilized for the exchange-correlation energy functional. A  $2 \times 2 \times 3$  supercell of PbSe (60 atoms) is adopted. The pristine PbSe, and Cu doped cell (PbSeCu) are fully relaxed individually with A 8×8×4 Γ-centered Monkhorst-Pack k-point mesh and a plane-wave cutoff energy of 450 eV

until the forces on atoms were smaller than  $1 \times 10^{-2}$  eV/Å. The energy convergence criterion of 10-6 eV were employed for all calculations. The van der Waals interaction is taken into account in DFT-D2 method as implemented in VASP. In the calculation of density of sate (DOS), a denser k-point mesh  $(10\times10\times6)$  is adopted.

For the calculations of charged defect formation energy, we used the following formula:

$$
E_{\rm f} = E(\text{defect}, \mathbf{q}) - E(pure) - \sum_{\rm i} n_{\rm i} \mu_{\rm i} + \mathbf{q} \left( E_{\rm V} + E_{\rm F} + \Delta V \right)
$$

 $E$ (defect, q) and  $E$ (pure) are the total energies of unit cells with and without defect separately.  $n_i\mu_i$  is the reference energy of  $n_i$  added atoms of element *i* with chemical potential  $\mu_i$ .  $E_V$  is the valence band maximum,  $E_F$  is the Fermi level position, and  $\Delta V$  is the core level correction.

## **3. Supplementary Figures**



**Figure S1.** The powder X-ray diffraction patterns for the PbSe- $x$ %Cu ( $x = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0$ ) samples.



Figure S2. The line scan for the PbSe-4%Cu<sub>2</sub>Se samples.



**Figure S3.** Temperature-dependent thermoelectric properties of PbSe-*x*%Cu (*x* = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0): (a) Seebeck coefficient; (b) power factor; (c) total thermal conductivity; (d) *ZT* values. Temperature-dependent thermoelectric transport properties in PbSe- $y$ %Cu<sub>2</sub>Se ( $y = 0, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0,$ ) 3.0, 5.0): (e) Seebeck coefficient; (f) power factor; (g) total thermal conductivity; (h) *ZT* values



**Figure S4.** The powder X-ray diffraction patterns for the PbSe-3%Cu<sub>2</sub>Se- $z$ %Cu ( $z = 0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$ ,

1.0) samples.



**Figure S5.** Calculated Pisarenko line using SPB model<sup>4</sup> versus data of PbSe- $x$ %Cu ( $x = 0.4$ , 0.6, 0.8, 1.0) and PbSe- $3\%Cu_2Se-z\%Cu$  ( $z=0.6, 0.8, 1.0$ ) samples.



**Figure S6.** Fitting of temperature-dependent lattice thermal conductivity  $κ_{lat}$  of the PbSe-3%Cu<sub>2</sub>Se-0.8%Cu sample using Debye-Callaway model by considering Umklapp phonon-phonon scattering (*U*), normal phonon-phonon scattering (*N*), boundary scattering (*B*), point defect scattering (*PD*), nanoprecipitates (*ND*) and dislocation (*D*) processes.

# **3. Supplementary Tables**

Table S1. Dopant concentrations and corresponding carrier densities for PbSe-3%Cu<sub>2</sub>Se-z%Cu at room temperature.



<b>Paramaters</b>	symbol	values	Ref
Average atomic mass (kg)	$M_{\rm av}$	2.37556×10-25	experiments
Average atomic mass volume(kg)	$V_{\rm av}$	$2.87229\times10^{-29}$	experiments
Boltzmann constant (J/K)	$k_{\rm B}$	$1.38 \times 10^{-23}$	$\sqrt{2}$
Grüneisen parameter	$\gamma$	1.7393	5
Average sound velocity (m/s)	$v_{\rm s}$	2335.4	6
Longitudinal velocity $(m/s)$	$v_{\rm L}$	3150	6
Transverse velocity (m/s)	$v_T$	1700	6
Debye temperature (K)	$\theta_{\rm a}$	180.2	experiments
Umklapp to normal ratio	$B_{\rm N}$	4	$\overline{7}$
Pre-factor for dislocation scattering	$A^{\prime}$	0.96	8
Poisson ratio	r	0.243	$\tau$
Phenomenological parameter	ε	64	9
Burgers vector (m)	$B_{\rm D}$	$4.33 \times 10^{-10}$	6
Dislocation density $(m-2)$	$N_{\rm D}$	$4 \times 10^{11}$	experiments
Number density of nano- precipitates $(m^{-3})$	$N_{\rm NP}$	$2.8 \times 10^{18}$	experiments
Radius for the nano-precipitates (m)	$R_{\rm NP}$	$104.4031\times10^{-9}$	experiments
Matrix density $(g \cdot cm^{-3})$	$\rho$	8.2	experiments
Density difference between matrix and precipitates $(g \cdot cm^{-3})$	$\Delta \rho$	0.7	experiments
Characteristic of the vibrational	$\boldsymbol{b}$	0.9	$\sqrt{2}$
Grain size (m)	D	$4 \times 10^{-6}$	experiments
Disorder scattering parameter	$\varGamma$	0.200830266	experiments

**Table S2.** Parameters for the Debye-Callaway model.

#### **References**

- 1. B. Jia, Y. Huang , Y. Wang, Y. Zhou, X. Zhao, S. Ning, X. Xu, P Lin, Z. Chen, B. Jiang and J. He, *Energy Environ*. *Sci*., 2022, **15**, 1920-1929.
- 2. G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758.
- 3. J. Sun, R. Wang, W. Cui, S. Xie, T. Luo, H. Bai, X. Zhao, Z. Chen, X. Sang, X. Tan, X. Tang and G. Tan, *Chem. Mater.* 2022, **34**, 6450-6459.
- 4. R. Marlow, S. Kuriyakose, N. Mesaros, H. H. Han, R. Tomlinson, S. N.Faust, M. D. Snape and A. J. Pollard, A. Finn, *Vaccine* 2018, **36**, 2300-2306.
- 5. J. Sun, C. Xie, W. Cui, F. Yan, J. Li, J. Zhang, X. Sang, X. Tang and G.Tan, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 4366-4374.
- 6. Z. Chen, B. Ge, W. Li, S. Lin, J. Shen, Y. Chang, R. Hanus, G. J. Snyder and Y. Pei, *Nat. Commun*., 2017, **8**, 13828.
- 7. H. Wang, Y. Pei, A. D. LaLonde and G. J. Snyder, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 2012, **109**, 9705- 9709.
- 8. W. R. G. Kemp, P. G. Klemens and R. J. Tainsh, *Philos. Mag.*, 1959, **4**, 845-857.
- 9. H. Wang, J. Wang, X. Cao and G. J. Snyder, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 3169-3174.