## **Supporting Information**

## Quantification of mobile charge carrier yield and transport lengths in ultrathin film light-trapping ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> photoanodes

Kumaraswamy Miriyala<sup>1,2</sup>, Sa'ar Shor Peled<sup>1,2</sup>, Dino Klotz<sup>3</sup>, and Daniel A. Grave<sup>\*1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Materials Engineering, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 8410500, Israel

<sup>2</sup>Ilse Katz Institute for Nanoscale Science and Technology, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva 8410500, Israel

<sup>3</sup>International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research (I2CNER), Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan \*Email: dgrave@bgu.ac.il

## **Ellipsometry Analysis:**

To avoid accumulative errors, the Epi-Ag/mica layer was first measured and modelled. Following this, each layer was measured and modelled individually before modelling the full stack.

For the Epi-Ag layer, we employed a model we recently reported.<sup>1</sup> The model parameters are shown in table S1 below. For the Nb:SnO<sub>2</sub> (NTO) layer, one Drude oscillator was used to account for free carriers introduced by the Nb doping, and two Lorentz oscillators to account for above band-gap absorption. For Ti:ZFO, one Tauc-Lorentz oscillator was used for band-edge absorption, followed by five Gaussian oscillators to fully model the layer.



Figure S1. Ellipsometry measurements and models of (a) NTO/Ag and (b) Ti:ZFO/NTO/Ag multilayer stacks

Layer	Oscillator	<b>Oscillator Parameters</b>
	Drude	Resistivity ( $\Omega$ cm)=3.5e-3 Scat. Time (fs)=0.255
Epi-Ag	PSemi-M0	Amp=0.803 Br=0.0418 E0=3.917 WR=3.7896 PR=0.831 AR=4.16 O2R=0
	Drude	Resistivity ( $\Omega$ cm)=7.3e-4 Scat. Time (fs)=8.001
Nb:SnO <sub>2</sub>	Lorentz	Amp=1.037460 Br=0.1908 En=3.821
	Lorentz	Amp=0.4057 Br=0.2507 En=4.060
Ti:ZnFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	Tauc-Lorentz	Amp=0.5973 Br=0.182 E0=2.476 Eg=2.00
	Gaussian 1	Amp=0.1318 Br=0.1881 En=2.885
	Gaussian 2	Amp=2.6254 Br=0.7593 En=3.346
	Gaussian 3	Amp=1.5867 Br=0.3464 En=3.585
	Gaussian 4	Amp=3.8723 Br=0.1802 En=3.820
	Gaussian 5	Amp=4.6917 Br=0.4152 En=4.093

Table S1.	Ellipsometer	models of Ag.	NTO, and	Ti:ZFO lavers
			,	1



Figure S2: (a)-(c) Simulated and measured reflectance and absorptance of the total device for the 10, 12, and 14nm films. Also shown is the calculated absorptance in the Ti:ZFO active layer alone.(d) The parasitic absorptance in the Ag and NTO layers for all the films.



Figure S3. Calculated J<sub>abs</sub> map in total device including parasitic absorption as a function of NTO and Ti:ZFO thickness



Figure S4: (a) HR-XRD of 14nm epitaxial Ti:ZFO film deposited on NTO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> substrate. Inset shows enlarged view between 30-50° shows the Ti:ZFO (222) peak along with NTO (200) and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (0006) reflections. (b) represents the comparison of linear voltammograms of Ti:ZFO-10nm with all other thickness (8-14nm) of Ti:ZFO/NTO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> films measured under solar simulated light in 1M NaOH solution.



Figure S5: (a)-(d) shows the IMPS spectra of 8, 10, 12 and 14 nm Ti:ZFO films measured at three potentials (1.16, 1.46, 1.76  $V_{RHE}$ ) under constant light intensity (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>). Measured data (open circles), fitting (solid line).

Thickness	η <sub>t</sub> (%)	η <sub>t</sub> (%)	η <sub>t</sub> (%)
	1.16 V <sub>RHE</sub>	1.46 V RHE	1.76 V <sub>RHE</sub>
8nm	4	85	99
10nm	4	94	99
12nm	2	96	99
14nm	2	94	99

Table S2. Charge transfer efficiencies for Ti:ZFO films

Table S3. Charge separation efficiencies for Ti:ZFO films

Thickness	η <sub>cs</sub> (%) 1.16 V <sub>RHE</sub>	η <sub>cs</sub> (%) 1.46 V <sub>RHE</sub>	η <sub>cs</sub> (%) 1.76 V <sub>RHE</sub>
8nm	0.16	1.5	13
10nm	0.17	7.5	11
12nm	0.2	3.4	9.2
14nm	0.3	5.9	8.5



Figure S6: represents the IPCE spectra measured at 1.76  $V_{RHE}$  for 8, 10, 12, and 14 nm Ti: ZFO thin films along with the integration of corresponding IPCE spectra over standard AM 1.5 G solar spectrum (100 mW cm<sup>-2</sup>).

Table S4.	J <sub>photo</sub>	comparison	between	LSV	and	IPCE
		1				

Thickness	$J_{photo}$ ( $\mu$ A/cm <sup>2</sup> ) - LSV	$J_{photo} \left(\mu A/cm^2\right)$ - IPCE
8nm	342	340
10nm	345	350
12nm	321	310
14nm	322	264



Figure S7: (a)-(d) represents the 1hr stability tests of 8, 10, 12 and 14 nm Ti:ZFO films measured by chronoamperometry technique at a potential of 1.76  $V_{RHE}$  under white light illumination.



Figure S8: (a) Nyquist plot of Ti:ZFO-10nm film measured at three potentials (1.16, 1.46, 1.76  $V_{RHE}$ ) under solar simulated light. The measured response is showed in open circles and fitting curve is showed in solid line format. In inset figure we have shown the equivalent circuit model used for the fitting. (b) comparison plot of charge transfer resistance ( $R_{ct,ss}$ ) for all the thickness over three potentials (1.16, 1.46, 1.76  $V_{RHE}$ ).



Figure S9. Light intensity profiles for 8, 10, 12 and 14 nm thick films



Figure S10. (a)-(c) Mott-Schottky plots of 8,10 and 12nm thick Ti:ZFO films.

Table S5. Extracted values of  $N_D,\,W$  and  $E_{\rm fb}$  for Ti:ZFO films from Mott-Schottky plots

Thickness	N <sub>D</sub> (cm⁻³)	W at 1.76 V <sub>RHE</sub> (nm)	E <sub>fb</sub> (V vs. RHE)
8 nm	1.2 ± 0.1 x 10 <sup>19</sup>	32.1 ± 1.8	0.68±0.07
10 nm	5.2 ±1.2 x 10 <sup>19</sup>	15.2 ± 2.0	0.71±0.01
12 nm	4.29±0.8 x 10 <sup>19</sup>	16. 3± 1.9	$0.76 \pm 0.01$
14 nm	3.5±0.5 x 10 <sup>19</sup>	18.2 ± 1.2	$0.74 \pm 0.01$

## References

1 S. Shor Peled, K. Miriyala, A. Rashkovskiy, R. Fishov, V. Gelberg, J. Pelleg and D. A. Grave, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2023, **15**, 57273–57281.