Regulating Oxygen Redox Reactions in Lithium-Rich Materials via Al2O3-Doped ZnO Layer for Enhanced Stability and Performance

Xinyu Cheng¹, Yuke Wang¹, Jia Lu¹, Wangqi Dai¹, Huanhao Lei¹, Jinning Zuo¹, Hong

 $Li²$, $*$ and Zhengwen Fu^{1, $*$}

¹ Shanghai Key Laboratory of Molecular Catalysts and Innovative Materials,

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China.

² Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.

* Corresponding author: zwfu@fudan.edu.cn (Zhengwen Fu); hli@iphy.ac.cn (Hong

Li).

1. Experimental Section

1.1 Synthesis of Lithium-rich Material

Pristine $Li_{1,2}Ni_{0,133}Co_{0,133}Mn_{0,544}O_2$ (LRM) was synthesized using the Pechini method¹. Lithium acetate, nickel acetate, cobalt acetate, and manganese acetate (AR, from Shanghai Hushi Laboratorial Equipment Co., LTD) in a molar ratio were added to water. To prevent lithium loss during high-temperature calcination, an additional 5% of lithium acetate was added. After dissolving, citric acid and ethylene glycol (AR, from Shanghai Hushi Laboratorial Equipment Co., LTD) were added. The molar ratio of metal salts to citric acid to ethylene glycol was 1:1:4. The mixture was heated and stirred at 80 °C until a gel was formed. The gel was dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C for 12 hours, resulting in a sponge-like substance. This substance was ground and then calcined in a muffle furnace at 450 °C for 5 hours, followed by 900 °C for 12 hours, to finally obtain the lithium-rich material powder.

AZO-LRM and ZnO-LRM was prepared using magnetron sputtering with a target of 3% Al_2O_3 -doped ZnO (AZO) and ZnO, respectively. The LRM powder was placed in a specially designed device and rolled, facing the sputtering target. The power was set to 300 W, with an O_2 : Ar = 1 : 3 gas mixture introduced, maintaining the chamber pressure at 0.4 Pa for sputtering. AZO-1, AZO-2, AZO-3 refer to samples sputtered for 1 hour, 2 hours and 3 hours, respectively. The sputtering rates of AZO and ZnO were measured by sputtering film onto silicon wafers, yielding rates of 10 and 8 nm/min, respectively. To match the coating thickness of AZO-2, the sputtering duration for ZnO-LRM was set to 2.5 hours.

1.2 Electrochemical Testing

The cathode active material, acetylene black, and PVDF were mixed uniformly in a ratio of 8: 1: 1 and then NMP was added to make a cathode slurry. After stirring for 10 hours, the slurry was evenly coated onto carbon-coated aluminum foil and dried at 80 °C for 12 hours to obtain cathode sheets. The electrode was cut into 12 mm diameter discs, with an active material content of approximately 5 mg. CR2032 half-cells were assembled in a glove box using an electrolyte of 1M LiPF₆ in EC: DMC = 1: 1 and

Comment [Jaya]: 序号,单位前面的空

Comment [Jaya]: ZnO-LRM 的制备没 写,下面的表征部分测试部分都没写

Comment [Jaya]: Al2O3

格

Comment [Jaya]: O_2 : Ar = 1: 3

lithium metal as the anode. The cells were tested using a Neware Battery Test System. Before the long cycle test, they were activated by cycling twice at a 0.1 C. Except for high-temperature batteries, all other batteries were subjected to electrochemical testing at 25°C. All electrochemical data were obtained from multiple measurements. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was measured using a Zennium pro electrochemical workstation (Zahner, Germany) with an amplitude of 5 mV over a frequency range from 100 kHz to 50 mHz. The galvanostatic intermittent titration technique (GITT) test involved 30 minutes of 0.1 C charge/discharge followed by 120 minutes of relaxation. The calculation formula for the lithium-ion diffusion coefficient is:

$$
D_{Li} = \frac{4}{\pi \tau} \left(\frac{R_s}{3}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Delta E_s}{\Delta E_t}\right)^2
$$

where τ is the relaxation time (s), ΔE_s is the voltage change caused by the pulse (V), and ΔE_t is the voltage change during constant current charge/discharge (V). LRM is assumed to be a sphere with radius R_s (cm). Differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS) was measured using a Linglu QMG220 from Shanghai. Before testing, Ar gas was introduced for 5 hours at a flow rate of 1 mL min^{-1} .

1.3 Characterization

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of LRM and AZO-LRM were measured using a Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation $(λ = 1.5418$ Å) in the 10-80° angle range. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) were conducted using a field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Hitachi Regulus 8100). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were carried out on a JEOL JEM-ARM200F. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed on a PHI 5000 Versaprobe III, with binding energies calibrated by C 1s (284.8 eV). Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements were taken using a Bruker ESR5000.

1.4 Density functional theory calculations

Comment [Jaya]: 1 **Comment [Jaya]:** 用 calibrated by C 1s(284.8 eV)

Comment [Jaya]: ?

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Cambridge **Comment [Jaya]:** 全称+(DFT) Sequential Total Energy Package (CASTEP) module in Materials Studio. The spinpolarized version of the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional under the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was adopted to treat the exchange correlation energy² . Due to the strongly correlated 3d-electrons, the rotationally invariant Dudarev method (DFT+U) was introduced, with the U value for Mn set to 3.9 eV according to previous literature³. Additionally, a kinetic energy cutoff of 520 eV for the plane wave basis and Brillouin zone integration on a grid with $3 \times 2 \times 1$ were used. Geometric convergence tolerances were set for a maximum energy change of 10^{-5} eV per atom, a maximum force of 0.03 eV \AA ⁻¹, maximum stress of 0.05 GPa, and maximum displacement of 0.001 Å to ensure high accuracy. Density mixing electronic minimization was implemented, and the self-consistent field (SCF) tolerance was set to 10^{-6} eV per atom for energy convergence.

2. Supporting Figures and Tables

Figure S1. (a,b) XRD patterns and refinement results of (a) pristine LRM and (b) AZO-LRM. (c) SEM image and corresponding EDS elements mapping of pristine LRM. (d,e) TEM images of pristine LRM.

Figure S2. O 1s XPS spectra of (a) film and (b) coated LRM of AZO and ZnO.

Comment [Jaya]: Of balabala **Comment [Jaya]:** Of balaba **Comment [Jaya]:** curves **Comment [Jaya]:** 样品

Figure S6. The XRD patterns and magnified images of the 003 diffraction peaks for pristine LRM and AZO-LRM after the first charging cycle.

Figure S7. (a,b) Interface band structure of (a) pristine LRM surface and (b) AZO-LRM interface. (c,d) Differential charge density of (c) pristine LRM surface and (d) AZO-LRM interface.

Comment [Jaya]: 3 点 能带箭头 图注 反场箭头

Figure S8. (a) Voltage-capacity curves of pristine LRM, AZO-LRM and ZnO-LRM. for initial charge-discharge at 0.1C. Cycling performance at (b) 0.5C and (c) 1C and (d) rate capability of pristine LRM, AZO-LRM and ZnO-LRM.

l,

Figure S10. TEM-EDS images and corresponding elemental mapping of pristine LRM after cycling.

Figure S11. XPS spectra at different etching depths of the cycled electrode surfaces. C 1s spectra for (a) pristine LRM and (b) AZO-LRM, and P 2p spectra for (c) pristine LRM and (d) AZO-LRM.

Comment [Jaya]: ?

Comment [Jaya]: 两端对齐

Figure S12. Mn 2p XPS spectra of (a) pristine LRM and (b) AZO-LRM at different etching depths.

Figure S13. The concentration of transition metal ions in the electrolyte after cycling for pristine LRM and AZO-LRM.

Figure S14. Comparison of electrochemical performance with other literature.

Table S1. XRD refinement result of pristine LRM and AZO-LRM.
 Comment [Jaya]: 表格不能放图片

Table S2. Element content measured by ICP-OES of pristine LRM and AZO-LRM.

	Li $\left(\frac{9}{6}\right)$				Ni $(\%)$ Co $(\%)$ Mn $(\%)$ Zn (ppm)	Al (ppm)
pristine	10.50	10.32	10.35	38.76	30.84	3.37
AZO	10.55	10.11	10.25	38.79	6804.21	82.20

Table S3. EIS fitting result of pristine LRM and AZO-LRM.

		$R_{CEI}(\Omega)$	$R_{ct}(\Omega)$	$R_{D}(\Omega)$
50 th	pristine	21.0	6.8	70.3
	AZO	4.2	4.1	57.9
100^{th}	pristine	25.3	7.0	74.6
	AZO	13.5	6.0	64.2

Table S4. Comparison of the strategy and mechanism in inhibiting oxygen release

Comment [Jaya]: Reference 右边 with other literature.

Reference

- 1 J. Chen, Z. Huang, W. Zeng, J. Ma, F. Cao, T. Wang, W. Tian and S. Mu, *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 6649–6657.
- 2 G. Sun, F. Da Yu, L. F. Que, L. Deng, M. J. Wang, Y. S. Jiang, G. Shao and Z. B. Wang, *Nano Energy*, DOI:10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104102.
- 3 Y. Liu, H. Zhu, H. Zhu, Y. Ren, Y. Zhu, Y. Huang, L. Dai, S. Dou, J. Xu, C. J. Sun, X. L. Wang, Y. Deng, Q. Yuan, X. Liu, J. Wu, Y. Chen and Q. Liu, *Adv Energy Mater*, DOI:10.1002/aenm.202003479.
- 4 S. Y. Kim, C. S. Park, S. Hosseini, J. Lampert, Y. J. Kim and L. F. Nazar, *Adv Energy Mater*, DOI:10.1002/aenm.202100552.
- 5 M. Chen, G. Zhang, B. Wu, M. Liu, J. Chen, W. Xiang and W. Li, *ACS Appl Energy Mater*, 2022, **5**, 4307–4317.
- 6 Y. Ye, S. Yuan, S. Zhang, T. Liu, J. Wang and Q. Wang, *Small*, DOI:10.1002/smll.202307669.
- 7 Z. Shi, Q. Gu, L. Yun, Z. Wei, D. Hu, B. Qiu, G. Z. Chen and Z. Liu, *J Mater Chem A Mater*, 2021, **9**, 24426–24437.
- 8 Y. Lei, Y. Elias, Y. Han, D. Xiao, J. Lu, J. Ni, Y. Zhang, C. Zhang, D. Aurbach and Q. Xiao, *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 49709–49718.
- 9 J. Chen, H. Chen, Y. Mei, J. Gao, A. Dai, Y. Tian, W. Deng, G. Zou, H. Hou, C. E. Banks, T. Liu, K. Amine and X. Ji, *Energy Storage Mater*, 2022, **52**, 736–745.
- 10 J. Chen, G. Zou, W. Deng, Z. Huang, X. Gao, C. Liu, S. Yin, H. Liu, X. Deng, Y. Tian, J. Li, C. Wang, D. Wang, H. Wu, L. Yang, H. Hou and X. Ji, *Adv Funct Mater*, DOI:10.1002/adfm.202004302.
- 11 W. Zhang, Y. Sun, H. Deng,J. Ma, Y. Zeng, Z. Zhu, Z. Lv, H. Xia, X. Ge, S. Cao, Y. Xiao, S. Xi, Y. Du, A. Cao and X. Chen, *Advanced Materials*, DOI:10.1002/adma.202000496.
- 12 Q. Jiang, X. Li, Y. Hao, J. Zuo, R. Duan, J. Li, G. Cao, J. Wang, J. Wang, M. Li, X. Yang, M. Li, W. Li, Y. Xi, J. Zhang and W. Xiao, *Adv Funct Mater*, DOI:10.1002/adfm.202400670.