Supplementary Information (SI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2024

1	Supporting Information
2	
3	Formation of Bismuth Nanosheets on Copper Foam Coupled with
4	Nanobubble Technology for Enhanced Electrocatalytic CO ₂
5	Reduction
6	
7	Kai Wu ^{a, b} , Pengwei Yang ^{a, b} , Shuaijun Fan ^{a, b} , Yifan Wu ^b , Jingxiang Ma ^{a, b} , Lijuan Yang ^b ,
8	Hongtao Zhu ^b , Xiaoying Ma ^b , Heli Gao ^c , Wentong Chen ^c , Jun Jia ^c , Shuangchen Ma *, ^{a, b}
9	
10	a MOE Key Laboratory of Resources and Environmental Systems Optimization, School of
11	Environmental Science and Engineering, North China Electric Power University, Beijing, 102206,
12	PR China.
13	b Hebei Key Laboratory of Power Plant Flue Gas Multi-Pollutants Control, Department of
14	Environmental Science and Engineering, North China Electric Power University, Baoding, 071003,
15	PR China.
16	c Shenzhen Energy Baoding Power Co., Ltd, Baoding, 072150, PR China.
17	
18	*Corresponding author. Email address: msc1225@163.com (Shuangchen Ma).
19	

20 Section S1. Materials and apparatus

21 Materials

Bismuth chloride (BiCl₃, 99%) and potassium bicarbonate (KHCO₃, >99.5%) 22 were purchased from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, >99%), 23 hydrochloric acid (HCl, 37%), acetone (>99.5%) and ethyl alcohol (EtOH, >99.5%) 24 were purchased from Kermel (Tianjin, China). Carbon black (xc-72R, 10-20 nm), 25 polyvinylidene fluoride (average Mw ~ 534000, powder) were purchased from Macklin 26 (Shanghai, China). Copper foam (99.9%, 110 PPI) was purchased from Keshenghe 27 28 technology Co., Ltd (Suzhou, China). Bismuth plate (1*10*10 mm, 99.9%) was purchased from Tengfeng metal materials Co., Ltd (Xingtai, China). Carbon paper 29 (HCP020P, hydrophobic) purchased from Suzhou Shengernuo Technology Co., Ltd. 30 CO₂ (99.9%) and N₂(99.9%) were purchased from Huawei Gas technology Co., Ltd 31 (Baoding, China). The ultrapure water (18.4 M Ω cm) was prepared by a reverse 32 osmosis high purity water machine (Xinjia environmental protection special equipment 33 manufacturing Co. Ltd., Baoding, China). 34

35 Apparatus

52

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were collected on a Bruker D8 ADVANCE 36 instrument. Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images were collected on a 37 JEOL Jem-2100F instrument. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images were 38 39 collected on a Thermo Fisher Quattro S instrument. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) data were collected on a EDAX ELECT PIUS instrument. Atomic 40 force microscopy (AFM) image was captured by Bruker Dimension icon XR in contact 41 mode. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data were collected on a Thermo Fisher 42 Nexsa instrument. The particle size and zeta potential of CO₂ bubbles in the electrolyte 43 were determined with Zetasizer Nano ZS90 (Malvern, UK). The number concentration 44 of CO₂ bubbles in the electrolyte was measured with Zetaview-PMX120-Z (Particle 45 Metrix, Germany). Formate concentration data were collected by SHIMADZU LC-20A 46 high-performance liquid chromatograph. The electrochemical characteristics data were 47 collected by DH 7000D electrochemistry workstation (Jiangsu Donghua Analytical 48 Instrument Co., Ltd.). CO2 nanobubbles were produced from ZJC-NM-200L 49 nanobubble generator (Shanghai Zhongjing Environmental Protection Technology Co., 50 51 Ltd.).

53 Section S2. Experimental section

54 Synthesis method

55 Synthesis of Bi/CF

A piece of Cu foam was washed with acetone, transferred to 0.5 M HCl solution, ultrasonicated for 10 min, and rinsed with water and ethanol. The cleaned Cu foam was then immersed in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution containing 0.01 M BiCl₃ and 0.1 M HCl. The color of the Cu foam immediately changed from burgundy to dark brown. After 5 min, the Cu foam was removed from the solution and rinsed with water and ethanol. The obtained Bi coated Cu foam was dried under N_2 gas flow at room temperature and denoted as Bi/CF.

63 Synthesis of Bi₂O₃/CF and Bi NSs/CF

The prepared Bi/CF was placed in a tube furnace and annealed at 200 °C for 2 64 hours in an air atmosphere, with a heating rate of 2 °C·min⁻¹. After naturally cooling 65 the tube furnace to room temperature, the sample was retrieved and denoted as 66 Bi_2O_3/CF . Subsequently, Bi_2O_3/CF was installed as the cathode (working electrode) in 67 a single electrolysis cell. In a CO₂-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ electrolyte, the working 68 electrode underwent 20 cycles of cyclic voltammetry scans at a scan rate of 20 mV·s⁻¹ 69 under a potential range of -0.2 to -1.2 V vs. RHE. This process ultimately produced 70 71 copper foam coated with bismuth nanosheets, denoted as Bi NSs/CF.

72 Evaluation of catalyst performance

The performance evaluation of the materials were carried out using a typical H-73 type cell with a three-electrode system, in which the anode and cathode reaction cells 74 were separated by a proton exchange membrane (N117, Dupont). The electrolyte was 75 composed of 0.5 mol·L⁻¹ KHCO₃ solution, with Bi plate, Bi/CF or Bi NSs/CF as the 76 working electrode (cathode), Ag/AgCl (saturated with KCl) as the reference electrode, 77 and $0.2 \times 10 \times 10$ mm platinum plate as the counter electrode (anode). Potentials while 78 measured vs Ag/AgCl (saturated with KCl) are converted to the RHE scale using the 79 formula: E (vs. RHE) = E (vs. Ag/AgCl) + 0.197 V + 0.0591 V \times pH. All 80 electrochemical experiments were performed at room temperature (22 ± 1 °C). High-81 purity CO₂ was passed into the electrolyte to saturation (50 mL·min⁻¹ for 30 min) before 82 the experiment, and CO₂ was continuously supplied to the electrolyte (50 mL·min⁻¹) 83 during the experiment. Notably, when experiments were conducted using an H-type 84 cell that combines nanobubble technology with a flowing electrolyte, an intermittent 85 CO₂ supply method was employed. Specifically, a nanobubble generator was integrated 86 87 into the electrocatalytic system, operating for 5 minutes every hour during the 88 experiment to produce an electrolyte rich in nano-sized CO₂ bubbles. The electrolyte volume was 400 mL, and the CO₂ flow rate was 800 mL·min⁻¹. The potentials applied 89 during bulk electrolysis were selected on the basis of voltammetric data obtained under 90 the same conditions. Upon completion of the electrolysis, a syringe was employed to 91

92 extract a portion of the electrolyte, which was subsequently subjected to filtration
93 through a 4.5 μm filter head. The filtered solution was then transferred into a vial and
94 sealed for preservation, in preparation for its utilization in high-performance liquid
95 chromatography (HPLC) analysis.

96 Analysis of the electrolysis products

The concentration of formate products was detected by SHIMADZU LC-20A 97 high-performance liquid chromatograph. The chromatographic column was AQ-C18 (5 98 um, 4.6×250 mm, Dalian Ilitar Supersil). The eluting method was binary high-pressure 99 gradient elution, mobile phase A was CH₃OH, mobile phase B was 0.05 mol·L⁻¹ 100 101 KH_2PO_4 (pH = 2.7), and the flow rates of mobile phase A and B were controlled to be 3% and 97% respectively to form buffer solution (volume fraction). The flow rate was 102 103 0.5 mL·min⁻¹ at room temperature. The detection wavelength was 215 nm, and the 104 injection volume was 20 uL. Before injection, phosphoric acid was used to adjust the pH of the sample to 2.7. In this method, the characteristic peak of formate appears 105 around 7.2 minutes. The standard curve for formic acid is illustrated in Figure S1, and 106 107 its corresponding data is provided in Table S1.



¹¹² Note:

108 109

110 111

115 Calculation of faradaic efficiency, cathodic energy efficiency and CO₂ conversion

116 rate

¹¹³ y, the mass concentration of formate, g L⁻¹.

¹¹⁴ x, peak area of formate.

117 The faraday efficiency of formate in liquid products was determined as follows:

$$FE_{Formate}(\%) = \frac{Q_{Formate}}{Q_{Total}} \times 100\% = \frac{n \times N_{Formate} \times F}{I \times t} \times 100\%$$

119 Where, $Q_{Formate}$ is the amount of electricity needed to produce formate, C.

120 Q_{Total} is the electricity consumed by the entire electrochemical process, C.

121 n is the number of electrons transferred from a molecule of CO₂ to a molecule of formate,

122 and since C in CO_2 has a +4 valence, and C in formate has a +2 valence, so n is 2.

123 $N_{Formate}$ is the molar mass of formate in the liquid phase product, mol.

124 F is Faraday constant, F=96485 C·mol⁻¹.

125 *I* is the current, A.

126 t is time, s.

127 The cathodic energy efficiency can be calculated as follows¹⁻³:

$$EE_{Formate}(\%) = \frac{E_{Water}^{0} - E_{Formate}^{0}}{E_{Water}^{0} - E_{Applied}} \times FE_{Formate} \times 100\%$$

128 129 Where, E_{Water}^0 repr

Where, E_{Water}^{0} represents the standard water oxidation potential, here taken as 1.23 V.

130 $E_{Formate}^{0}$ represents the standard electrode potential for formate production, here taken as -131 0.20 V.

151 0.20 V.

132 $E_{Applied}$ is the applied potential, V.

133 $EE_{Formate}$ is the cathodic energy efficiency of formate production, %.

134 The CO_2 conversion rate was calculated as follows:

$$CO_2 \text{ conversion rate}(\%) = \frac{N_{Formate}}{N_{CO_2}} \times 100\%$$

135

136 Where, N_{CO2} is the CO₂ molar flow rate at the inlet of the cathode chamber, mol. Here, due to the 137 differences in CO₂ gas supply forms, the CO₂ supply amount was normalized, as detailed in Table 138 S3.

139 **DFT calculations**

140 Spin-unrestricted first-principles calculations were performed using the Dmol3 software package⁴. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient 141 approximation (GGA) was employed to approximate the exchange-correlation 142 functional. The double numerical polarization (DNP) basis set and DFT semicore 143 144 pseudopotentials (DSPP) were utilized to accurately describe the core and valence 145 electrons, respectively. A truncation radius of 5.0 Å was employed, and the convergence criteria for all geometry optimizations were set as an energy difference of 146 147 1.0×10^{-5} Ha (where 1 Ha = 27.2114 eV) between adjacent structures, a maximum force of 0.002 Ha/Å per atom, and a maximum displacement of 0.005 Å. The standard unit 148 149 cells of Bi and Cu were obtained from the ICSD database⁵. After structural 150 optimization, the unit cells were sliced to expose the (0 1 2) crystal plane of Bi (a=4.7657 Å, b=4.9426 Å) and the (1 1 1) crystal plane of Cu (a=8.7052 Å, b=5.0260 151 Å). Subsequently, the (0 1 2) crystal plane of Bi and the (1 1 1) crystal plane of Cu 152

underwent 2×2 and 1×2 supercell treatments(Bi(0 1 2)-layer: a = 9.5314 Å, b = 9.8852

154 Å; Cu(1 1 1)-layer: a= 8.7052 Å, b= 10.0519 Å), respectively, to facilitate the construction of the Bi/Cu heterojunction. Two layers of Bi(0 1 2)-layer were stacked 155 on two layers of Cu(1 1 1)-layer, and a vacuum layer of 15 Å was established along the 156 z-axis, resulting in the Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1) configuration. The lattice mismatch rate in 157 the a direction is 9.5314-8.7052/9.5314*100%=8.6678%, and in the b direction, it is 158 10.0519-9.8852/10.0519*100%=1.6583%, both of which are less than 10%. The Bi(0 159 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1)-Defect model was constructed based on the Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1) 160 configuration by removing some Cu atoms from the third layer. The Bi(0 1 2) model 161 was composed of four layers of $Bi(0 \ 1 \ 2)$ -layer stacked together. All configurations 162 were structurally optimized. During geometry optimization and electronic performance 163 calculations, Monkhorst-Pack k-points were chosen as 3×3×1 in the first Brillouin zone. 164 Solvent models were not considered in this study. 165

166 The reaction steps for electrochemical reduction of CO_2 to formic acid (equation 167 1~2), CO (equation 3~4) and competing HER (equation 5~6) are as follows:

$$*+CO_2 + H^+ + e^- = *OCHO$$
(1)

$$* OCHO + H^{+} + e^{-} = * + HCOOH$$
(2)

$$*+CO_2 + H^+ + e^- = *COOH$$
(3)

171
$$* COOH + H^{+} + e^{-} = * + CO + H_2O$$
(4)

172
$$*+H^+ + e^- = *H$$
 (5)

173
$$*H + H^{+} + e^{-} = * + H_2$$
(6)

where * is the adsorption site, *H, *OCHO and *COOH are the reaction intermediates,
respectively. The Gibbs free energy G of the computational model is defined as⁶:

$$G = E_{DFT} + E_{ZPE} + \int C_P dT - TS$$
(7)

where E_{DFT}, E_{ZPE}, Cp and S are the electronic energy, zero-point energy, heat capacity 177 and entropy, respectively. For adsorption intermediates, EZPE, S and Cp were 178 determined by vibrational frequency calculations via standard methods. The 179 calculations were all performed using the computational hydrogen electrode (CHE) 180 model, which assumes that the free energy of protonation of H₂ is zero under standard 181 conditions. The electron energy (E_{DFT}), zero-point energy correction (E_{ZPE}), heat 182 capacity and entropy contributions (CpdT-TS) in this study are shown in Table S4. The 183 free energy changes (ΔG) of the reaction paths in this study are shown in Table S5. 184 185

186 Section S3. Supplementary figures and tables

187 Copper foams were immersed in a DMSO solution containing 0.01 M BiCl₃ and 188 0.1 M HCl to investigate the effect of the duration of Bi^{3+}/Cu galvanic replacement on 189 the Cu/Bi composition ratio and physical stability of the materials.



190

Figure S2. The operation time of Bi chemical etching instead of Cu directly affects the proportion
of Cu/Bi components in the material.

193 The results showed that as the duration of the Bi chemical etching of Cu extended, the content of Bi in the material initially exhibited a rapid increase (from 1 to 5 minute, 194 indicated by the black, red and blue lines in Figure S2), suggesting that the Cu were 195 196 quickly chemically substituted by Bi. Subsequently, the Bi content in the material stabilized (from 5 to 10 minutes, shown by the blue and green lines in Figure S2), 197 198 indicating that after 5 minutes of chemical etching, the Cu foam surface had achieved 199 near-complete coverage with a Bi coating. At this point, the reduction in the Cu surface area slowed down the Bi loading process. However, during this period, the formed Bi 200 coating would slowly react with HCl in the solution, regenerating BiCl3, which then 201 dissolved back into the solution. This triggered a chain etching reaction involving the 202 "slow dissolution of the Bi coating," followed by the "exposure of fresh Cu surfaces," 203 and subsequently the "reformation of the Bi coating." As the operation time was 204 extended further (from 10 to 30 minutes, indicated by the green, purple and yellow lines 205 in Figure S2), Bi etching gradually penetrated deeper into the interior of the Cu foam, 206 which was evidenced by a significant change in the Cu/Bi compositional ratio within 207 the material. 208



Figure S3. The operation time of Bi chemical etching instead of Cu is directly related to the physical structure stability of the material.

As the experimental results showed, prolonged etching led to the complete replacement of the Cu skeleton by Bi, reducing the material's mechanical properties. Such an outcome is detrimental for its use as an electrode, as it would decrease the electrode's lifespan in long-term electrochemical activities.

In summary, to achieve near-complete coverage of the Cu foam surface with a Bi coating while ensuring sufficient physical structural stability, a 5-minute operation time was determined as the optimal duration for the Bi^{3+}/Cu galvanic replacement process in a DMSO solution containing 0.01 M BiCl₃ and 0.1 M HCl.





Figure S4. SEM images of Cu foam.



Figure S5. (a) SEM image of Bi NSs/CF. (b-f) SEM EDS mapping images of Bi NSs/CF.



228 Figure S6.SEM EDS pattern of Bi NSs/CF and corresponding semi-quantitative results.





234 Figure S8. AFM image of Bi NSs with corresponding thickness labeling.



Figure S9. Flow chart for preparation of Bi NSs/CP electrode.

To evaluate the CO_2RR characteristics of Bi NSs, we stripped the Bi NSs from the Bi NSs/CF material and loaded them onto carbon paper (CP) to form the Bi NSs/CP electrode, which was tested in an H-type cell equipped with a three-electrode system.

241 The specific preparation process of the Bi NSs/CP electrode is illustrated in Figure S9. Initially, the Bi NSs/CF material was placed in an ethanol solution and 242 ultrasonicated for 30 minutes to strip the Bi NSs from the surface of the material into 243 the solution. Afterwards, clean ceramic tweezers were used to remove the Cu foam 244 stripped of Bi NSs from the solution, and the ethanol solution containing the Bi NSs 245 was transferred to a centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes. The 246 supernatant was discarded, and the resultant grey black Bi NSs material was dried in an 247 oven at 70 °C for 1 hour and collected for further use. Next, the Bi NSs, carbon black, 248 and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) were mixed in a mass ratio of 8:1:1 and added to 249 a 10 ml vial. A certain amount of DMF was added to wet and dissolve the mixture 250 (equivalent to 0.1 g of solid material combined with 1 ml of DMF). The vial was then 251 placed in an ultrasonic bath and sonicated at room temperature for 30 minutes to obtain 252 253 a uniformly dispersed catalyst ink. A specific volume of this catalyst ink was evenly drop-cast onto pre-treated carbon paper (50 µl of catalyst ink drop-cast onto a circular 254 carbon paper with a diameter of 5.5 mm, effective electrode area of 0.94985 cm²). The 255 coated carbon paper was air-dried at room temperature for 30 minutes to promote initial 256 solidification of the catalyst ink. The sample was then transferred to an oven at 70 °C 257 and dried for 1 hour to obtain the electrode sheet. The electrode sheet was fixed in an 258 259 electrode holder to form the working electrode.



263 (a) LSV curves in N₂ or CO₂ atmosphere. (b) Faradaic efficiencies and partial current densities of
 264 formate.

The results indicated that in terms of formate selectivity, the Bi NSs/CP electrode performed at a similar level to the Bi NSs/CF electrode, suggesting that the Bi NSs were the source of this excellent CO_2RR activity. However, the total current density achieved with the Bi NSs/CP electrode was significantly lower than that of the Bi NSs/CF electrode. This difference was attributed to the advantages of the integrated structure of the Bi NSs/CF material, which does not require electrode binders and benefits from superior conductivity and faster electron transfer rates.



Figure S11. CO₂RR performance of Cu foam.

275 (a) LSV curves in N_2 or CO_2 atmosphere. (b) Faradaic efficiencies and partial current densities of 276 formate.

Performance tests for CO₂RR were conducted on the Cu foam material, including 277 LSV comparisons in N₂ and CO₂ atmospheres, and experiments to measure the 278 selectivity for formate and formate partial current densities at various applied 279 potentials. The experimental results showed that as the applied potential became more 280 negative, the selectivity of the Cu foam for formate initially increased and then 281 decreased. The maximum value of FE_{Formate} was achieved at -0.98 V, with a value of 282 14.27%, which was significantly lower than that of Bi NSs/CF ($FE_{Formate} = 93.73\%$, -283 0.98 V). This indicates that the pure Cu interface does not exhibit excellent CO₂RR 284 285 activity.



Figure S12. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) curves of (a) Bi plate, (b) Bi/CF and (c) Bi NSs/CF in
 CO₂ saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ electrolyte between -0.277 V and -0.177 V vs. RHE.

Table S2. The corresponding EIS parameters of Bi Plate, Bi/CF and Bi NSs/CF electrodes.

Electrode materials	Bi Plate	Bi/CF	Bi NSs/CF
$Rs(\Omega)$	4.66	4.64	4.71
$Rct(\Omega)$	3.20	2.89	2.53



- 297 electrode support structure. (c-d) Assembly process of the electrode support.
- 298



Figure S14. Zeta potential of fresh nanobubbles (CO₂-NB), nanobubbles after 1 h of participation
 in the CO₂RR (CO₂-NB-1h), and bubbles of conventional saturated CO₂ (CO₂-CB).

Table S3. Calculation of CO₂ supply in conventional bubble form and nano-bubble form.

	Coloulation item	CO ₂ supply form			
	Calculation item	Conventional bubbling	NB		
	CO ₂ supply rate (mL/min)	50	800		
	CO_2 supply per hour (mL)	50*60=3000	800*5=4000		
	Electrolyte volume (mL)	70	400		
	Gas-liquid ratio(mL/mL)	3000/70=42.86	4000/40=10		
	Normalized to the amount of gas required	<i>4</i> 2 86*100– <i>4</i> 286	10*100-1000		
	per hour for 100mL electrolyte (mL)	42.00 100-4200	10,100-1000		
	CO ₂ supply per hour (mol/100mL)	4286/1000/22.4=0.1913	1000/1000/22.4=0.0446		
304	The conventional CO ₂ supply mo	de adopts the continuou	us CO ₂ bubbling mode,		

while the NB mode adopts the intermittent working mode and supplies 5 minutes per hour, which can complete the sufficient CO_2 supply. The CO_2 supply in both forms is normalized to the amount of substances that supply CO_2 per hour per 100mL of electrolyte.

309



311 Figure S15. (a) XRD pattern and (b-d) SEM images of Bi NSs/CF obtained after long-term bulk

electrolysis.

- 312
- 313



Figure S16. XPS spectra of (a) Bi NSs/CF composite and individual element of (b) Bi, (c) Cu, (d)
O and (e) C at high-resolution after long-term bulk electrolysis.

After long-term bulk electrolysis, XPS analysis was performed on Bi NSs/CF to 317 analyze the changes in the valence states of surface elements. From the high-resolution 318 spectrum of Bi (Figure S16b), it was found that the characteristic peaks of Bi⁰ at 4f 7/2 319 and 4f 5/2 were significantly weakened, suggesting a reduction in the content of Bi⁰ on 320 321 the electrode surface. Meanwhile, in the high-resolution O spectrum (Figure S16d), a 322 notable enhancement of the signature peak at 531.7 eV, corresponding to oxygen in carbonate, was observed. Additionally, the characteristic peak observed at 287.72 eV 323 in the high-resolution spectrum of C (Figure S16e) is likely attributable to the carbon 324 in carbonyl (C=O) or carbonate (CO_3^{2-}). Based on these observations, we speculate that 325 after prolonged electrochemical reactions, a compound similar to Bi₂O₂CO₃ may have 326 327 formed on the surface of Bi NSs/CF. Furthermore, no related oxidation peaks were 328 found in the high-resolution spectrum of Cu (Figure S16c). 329



Figure S17. Initial configurations and optimized configurations of Bi(0 1 2), Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1)
and Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1)-Defect structures.

In these structures, the Bi $(0\ 1\ 2)$ structure consists of four layers of Bi $(0\ 1\ 2)$ crystal planes; the Bi $(0\ 1\ 2)$ /Cu $(1\ 1\ 1)$ structure comprises two layers of Bi $(0\ 1\ 2)$ crystal planes and two layers of Cu $(1\ 1\ 1)$ crystal planes; and the Bi $(0\ 1\ 2)$ /Cu $(1\ 1\ 1)$ -Defect structure is derived from the Bi $(0\ 1\ 2)$ /Cu $(1\ 1\ 1)$ structure by stripping away part of the atoms from the third layer of the Cu $(1\ 1\ 1)$ crystal plane from top to bottom. Structural optimization was carried out on all the structures.



341

Figure S18. Brillouin zone path.

The path of the Brillouin zone was as follows: it started at point Q (0, 0.5, 0.5), proceeded to point G (0, 0, 0), then moved to point F (0, 0.5, 0), and finally returned to point Q.



Figure S19. Distribution of electrophilic attack indices on Bi(0 1 2) and Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1).



J I Z),
, blue:

E _{DFT} (eV)	$E_{ZPE} (eV)$	∫CpdT-TS (eV)		
-31.7451	0.2701	-0.4208		
-5128.7458	0.3008	-0.5648		
-5160.6404	0.8938	-0.7688		
-2078.4844	0.5682	-0.6016		
-3081.2212	0.1323	-0.6110		
-124872.2568	0	0		
-256221.6609	0	0		
-207769.6382	0	0		
-124887.4126	0.1459	-0.0073		
-256236.9266	0.1392	-0.0088		
-207784.92	0.1499	-0.0106		
-130016.7336	0.5881	-0.1647		
-261366.2515	0.5966	-0.1564		
-212914.3013	0.6068	-0.1752		
-130016.1683	0.5997	-0.1516		
-261365.7744	0.6017	-0.1851		
-212913.7450	0.6004	-0.1574		
	$\begin{array}{r} E_{DFT} (eV) \\ -31.7451 \\ -5128.7458 \\ -5160.6404 \\ -2078.4844 \\ -3081.2212 \\ -124872.2568 \\ -256221.6609 \\ -207769.6382 \\ -124887.4126 \\ -256236.9266 \\ -207784.92 \\ -130016.7336 \\ -261366.2515 \\ -212914.3013 \\ -130016.1683 \\ -261365.7744 \\ -212913.7450 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		

354Table S4. Electronic energy (E_{DFT}), zero point energy correction (E_{ZPE}), heat capacity and entropy355contribution ($\int CpdT-TS$) in this study.

Table S5. $\triangle G$ of Reaction Path in this study.

	Surface			
$\triangle G$ of Reaction Path (eV) –	Bi(0 1 2)	Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1)	Bi(0 1 2)/Cu(1 1 1)-Defect	
$H^+ \rightarrow 1/2H_2$	0.9344	0.8194	0.7947	
CO ₂ →*OCHO	0.9043	0.8073	0.7261	
CO ₂ →HCOOH		0.3902		
CO ₂ →*COOH	1.4943	1.2602	1.2939	
CO ₂ →CO		0.6880		





361 Figure S21. PDOS of the O p in *OCHO intermediate, the Bi p in Bi(0 1 2) model. The orange

dotted lines indicate the center of the p band of Bi, the black dotted lines indicate the Fermi level.

				U			
Catalyst	Electrolyte	Potential (vs. RHE)	FE _{Formate} (%)	J _{Formate} (mA∙cm ⁻²)	Cathodic EE (%)	Stability	Ref.
Bi nanoparticles	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-1.01	82	54	52.35	40 h	7
Bi NSs-Cu NWs/CC	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.86	87	~4	59.53	8 h	8
Cu mesh@Bi	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.26	~100	68.51	57.43	5 h	9
Nano-sized Bi/copper foil	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.88	91.3	~4	61.88	4 h	10
Bi nanodendrites /Cu foil	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.74	~89	2.7	64.60	12 h	11
Bi dendritic /Cu/Si	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.9	90	~2	60.42	-	12
bismuth oxides	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.9	91	~8	61.09	24 h	13
Bi nanodendrite	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-1.13	96.4	15.2	58.41	10 h	14
nano-Bi	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.93	98.4	9.7	65.14	14 h	15
Bi nanosheets	0.1M KHCO ₃	-1.1	86	16.5	52.78	10 h	16
Bi ₂ S ₃ - Bi ₂ O ₃ @rGO	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.9	90.1	4	60.49	24 h	17
mpBi	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-0.9	99	15	66.46	12 h	18
Untrathin BiNS	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-1.06	80	24	49.96	10 h	19
Bi/CeOx	0.2 M Na ₂ SO ₄	-1.2	98	70	57.67	34 h	20
Bi ₂ O ₃ -NGQDs	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-0.9	98.1	18	65.86	15 h	21
	0.534	-0.98	93.73	~25.85	60.65	-	TI :
Bi NSs/CF	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-1.08	92.41	~34.73	57.21	12 h	This
		-1.08(NB)	95.36	~37.87	59.03	72 h	Work

364 Table S6. Comparison of the electrocatalytic activities of Bi NSs/CF with other erstwhile reported 365 Bi-based catalysts for CO₂RR

366 Note:

367 cc, carbon cloth.

368 -, this value is not mentioned in the article.

369 NB, experiments were carried out under the conditions of CO₂ nanobubbles.

371	References				
372	1.	B. Wulan, L. Zhao, D. Tan, X. Cao, J. Ma and J. Zhang, Advanced Energy Materials, 2022, 12,			
373		2103960.			
374	2.	RC. Cui, YX. Duan, JY. Xie and Q. Jiang, Advanced Sustainable Systems, 2024, 8, 2300348.			
375	3.	J. P. Edwards, Y. Xu, C. M. Gabardo, D. Cao-Thang, J. Li, Z. Qi, A. Ozden, E. H. Sargent and D.			
376		Sinton, Applied Energy, 2020, 261, 114305.			
377	4.	D. Chen, Z. Chen, L. Chen, Y. Li, S. Xiao and B. Xiao, Journal of Colloid and Interface Science,			
378		2022, 607, 1551-1561.			
379	5.	K. Malik, D. Das, D. Mondal, D. Chattopadhyay, A. K. Deb, S. Bandyopadhyay and A.			
380		Banerjee, Journal of Applied Physics, 2012, 112, 083706.			
381	6.	P. Wang, M. Qiao, Q. Shao, Y. Pi, X. Zhu, Y. Li and X. Huang, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 4933.			
382	7.	D. Wu, X. Wang, XZ. Fu and JL. Luo, Appl. Catal. B-Environ., 2021, 284, 119723.			
383	8.	L. Li, F. Cai, F. Qi and DK. Ma, Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2020, 841, 155789.			
384	9.	C. Zhu, Q. Wang and C. Wu, Journal of Co2 Utilization, 2020, 36, 96-104.			
385	10.	W. Lv, J. Zhou, J. Bei, R. Zhang, L. Wang, Q. Xu and W. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, 393, 191-			
386		196.			
387	11.	J. H. Koh, D. H. Won, T. Eom, NK. Kim, K. D. Jung, H. Kim, Y. J. Hwang and B. K. Min, ACS			
388		Catal., 2017, 7, 5071-5077.			
389	12.	Z. B. Hoffman, T. S. Gray, Y. Xu, Q. Lin, T. B. Gunnoe and G. Zangari, ChemSusChem, 2019,			
390		12 , 231-239.			
391	13.	P. Deng, H. Wang, R. Qi, J. Zhu, S. Chen, F. Yang, L. Zhou, K. Qi, H. Liu and B. Y. Xia, Acs			
392		Catalysis, 2020, 10, 743-750.			
393	14.	H. Zhong, Y. Qiu, T. Zhang, X. Li, H. Zhang and X. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 13746-			
394		13753.			
395	15.	Y. Qiu, J. Du, W. Dong, C. Dai and C. Tao, Journal of Co2 Utilization, 2017, 20, 328-335.			
396	16.	W. Zhang, Y. Hu, L. Ma, G. Zhu, P. Zhao, X. Xue, R. Chen, S. Yang, J. Ma, J. Liu and Z. Jin,			
397		Nano Energy, 2018, 53 , 808-816.			
398	17.	X. Yang, P. Deng, D. Liu, S. Zhao, D. Li, H. Wu, Y. Ma, B. Y. Xia, M. Li, C. Xiao and S. Ding,			
399		Journal of Materials Chemistry A, 2020, 8, 2472-2480.			
400	18.	H. Yang, N. Han, J. Deng, J. Wu, Y. Wang, Y. Hu, P. Ding, Y. Li, Y. Li and J. Lu, Advanced			
401		Energy Materials, 2018, 8, 1801536.			
402	19.	N. Han, Y. Wang, H. Yang, J. Deng, J. Wu, Y. Li and Y. Li, Nature Communications, 2018, 9,			
403		1320.			
404	20.	YX. Duan, YT. Zhou, Z. Yu, DX. Liu, Z. Wen, JM. Yan and Q. Jiang, Angewandte			
405		Chemie-International Edition, 2021, 60, 8798-8802.			
406	21.	Z. Chen, K. Mou, X. Wang and L. Liu, Angewandte Chemie-International Edition, 2018, 57,			
407		12790-12794.			
408					